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## PREFACE

In the Introduction I have indicated the interest and importance of the present work, as well as my object and method in undertaking a critical edition. It will be seen that the Canda-kansika of Kṣemiśvara, though less widely known, is certainly one of the outstanding specimens of the later Sanskrit dramas. But it appears to have never received the attention which it deserves. It was published twice, respectively at Bombay and at Calcutta, in the sixties of the last century; but che publications, obviously based un insufficient mann-script-material, were by no means definitive, and they have now become scarce. My object, therefore, has been:
(i) To prepare a critical and, if possible, a fival and definitive edition of the text, based on all available maternal and furnished with full apparatus criticus, in accordance with modern standards of scholatship. For this purpose I have collated no less than charreen manuscripts of the work, written in different characters and carefully selected from different sources. I have made an intensive study of the individual characteristics of the manuscripts utilised and their affinities, and discussed fully the question of their mutual relation and classification, with a note on my proposed method ot consttuting the text and treatment of Prakrit passages.
(2) To place the work in its proper historical and literary perspective. This has involved a full discussion of the dare and authorship of the work, its theme and sources, and its literary value and position in the history of the Sanskrit drama.
(3) To prepare an English translation which would interpret the constututed text, along with bermeneutic notes where necessary.

I have also added, for facility of reference, a Pada-index of verses, an index of Prakrit words aud of metres. I hope that no point of importance has been overlooked in respect of the text and its interpretation.

It will be seen that my object has been not the haphazard so-called editing, but the strict application of litetary and cext-ctitical principles
to a classical text; and my work should be judged as such. In the matter of textual criticism it is needless to say that I have been mainly guided by the method elaborated and standardised for Indian texts in general by V. S. Sukthankar and his collaborators in the critical Poona edition of the Mabäbbärata. The problems of the present text are indeed not so complicated, nor its manuscript-material so diversified and extensive; but I venture to say that chey are no less interesting, even if what is true of the manuscript-tradition of an epic text need not be applicable in their entirety to that of a classical text. It should be tecognised that very few Sanskrit texes in recent times have received this scientific treatment; and whether I have succeeded or not, my work should be taken as an original and earnest experiment in this desirable direction. It may be urged that I had better selected a much more important work than the Canda-kausika; but like all classical Sanskrit texts, the present work has its own peculiar problems, which are not without their interest in the editung of classical works in general. A so-called minor work, therefore, is not negligible for this purpose, provided that modern scholarly principles are properly applied.

A few words should be added on my English translation of the text. The rendering has been kept close to the original, consistently with English sense and idiom. It is not always easy to strake a happy mean between elegance and accuracy, but a trauslation loses much of its interest and trustworthiness if the reader is not sure whether it refects the original faithfully or gives only the purport of the original. Apart from the well-known fact that it is always difficult to render synthecic Sanskrit into analyric Euglish, there are strange imageries, beautiful but ingenious conceits, exuberant metaphors, play upon words, delicate nuances of expression and subtle adjustment of sound and sense, which are in their proper place in Sanskrit, but which read oddly when translated literally into English. In the face of these inherent difficulties I do not claim more than having produced, as far as possible within these limitations, a really readable and, at the same time, a fairly faithful translation.

To those scholars who have encouraged and helped me in my exacting task, spreading over nearly three years, it is my privilege as well as pleasure to express sincere gratitude. Professor V.

Raghavan of Madras University, editor of the New Catalogus Catalogorum, very kindly responded to. my appeal to furnish me with a list of manuscripts of the Canda-kausika, which are not only noticed but also unnoticed in the available catalogues of Sanskrit manuscripts. To the never-failing courtesy of the late P. K. Gede of the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Institure I am indebted for loan or transcript of manuscripts of the text avalable in various oriental manuscript-libraries at Poona, Batoda, Darbhanga, Madras and Tanjore. Dr. Sadananda Bhaduri, the then Principal of Government Sanskrit College, Calcutta, took interest in my work and was kind enough to allow me to work as a research student in his College. To Dr. R. C. Hazra, who had been my teacher at Dicea University and who is now one of the Research Professors in the Sanskrit College, I am grateful for his ready help and knod suggestions whenever I approached him. I have also proited by the critical remarks of Protessor J. Brough and Professor S. M. Katre, who exam.ned my work, originally prepared as a thesis for the doctorate degree of Calcutta University in 1954. I must also thank sincerely the authorities of the Asiatic Society for kindly accepting my work for publication. But my greatest indebteduess is to my Professor, Dr. S. K. De, at whose suggestion and under whose vigilant supervision this work was undertaken; but it is an indebredness which cannot be measured by a few prefatory words. Not only did he take great pains in procuring for me the manuscripts I required and placing unreservedly at my disposal his library, but he also watched and guided, not nominally but really, the progress of this work with his unwearied and inspiring directions at every step. To his rich and kindly erudition and extensive experience I never appealed in vain; and though overloaded with multifatious work, he patiently read through and revised my rext, critical notes and translation. My little work owes a great deal to this Altmeister; but for its details and individual opinions, as well as for ats errors and imperfections, I am alone responsible.

## Lady Brabowrne Collega,

Calcutta.
Sibani Das Gupta (Mrs.)
The 14th February, 1962

INTRODUCTION

## INTRODUCTION

## THE CRITICAL APPARATUS

## 1. Manuscripts

The manuscripts utilised for chis edition of Kṣemisvara's Candakausiska are the following :

## Nortb Indian

Neväri
$\mathrm{Ni}=$ Calcutta, Asiatic Society of Bengal, No. $3^{824}$ (5315). Dated in the Nepali eta $37^{\circ}$ ( $=125^{\circ}$ A.D.).
$\mathrm{N}_{2}=$ Calcutta, Asiatic Society of Bengal, No. 8065 (5316). Dated in the Nepali era 507 ( $=1387$ A.D.).

Maithili
$\mathrm{MI}_{1}=$ Darbhanga, Rāj Library, No. $70 \cdot 3^{8} \mathbf{3}$. Dated Saka 1704 ( $=1782$ A.D.).

## Devanägari

$D_{1}=$ Calcutta, Asiatic Society of Bengal, No. 6508 (5317).
$\mathrm{D}_{2}=$ Poona, Bombay Government Collection, deposited at the Bhandarkar Oriental Research Instituce, No. 442 of 8895-1902.
Dated Sampat 1699 ( $=1643$ A.D.).
$D_{3}=$ Poona, Bombay Goverument Collection, deposited at the BORI, No. 353 of 1884-87. Dated Sampvat 8815 ( $=1759$ A.D.).
$\mathrm{D}_{4}=$ Poona, Bombay Government Collection, deposited at the BORI, No. 125 (ii) of 1866.68 .
$D_{5}=$ Poona, Bombay Government Coliection, deposited at the BORI, No. 299 of 1884-86.
Dated Sampat 1674 ( $=1618$ A.D.).
D6 = Calcutta, Government Sanskrit College, No. 223. Dared Sampat 1857 ( $=1801$ A.D.).
$D_{7}=$ Baroda, Oriental Institute Library, Acc. No. 4292. Dated Sampat 1660 or 1661 ( $=1604$ or 1605 A.D.).

## ( $\mathrm{II}^{-}$)

## Soutb Indian

$\mathrm{St}=$ Tanjorc, Sarasvatī Mahāl Library, No. 4355 (Burnell Catalogue No. 5207). In Devanägari characters.
$S_{2}=$ Madras, Adyat Library, No. 21. C. 53. In Grantha characters.
$S_{3}=$ Madras, Government Oriental Manuscript Libraty, No. R. 4270. In Grantha characters.

> 2. Printed Toxts

The following printed editions of the cext are also utilised:
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ Text edited by Jaganmohana Tarkälankära, Calcutta 1868.
$\mathrm{Pt}_{\mathbf{2}}=$ Text printed by Krṣna Sästri Gurjara, Bombay 1860.

## ( III )

## Abbreviations

St. $=$ Stanza.
fol. $=$ folio.
ins. $=$ insert.
om. $=$ omit.
uransp. $=$ transpose or transposition.
subst. $=$ substitutc.
marg. $=$ margin.
hapl. $=$ haplographically.
corr. $=$ cortection.
v. b. $=$ varia (c) lectio (ncs).
var. $=$ cited with variation.
ad. = to; referring to the prose passage, following upon a verse reference.
N.B. The transcript of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ (see Introduction, p. ii) was received $r$ collacion after the critical apparatus of our entire text had been ritten out. The text was, of course, reconsidered in the light of iis new material; but in inserting the variant readings of this 15 there has been some unavoidable upsetting of the regular order f enumeration of MSS in the critical notes.

D 7
Batoda, Oriental Institute Library, Acc. No. 429 . Indian Paper. Size $9 t^{\prime \prime} \times 4{ }^{3}{ }^{\prime \prime}$. Folios $44 ; 7$ lines to a page, excepting the last page which has 4 lines. Devanägarī characters wich frequent pys!̣bamäträ. Complete. Dated Samvat 1660 or 1661 ( $=1604$ or 1605 A. D.).

This is the oldest and most correct of our Devanigari MSS. The writing is neat, clear and uniform. Except Prakrit passages, it is fairly correct and careful, although some corrections appear on the margins. The edges are frayed; the upper and lower margins are considerably worm-eaten, causing loss of some writing; otherwise the MS is well preserved. The names of dramatis personae and numbering of verses are distinguished by red pignene, while erasures or corrections in the text are made by yellow pigment. The repetition of a word is regularly indicared by the numeral 2 after it. In Prakrit there is occasional $y$-struti. Consonants are frequently doubled with repha; e.g. apürvea, ärtta, upasarppàmi, sammarddab, abarppatib, ecc. The verses are numbered thus: $1-29 ; \mathrm{II}-34 ;$ III-35; IV -37 ; $\mathrm{V}-29$. Although sometimes showing striking ayreements with exclusively Nu. 2 readings, it generally follows the text-tradition of the Devanägari group of MSS, of which it is our oldest exemplar.

$$
S_{1}
$$

Tanjore, Sarasvati Mahāl Library, No. 4355 (=Burnell's Classified Index of Sanskrit MSS in the Palace at Tanjore, London 1879 8o, No. 5207, p. 168b).

The MS is noticed in P. P. S. Sastri's Descriptive Cacalogue of the Sanskrit Manuscripts in.the Tanjore Mabärāja Serfoji's Sarasuati Mabäl Library, vol. viii (Nāṭaka), Srirangam 1930, p. 339a. From the description it appears that it is a Paper MS, written is Devana-
 plete. There is no date; but the MS is said to be in good condition. Burnell is of opinion that it is one of the three "recent" MSS of the work at Tanjore.

As the original MS could not be obtained on loan, and we had to depend entirely on a transcript supplied by the authorities of the Library, further details abour the MS are unfortunately not available.

Written in Devanägari, the MS generally follows the text-cradicion of the Devanägari group of MSS and shows little affinity with that of the Neväri group. It shows, however, some peculiarities of Souch Indian MSS, such as the use of lingual ! for dental $t$, of $t b$ for $t$ and $d b$ for $d$, and doubling of sibilants in Samplli. Excepting the Prakrit passages, whecre most MSS go wrong, the MS is fairly correct.

## $S_{2}$

Madras, Adyar Library, No. 21. C. 53 (Catalogue, ii, p. 27b).
The original MS was not available, but a transcript in Devanägari was supplied by the authorities of the Library. There is a note on - the copy by the Library copyist that the original is a very worn out palm-leaf MS, having unnumbered folios and written i: Grantha

 yam granthab). This is confirmed by the information supplicd later by the authorities of the Library (letter dated 24.5.52) to the effect that it is an incomplete palin-leaf MS in Grantha characters, badily damaged and worm-eaten. It is further stated that it consists of 66 folios (?), eighte lines to a page; size $14^{1} / 4^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$; conjectured to be "about 200 years old."

The sanne characteristics of South Indian MSS as noted above widh reference to $S_{1}$ are also shown by this MS. There is very considerible lacuna due to damage to the MS; leters, words and even long pissanges almost on every page arc lost. It is incomplece breaking off from V. 20 (see note to the relevant passage in the text) to the end. In the Prakrit passages, which are frequendy full of ertors, it often employs $y$-sruti. In the Sanskrit passages, so far as they are avalable, it is not al ways correct. On the whole it follows the texttradition of the Devanaigari group, although there are some striking individual readings and sporadic agreements with ehe Nevarri group. It is unfortunate that this MS could not be fully utilised because of the lacunae, large and small, occurring throughout and causing loss of much of the text. In our critical notes all these deficiencies could mox be meeciculously recorded, as they would have mecely burdened our critical apparatus without bringing a corresponding advantage.

Madras, Government Oriental Manuscript Library, No. R. 5270.
As a loan of the MS could not be arranged, a Devanägari transcript was obtained. From the information supplied by the Curator of the Library (letter dated 20.5.52) we learn that it is an undated palm-leaf MS of $\mathbf{r} 7$ folios only, much damaged; in Grantha characters, II to 13 lines to a page; purchased in $\mathbf{1 9 2 2 - 2 3}$ from Ganapati Sasstri Karațtoluvu, Coimbatore District. Besides showing some of the general characteristics of South Indian MSS mentioned above, it is noteworthy that the MS regularly indicates the doubling of a collsonant by a dot before it. On collation of the text this MS, like $\mathrm{S}_{2}$, is found full of very large and small lacunae, apparently due to damage to the original MS, on every page, causing loss of a large part of the text. Like $S_{2}$, it could not be thus fully utilised; and variants are noted in our critical apparatus only from the available portion of the text. Like $S$ it follows generally the text-tradition of the Devanägari group.

So far as the various catalogues of South Indian MSS show, no other MS of the rext in Grantha characters is available, the other MSS of the text in these libraries being in Devanägari. It is unfortunate, in these circumstances, that the two available Gaautha MSS, which we have collated, had not been of much use for reasons given above. As it appears, however, that the text of the Grantha MSS does not depart very materially from that of the Devanagari MSS, it would not have perhaps been helpful even if fuller and better MSS of this typec could have been obtained.

## Other Manuscripts Not Utilised

No MS of the Canda-kansika appears noticed in the ManuscriptCatalogues of European Libraries. But in Indian Libraries the follow. ing MSS were avalable, but they were not utilised for reasons stated below:

1. Poona, BORI, No. 858 of 1902 -07 (Catalogne, p. 80). [A modern copy, fragmentary, wanting in the beginning and containing only 12 [olios].
2. Calcutta, Sanskrit College Library, No. 222 (Ciatalogue p. 134).
[Paper MS in Devanägari, incomplete, containing only 5 folios].
3-4. Tanjore, Sarasvati Mahail Library, No. 4354 ( $=$ Burnell No. 5206).
Tanjore, Sarasvatī Malāal Library, No. $435^{6}$ ( $=$ Burnell No. 5208).
[Both these Tanjore Paper MSS are complete; but since they are written in Devanägari, of which we have a sufficient number, it was thought redundant to utilise them. Moreover, Burnell thinks they are all 'recent S. Indian MSS"].
3. Tanjore, Sarassacti Mahâl Library, No. 4357 (J.L. Collection No. 223).
[Devanägari Paper MS, incomplete, containing only 13 folios].
4. Madras, Govt. Oriental Manuscript Lilurary, No. 12514 (Descriptive Catalogue by S. Kuppuswami Sastri, vol. xxi, Madras 1918, p. 8400).
[Incompltte, beginning of Act I missing; Devanägari Paper MS].
5. Travancore, University Oriental Manuscript Library, No. 4487. [De vanaăgari Paper MS].
It should also be noted that of the Tanjore, Madras and Travancore MSS, the originals were not available.

## Printed Texts

The following printed editions of the rext are also utilised and collated:

Pt 1 = Canḍa-kauśikam Ärya-kṣemiśvara-pranitam, ed. with Sanskrit Chāyā of Prakrit passages and occasional gloss, by Jaganmohana Tarkālaṇkāra, Librarian, Calcutta Sanskrit College. Kāvya-Prakäśa Press: Calcutca Saṃat 1924 $(=1868$ A.D.) pp. $4+101+10$.

## [ xi ]

$\mathrm{Pt}_{2}=$ Candad-kauśska-nàtaka, printed by Krṣ̣a Sästri Gurjara at his own Press, Bombay, Saka $1782(=1860$ A.D.). In Puthi forn. Folios 23 .
[This printed text appears to have been available to Jaganmohana Tarkàlạ̣kära].
There is another edition of the text published by livänanda Vidyāāgara, with his own commentary (Calcuta, 1884, Pp. 138); but as it merely reproduces (with its own occasional emendation) the text of Jaganmohana Tarkâlamkāra, it was not urilised.

## Translations

Unfortunately, neither the German metrical translation of Ludwig Fritze (entitled Kausiska's Zorn, Leipzig 188 ${ }_{3}$ ), nor the Italian transLation of Francesco Cimmino (Stadi sul theatro Induno, in Rendiconto dell Academia di Archeologica, Lettre e Belle Arth, 19 (Napuli 1905). 1p. 3 1-76, was available to us. We have, however, seen a free Bengali trauslation by lyotirindra Nath Tagore (Basumati cd. Ptiii, Calcutta, no date).

## CRITICAL SURVEY OF THE CHARACTERISTICS AND AFFINITIES OF INDIVIDUAL MANUSCRIPTS

It will be seen from the account given above chat our MSS, written in a variety of characters and in different places and periods of time, have been carefully selected from various sources, so that they may not be useless reproductions of the san:e type of MSS. A close examination of their readings, however, would at once reveal the fact that their divergences are not so material as to constitute different versions or recensions of the texr. Alchough numerous and ubiquitous, the discrepancies are yee normal. In ocher words, they are such as ate to be naturally expected in individual MSS or groups of MSS, and consist generally of inevitable variants of isolated words and phrases, of small insertions and omissions of only occasional

## [ xii ]

transposition of passages. Batring a few instances, there is no substantial expaasion, omission or substitution, no considerable transposition, no large variation of continuous passages, which would in their totality clearly mark out distinct versions or recensions. At the same time, even a cursory study of the large mass of variants would not fail to indicate that our MSS fall into two distinct groups. They can be distinguished as (1) the Neväit and its allied MSS and (2) the Devanägari and its allied MSS. For the sake of convenience we would call them respectively the Nevari and the Devanaagari groups.

## Tbe Neväri Group

The two MSS, $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, written in Neväri chatacters, form the standard or norm of thus group. They are our oldest avallable MSS, but they represent a cextual tradition which is different from, and in some respects intrinsically inferior to, that of the Devanagari group. At least, their respective divergences indicate that their texts could not have been derived from identical sources. With Ni. 2 should also be affiliated $M_{1}, D_{1}$ and $D_{3}$, although $M_{1}$ is written in Maithilì and Dı, 3 in Devanāgaii characters.

## $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$

The very close affinity of $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is documented throughout by a mass of concordint readings, which belong exclusively to these MSS, and are found in no other. As our textual notes would show, they occur on almost every page. The following instances of such agreements selected at random will serve as illustrations: ${ }^{1}$
(a) in respect of small words and phrases.

Nı, 2. Act I. $3^{a}$ ānanda-glathitâh; ad 6 Bodhāyanena; $7^{\text {b }}$-mlāna-; ad 9 katham, aṇunà; 12 c -sthitäsu; ad 12 tummaṃ adikantamp; ${ }^{13 c}$ yad aśañkitena; ad 14 avanaechi, eddam, kittia, tu; 15 b tad añjanamp, 15d ca; ad 16 rajiini, dhide, na; 192

1 In the reference, the Latin ad and the numeral after it refer to the prose passage or passages following upon the numbered verse. Thus, "Act I ed $6^{\prime \prime}$ would neen the prose portion following upon verse 6 of Act 1.

## [ xiii ]

-balitatarā vivartatc; 200 samyak; ad 20 ajja, parimantharchị̣; ad 22 atipranatimp; ad 24 -prajägaranānte, abhi-secanīya-; ad 25 svastyayanam; ad 26 niama-jāaro; nd 28 bhoanattla-kadhăe, (the long Prakrit passage) -dautan-tara- parivijjanta., parivakklıa,, -vicittā-, -sisiriāsäro, -karäla-, -mamsa-, -kara- (for -kavala-), -velānubandha-, vilhanḍida-bhalla-, maṇdalobhaaduttanta-, -kara- (for -kalä-), etc.; 2gb śrame.
Aut ll. 2b -sañkule; 7 a tata-vanaṃ; 11c niṣkramya dlıvajd-paṭa-paroumrș̣ta-; 12 a divaṇ, 12 b patitah, 12 c sa tu; 13 c -vallnicayäh; $15^{\text {b }}$ viyogãn snchān vā; ad 15 anantarbhavanīyaṃ; 1 gc kveyaṃi 24c-bhïru-; ad 25 -cetasas tu tvậ!̣; 3ıb vastrāṇy amūni; ad 33 pratịādya, mãm anuj̄ātu!̣, cirāt.
Act III 1b -majjho, id dussaho ; ad 2 bluringaric̣̣h, daśā-vidhiviparyayaṃ; ad 3 päda-pūjạ̣̄; ad 8 bhavatu blavatu; ad 14 avatarāmi (for urvartya ägacchămı); ad 18 savva-kammặ̣usäriṇe, satyam eva paṇena; ad 19 g̣tha-vārtūkșamã, tad upapadyatāṇ no dhanaṇ; ad 21 räjānạ̣ haste grthitvā, vistareṇa, upapadyatām, manyate bhavān tadā; ad 22 dạ̣̃i (throughout); ad 25 āacchadu, devi, sa-kopamare kapilamakkaḍä; ad 26 kim evaṇi; ad 3 I hagge; ad 33 suvaruāni.
Act IV Before 1 uttarottara-; 10 b -mālămayạ̣; $13^{a}$ pretālayädhiväsc; ad 16 catur-disamp; ad 17 hodavvaṃ; ad 21 idänim; ad 29 archi-janāḥ; ad 31 svāmy artha-virodhelna tu, äjñā-mātra-sampādana-samihititan, punas tenaıva; ad 33 -trallokya-, ity uktvā, tadanu svādhīnā vayaṃ; ad 36 bhägirathïn avatirya.
Act V. 2 a rāja-śriyā; ad 7 ati-karuṇaḥ, măḍūrd-; ad 12 drasțum icchasi, pātayitum Icclıasi; 142 $^{2}$-vaıtataṭisvavici-; ad 17 labdha-saṃjuā, vimṛ́ya; ad 19 bäspa-stamblaı! ḳ̣tvā; before 20 paripäkah (for vipäkah); ad 20 kutah; 21 b arjıäḥ; ad 22 śvapäkādi punaḷ punaḥ paṭhatı; 23 c drasṭum; ad 23 avalokyatäṃ, sacive, satya-jijīāsanena. abhinīya; ad 28 lokottara- caritaṃ, puṇyaddãna-sampcayena; 31d kṣirămbusindhob.

## [ xiv.]

(b) in respect of longer passages.

## NI. 2. Act II. ad 27 anūcänam màp manyase yady evałm diyat,

Act III. ad 12 kathamp präpta cvàyaṃ durätmāa/athavà ( $N_{2}$ om, 1 word) na samyag bravimi mahätuaiva Hariścandro nisarty dànăc. ad 19 janassa sammaddo disadj/tà takkemi cahị̣n ti hodavvaṃ.
ad 25 jäva ṇạn sudiṭtham ajauttaṇ karaissam. ad 3r praṇidhãnato' pi paśyan na räjarsc̣cr Hariścandrasya culyaṃ paşyāmi.
ad 34 bc yad àdśśsst tat sarvaṇ! karomy aham alaatisisaṃ.
Act IV. ad 3 s.ạpprati dṛ̣hamp pīd.ay.nti mām deví ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ oun. devi)
Act V. 2 gd kimp syäd anyad yad aparam aho yat priyaṃ prärchaye' ham.
(c) in respect of obvious mislections.
$N_{1} .2$. Act I. 12 b -sambluramăh; before 19 ayamp (for iyaṇ?); 2ob bhüṣạān adarah!; ad 26 vailaksyım.
Act II. 14 c cakita-svadrsóo (contrary to metre).
Act III. ad 3 preksyatitit; ad 24 dodha.
Act IV. 8d lasann-mastikāktā (contrary to metre); 9d sväpada-ganăh; ad 29 evann avasthäpi.
Although such a mass of agreements, even in small details, cannot be taken as accidental, yet mere coincidence of readings need not always be decisive. A more decisive test would be the following concordant insertions, omissions and trauspositions of words and plarases which distinguish $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ from all other MSS.
(a) Lusertions.

N 1. 2. Act I. ad 26 ayam.
Act Il. ad 14 ã́u.
Act III. ad I lagge (dfec -samucride); ad 12 sanpprāptaḥ; ad 18 miln; beforc 21 ràjanaṃ! ad 22 śighraṇ, bhavatu gacchäni, mapm; ad 31 bho bhoḥ sädlavạ̣̣.
Act iV. ad 5 bhoḷ.
Act V. ad 7 tti, imanm (Saibyï's specch); ad 8 karnau pidhäya, sąutuạ pāpaṃ; ad 9 hà vatsa Rohitââva (before anudbhidya-maäna-); ad 14 manda-bhägyah; before 20 patact; ad 20

## [ IV ]

kathaya kathaya; ad 23 divya-veṣam âsthãya, priyam nah priyam; before 28 bhagavan; ad 28 adya.
(b) Omissions.

N 1. 2. Act I. ad 3 -daṇda- (in bhuja-daṇds-); ad 5 samgitaṃ; ad 9 vayasya; ad 12 ehi; ad 26 kulaparch.
Act II. ad 4 guna-trayamayinäm; ad 16 pacthyate; ad 17 ko' yami ad 25 idan upakrāntaṃ; ad 28 idāniṃ.
Act III. ad 15 śrasi tṛnaṃ dattvā sāvaṣṭambham; ad 20 tur kathann imāṃ daśām anuprāprā; ad 21 savaiklavynṃ, idaṃ; ad 27 aye, ami.
Act IV. before 22 tathā hi; ad 33 räjan.
Act V. ad ; pāpaṃ; before 20 alaṃ paridevitena.
(c) Transpositions.

N 1. 2. Act II. ad 17 bhayärtena and divyarūpiṇā; ad 27 bhagavan and prasida.
Act III, ad 21 mam and paḍhamovagadaṃ.
Act V. ad 18 mariduṃ pi ṇa labliyyadi and bhaavado saāsādo.
All these instances refer to short words and phrases. But of more probative value are the following important cases of insertions, omissions and transpositions of longer passages.
N 1. 2. Act IIL. ad 35 insert (atter mām prati marsaṇiyal!); punaḥ praṇamyārpayati/Kauśikah/sa - vailakṣam/ätma - gatam/aho sthairyam asya ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ äścaryam äścaryaṃ)/athavä/calanti girayaḥ kāmaṃ yugänta-pavanāhatāh/kṛcclıre'pi na calaty ekaṃ dhirānạạn nisćcalaṃ manah//atah param kim acinirbandhena/bhavatu gacchāni/iti niṣkrāntah//. Ayain a little later (ad 35) insert: athavā Hariscandrād ṛte sväminn iti ko vadati.
Act V. ad 22 insert; drṣ!vā/aye katham ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ om, this word) entoppy atraiva.
Similarly, N 1.2 omit two long passages;
N 1. 2. Act III. ad 30 omit: Kauśikah/sa-krodhaṃ/krtam ardlena/ nanv aśeṣam eva diyatäṃ//Räjā/bhoh sädhaval!/kenäpity àls paçhati//; ad 35 omit: Kauśikah//so-vailakṣamp̣/dâsyasi// Räjā/sănunayam/bhagavan gẹtyatām//.

There are two interesting examples of change of sequence of textunits by transposition in $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. 2 as against all other MSS. They occur respectively in Acts III and V. In the first case, the passage commencing with III. 27 and ending with the prose sentence after III. 29, and containing the episode of Kausika's curse on the Visisedevas is transposed and placed after the episode of Dharma's entrance as a Canḍàla and offer to buy Hariscandra as a slave. There is hardlly any point in this arbitrary change of sequence, as it does not improve the logical course of incidents. In the other transposition in Act $V$, the reading of $\mathrm{N}_{\text {I. }}$ 2, however, is better. It consists of the placing of the repeated stanza maranäas nirvytim yänti (V. $\mathbf{1 5}_{5}$ ) after V. 18 , and not before it. This clange of sequence is more logical and gives a better sense from the context; it has, therefore, been adopted in our eext even against the evidence of all other MSS. There is a third small transposition in Act III, where $\mathrm{N}_{\text {I. }} 2$ transpose one line before stanza 2 inscead of reding after it. Though the transposition gives a somewhat beter order, it does not appear to have much textual importance.

With regard to substitution, there is only one passage in $\mathbf{N}_{1.2}$ (and in no other MSS) after II. $\mathbf{2}_{3}$, in which two lines are broken up and arranged into a dialogue between the king and Kausika. The substitution has hardly any textual importance, but it is evidence of the close kinship of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

The passages quoted above are examples of exclusive concordance between $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$; but there are also examples of exclusive disagreement, which would indicate that $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ is not a direct copy of $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. We cite here only a few selected instances of divergent readings between the two MSS, but they will be sufficiently illustrative:
Act I . ${ }_{5 c} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ prāvẹpudhvam; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ävrṇudhvạ̣. ad ${ }_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ tat; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ tatah. and 24 (before 25) $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ transp. sa-kalatrasya and bhavaath; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ does not.
Act II. 9d $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ rubhyam aulapavridā-; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ tu ryapanayakriḍä., ad ${ }^{14}$. ${ }^{\text {N }} \mathrm{N}_{1}$ yachădísati devah; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ om. $34 \mathrm{~d} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ tävan na ̧ântiṃ mama yāti manyuhh; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ tävan na me marşam upaitı manyuḥ.
Act III. commencement. Ni prakrtiovikrta-biblhatsa-malina-veśạh; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ prakrect-malina-vesah. ad $8 \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ cad aham idānīm; $\mathrm{N}_{\mathbf{2}}$ om.
toa $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ tiksngah; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ tivraḥ. rid $\mathrm{Nt}_{2}$ nu lokản; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ pradeŝañ. ad 20 Nx vicintya sākulaṃ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ vicincya. ad 25 $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ mandam mandam; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ mandam.
Act IV $\operatorname{zod}^{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ pratixatad-urahb:; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ pratilasaduraly..
Act $V{ }_{24 d} N_{1}$ mayi visán sannupaiti; $N_{2}$ manasi ssalyann upaiti. 26 d Nr svair angaih; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ svair aṃsaih.
From such detailed consideration of agreements and differences, we can conclude that while $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ are of the same type of closely akin MSS, cheir texts are not exactly identical; but in the largest majority of cases $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ agrees with $\mathrm{N}_{1}$, and for all practical purposes they can be grouped together. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, however, is a carelessly written and corrupt MS, and we had to use it more for supporting the readings of Ni than for suggesting any really important variant.

## M ${ }_{1}$

The codex Mr, written in Maithili characters, is a comparatively modern MS which does not appear to possess much independent value for text-critical purposes. Because of its large accretion of individual readings, which in fact is the largest given by any of our MSS, it would seem at first sight to have followed a separate text-tradition; but a closer examination would shew that it belongs substantially. but secondarily, to the Neväri group. Its independent agreements with exclusive $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}}, 2$ readings are indeed not many, but secondarily it appears to have derived, chiefly through such Devanägarì MSS (see below) of the Neväri group as $D_{1}$ and $D_{3}$ (and even $D_{7}$ where is agrees with $\mathrm{N}_{1} .2$ ), a large number of peculiarly Nevãri readings. Its greatest affinity is with $D_{3}$, which fact is shewn also by very exrensive agreements with the individual readings of $D_{3}$. It is possible to argue on the contrary that Di. 3 might have derived these Nr. 2 readings secondarily through Mr. But since Devanägatī characters, rather than Maithili, could be read everywhere and could easily become (as Sukthankar has shewn in the case of Mahäbhärata MSS) the source of contamination and conflation, the greater probability of such derivation of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r}} .2$ readings would be through the Devanägari $\mathrm{D}_{\text {I }}$. 3 rather than through the Maithili M1. On the ocher hand, M1 has, like D1. 3, very few agreements with the peculiar readings of our


Devanagari group ( $\mathrm{D}_{2} .4^{-6} \mathrm{~S}_{1}-3$ ). It is thus a comparatively recent eclectic MS of a bighly conflated character, and its evidence is consequently of uncertain value. These points can be illustrated by the following instances.

Agreements with exclusive $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2$ or $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ readings:
Nı, 2 Mi Act Iad 9 om. bho and uṇa; ıod tadā; ad 12 om. gamanam; ad 14 Saivyā (spelling); ad 28 om. tadhā, (Prakrit passage) gabbha, kalappo.
Act II ad 4 om. guṇa-trayamayinâạ; 7 a kirnamp; ad 22 omn. ayam; ad 34 ins. bhavatv evaṃ tävat.
 ad 14 om. tad yāvat; ad 17 jảnāmi; ad 18 ins. mhi and tui; ad 19 om. punaḷ and idăuiṃ; ad 26 ins. manda-bhägyah (after hato'smi); ad 33 om . prakäsám; ad 35 om . bhoḥ.
Act IV belore 1 ese; 8 d lasan-mastikâktā (unmetrical); ad 17 mahā̀masāne; before 18 mādike; ad 32 ins. śrutvā; ad 33 anukampaniyam; ad 35 sädhayāmalh; $3^{6 a}$ tamo-nirbhinna-gatana--
Act V ad 6 om. tathā̆ hi; ad 7 dāṇi, kkhu; 13 c tanayānanendurahitā (hypermetric); ad 17 transp. ṇa and maṃ, reads masăṇavādave; ad 18 daśá-visaṇvādo; ad 20 aăraṇaṃ; ad 22 sahasoothāy.
Nı Mı Act I ad 28 (Prakrit passage) -paribbhamanar-. Act II 23 civa. Act III 3d -sakala-pracalaśs ca; ad 19 agni-paricaryādhīnatayā. Act IV 21b nirvàpya; 22b visamo.
Agreements with $N_{1,2}$ through $D_{I}$ are not so numerous:
Ni. 2 Mi Di Act 1 ad 12 ins. me; 15 a Iolupo'yam ; ad 23 ins. kutaḥ; before 25 sumahān; ad 28 (Prakrit passage) ppanànam, ieva. Act II s4c satilar. Act III ad 12 -tigma-tejăh (in the repeated verse); ad 22 patänte; ad 25 niyadi; ad 35 grthyatām. Act IV before : ajijā osaladha; before 12 ius. bhagavatyäḥ: ad 17 malăınasãạạ̣. Act V ad 7 ins. tti after bazlao.
But through $D_{3}$ it derives a larger number of $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2$ readings:
Nr. 2 Ms $D_{3}$ Act 1 ad 3 om, svayamvara- (ateer laksmi); 4b hatvā; ad 4 om . idana âdistam; ad 7 ujjazara; ad 13 om. sssilagham; before 19 ons. iti (with $D_{4}$ ); ad 26 ins. cat; 28b vyagraz-
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rambho; ad 28 (the long Pkt. passage) -viddavida-. Act Il $2 c$-hastair aśesaih; $5^{c}$ yato vä saṃhartāi ad 14 abhivādayisye; ad 17 om. ici; 28b sarvasva-mãtra-; ad 31 om. me; $34 c$-tigma-cejăh. Act III 8 b śikṣitäh; ad 22 om . jāva ajjauttam susaṃdiṭṭhaṇ karemi; ad 26 om . mandan: bläinị̣; ad 26 ins. sakcodhaṇ, om. äḥ; ad 29 klisyace; ad 34 om. saparitosam; ad 35 om. karma and aholattaṇ, reads ciṭ̣̣hidavvam and tā ehi. Act IV ac hā vatsa kị̣ cväm śiśump; ad 16 gamblīrabhīs..ṇàlı; before 17 om . atio. Act V ad 8 kadatho; ad 12 yukcam idãnīn; 18 b vibhinnamārgäh; before 19 om. mukham ävrnoti; before 20 ius. ajjautta before kiṇ̣ edam, and reads mẹta-kambalaṇ (for etat); ad 23 vimānam ärulya; 29 ctvam asi.
There are also agreements of Mi with Ni. 2 through $D_{1} .3$. or through $D_{7}, D_{1} .7$ and $D_{3.7}$ thus:
Na. 2 Mi Di. 3 Act I before 7 -mãrgah (for -vartmã); before 14 sa-śläghaṇ (for sa-harṣam); ad 24 om. iti. Act Il $2 a$ upānteṣv anusṛii-; ad 9 tasmin; ad 12 anyato; 14 c -sa!̣lŚakta. Act III 26 d dayitä; ad 26 ins. idaṃ (after tāvat); ad 31 ätmãna!̣ı pätayati, om. moham upagatas tușellatı; ad 33 mm . ahaha; ad 35 prats (for upagamya). Act IV before 1 om. saàmiṇo; 8b ghanäḷ; beforc 14 daśã- (for daiva-); 27c idaṃ (for etat). Act V ad 7 om. tasya before vidher: 16a api.
Ni. 2 Mi D7 Act I ad 17 kıtti; ad 24 transp. kulapatinā and bhagavatā; ad 38 (Prakrit passage) -saṃgalida-. Act II before 24 cransp. bhavato and viditalh; 2gd kartump. Act IV 6c parijana-vacano-citāni; ad 28 ins. dāruṇāṣv api; ad 35 om . vetälaṃ prati. A:t $V$ ad 7 daṇsesı, ujjhia, vacchatthalo; ad 8 ins . tumam; ad 12 kim aham upastrya; ach ig viịdạạ nāṭyati; ad 20 dāại, transp. sne and hiaaṃ.
Ni.2 Mi Dr. 7 Act II $10 c$ punaḥ. Act III ad 16 vijn̄ānạ̣; ad 17 om. klaivyaṃ nãtayantī; ad 21 deśa-kälaḥ; ad 22 dāsittaṇaṃ; 26d priyatarā. Act IV ad 8 sarvasva-pranayı. blih; ga caranamp; gb vilulitamp; ad 35 ons. vetälam prati. Act V ad 7 om. ṇa after jäda; ad 14 parādhina-jivitaṃ.

Ni. 2 Mi Di. 3. 7 Act I ad 13 om. parikramya; 28a sapulakah. Act IV 15c -dhūma-paryanta-dhümraṇ; 26b niruddha-pañcendriya-; 27ab transp. tapodvaitam and kriyä-dvaitam; $3^{12}$-khadga-, $3^{\text {rb }}$-nidlui-.
N1. 2 Mi D3. 7 Act Il ad 4 enam (for amum); before 15 nird-vanda-; ad 88 upaganya; 28a yasya (for sarva-); ad 33 krtta-krtya-niverttam. Act III before 2 cransp. puṇovi and ṇikkamantāṇaṃ; ad 3 ins, tad-darśanāya. Act IV 226 niṣphalo drṣtipätah. Act $V$ ad $1 g$ ins. sa-vaiklavyam.
Leaving aside $\mathrm{N}_{1}$.2, the MS Mr has many direct agreements with the exclusive readings of Dr. Thus:
MiDi Act I ad 24 bhavvam; ad 28 -mutthaa-thalī-, om. pi. Act II roc kṣanam. Act Ill ad 14 dvitīya-savanam; ad 16 nirbandhena; ad 21 tvayā prārabdham iti; ad 22 muhuttam; ad $3^{1}$ om. all words from puścideṇa to parikrāmati, reads ayam for asau; ad 33 mahattaraka. Act IV ad 6 tuliyam tuliyam, and tat (for idam); ad 9 ins. cathă hi; ad 10 panamanha; isc gaa-camma-vastiyc; ad 21 drsṭinirodham. Act V ad 7 om. bhadra and reads tā (for hā), -vithhiṇno; ad 14 suṛtvā (for surrim abhinīya).
But its agreements with exclusive $D_{3}$ readings are much more numerous; and they shew its greater affinity to thas type of MSS. Thus:
$M_{1} D_{3}$ Act 1 ad 3 tramsp. purāvidah and praśastigăallärn; ad 4 viiayakosṭla-praṇprulu! 14 d amală; ad 15 avissasaṇiānanan; ad 16 alio-; ad 28 om. kisa na, (the long Pkt passage). um. vaṇa, ins. visad.a before bhäsura. Act II 2c pās.a-; ad 4 äśrama-padaṛ! 7.. caru-vanaṃ; ad 8 sänandam avalokya; ad 9 bäṭa-mokṣ 1sya; 12 d kolo; 16b tapovanāni, 16 d sväṇa youinı; ad 16 om . Nijā̃; before 19 om . durātman; lefore 24 ksudra-ksatriyäpasada; ad 25 om. blagavan; before 28 transp. 倍asida and blagavan; before 30 ins. idãnim; ad $3^{2}$ ins. ākīśs. Act III ad 1 ppaveso; ad 2 ins. śrutvā; ad 16 anugṛhnantu; ad 17 om. iti and sakaruṇaṃ; ad 19 gṛha-raksäakşamā; ad 21 upayujyatàṃ (for pratigṛhyatâm); ad 22 transp. addhävasida and dānimp; ad 27 transp. dhik-śabdena and
mäṃ; ad 30 om. sakrodham; ad 3 I äscaryam (for säscaryam). tatta (for bladra); ad 33 yad yad ad liśssi; ad 35 om. sănunayam, transp. kinn and atah param, om. gadua. Act IV ad : soko;
 nṛkapäla-maulir; ad 25 -vesadharo; ad 29 vrịịặ̣ (for lajjäạ), vidica-vrttänta evāhạ̣; ad 31 yad âdı́śasi; ad 33 ins. cva after Kauśkkam, and ayạ̣ (after bhagavān); before 34 läblodayena. Act $V$ ad 7 transp. Saibyā (after yathāanirdiṣtà), reads ettha (for edaṃ), on. samantād avalokya and hà hadamhi manddbhäinī, om. samppadaṃ, reads sämuddaya-viakklaṇeliụ, om. kathạ̣; ad 8 ins. tad avalokayāmi tăvad cnäm; ad 11 om. vattsa; ad 12 daśá. (for svadaśá-), on. nearly two lines; ad 15 on. manda-blägyal!; before 19 om . idànịn; add 20 om. snślaggham; 22d vardhayitump; 24 d ceto'dlunā; ad 24 puthviräjyc; 26 c -mandära-puspăh; ad 27 -prablī̀vūạ̣̈; $2 g^{\text {b }}$ labdhaiḥ prānair ayam api; zoc nije prabandle; 3ıd kṣiriämburäsclẹ.
Simmarly we have:
M: DI. 3 Act II ad 12 drstyā. Act III ad 25 gaccha däsa; ad 35 anena (for mayä). Act IV 2 ib phuckrti-śstanh; ad 26 transp. rudra and bhagavanu, reads idaṇ vatatạ!; ad 33 bhagavaty.l! (for blavatyah).
M, DI. 7 Act I ad 7 ms. Baudhāyana. Act 11 ad 8 sanuldhänump. Act V ad 7 om. yyeva, reads päpat; $9^{\mathrm{b}}$ kumealall.
M, $D_{3} 7$ Act II 25 a anna-ksayāpadi; ad 25 itiss. ajja. beforc muhuttaam. Act IV before 1 maladalaa-; 1 ; a dari-dväri. Act $V$ 142 valuaraniṣu vici-.
On the other hand, the direct agreements of $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ with the pecall.uly Devauägari (as opposed to Neväri) readiugs of D2. 46 are mideed vity few;
M1 D2.4.6 (with or without S) Act I ad 12 attañam ( $\mathrm{D}_{2}$-6); ad 28 bhoana-kadlıäe ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}-7$ ); -mulamandalo ( $\mathrm{D}_{4-7}$, wilh $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ). Act II ad 10 do not repeat Sūta ( $\mathrm{D}_{4-7}$ ). Act III ad dukkale; ad 1 kalaissaṃ (with Nı. 2); ad 2 paṭhati; 5 a ayam (for idaṃ) ( $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{r}}-7$ ); ad 25 nirgantum icchati. Act V ad 7 ins.
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But, lastly, $M_{1}$ is unique in showing the latgest aumber individual readings which are not found in any other MSS. The are too numerous to be fully listed here, but the following instanct would perhaps be sufficient:
Mı Act I ad 4 räeṇā; ad 5 onn. säśañkam, reads gahoparāe, on ciutāṃ nątayitvā salarṣạ̣; ad 6 - sücitāpadāṃ, priya.vayas. yena Bodhàyanenopadisṭa-märgah; ad 9 eṣa pariläsah; ad 14 trausp. garua and se; 16b blānau yat; ad I6 sakrodham; ad 18 transp. maye and mautidaṃ; ad 20 ujjäagaranaa-; ad 22 ms. kklu; ad 23 avicintyamãnana; ad 24 om. praviśsya, and reads grthyaâạ̣; 25 a ksayita-; 26c manvädyaih; ad 26 ins. vaśssṭchasya and reads püjä-satkära iti, te (for bhavate), niama-ppajägara-i 27 c hecdi; ad 28 ins. kittiṇa, (the long Pkt passage) ins. deva, and reads viala- (for viada-), -dantantarida; dalaadilha-bhāo, ins. ghaṇa (before nava-), and reads -juala(for -pudaa), viala-dädhḍhā, rosobblava, ins. tti after blavissidi; 29a ädadthäti, 2 gb ślathe.
Act Il ib vanāni; ab şṛìklaalebhyah; ad 4 om. sabhayaṇ and kachaṇı katham api, reads säluasikāni and mahaduṣkaraṃ; ad 5 parassmin naisṣchike; 6 c drǵaḥ; ad 6 imām evāvasthạ̄n, sücaḥ (for särathih), om. anusaranaạn nątyyan rathastho; ad 7 om. salharşaṇ!, readd ãrya paśyāyanu asau; ad 8 àpatati; ga salhasā, gc visísirna-; ad 9 om. sisiscaryaṇ, reads kṣana-paricitena; soc priscā̀d agre; ad 30 preraya, oun. satvaraṇ, and dūrato drṣṭyā sinaandamp; ad $\mathbf{1 2}$ om. sakhedaup, ins. aye; before 13 aranyoddcśaṇ, oul. săluandaṇ!; before 14 äścaryaṃ paśya; ad 14 anh lanyiyäni sabluäjanäa!; ad 15 savismayam (for savinayaṇ), ev.ı (for iva); 16 a älustante, 16 J ấritya; àd 16 om. anādhâo, miss. 'srutvā, reads cva، sud itt, transp. atra (after avinitañaăm), vin. bluyăraünạ̈!̣, reads punar nepathye; ifd udgata-sikina-; ad 17 adhyagny upäsino, täpusa-vesadhàrinạ; before 18 om. yathānirdsistā̆́s ca and reads vidyăś ca; before 19 onn. prac-channa-räksassasya; 20a krodha (for ä-krósa); 20c pavana-raya-, before 21 oun. aye; 21d nayämi; ad 22 tävacyo (for bhagavatyo) and atra (for apy asya), om. atra (after mayä); before 24 onl. dưą̆tman; 34 - dakṣan!; ad 25 on. durătman; ad 26
om. two lines, om. kathaya and reads kim nàme (for kasmai); ad 27 transp. durâtman and yady evam; ad 28 om. one line, tad arhati daksiṇãm idam dānam te; before 30 parigrahah paramam; ad 33 sajjibhavààmi.

Act III ad 2 bhilingì, avakkavāmi, bhrịigi; ad 3 ca (for eva); $4^{c}$ transp. na and asya; ad 4 om. dirghamp; ad 9 om. aham satvaran upaganya; ad is transp. tena and muminä; 12 b viruddhair; ad 12 aye prāpta evãanu durā̀mā Hariŝcandra-hatakaḥ; ad $12 \mathrm{om} . \mathrm{me}$, reads savismayam aye katham ay aṇ, s.ikrodhuṃ (for dhuk), -malhã- (for -mithyä-); ad 14 om. śãpa-jalan upasamplurcya; belore is savailaksyam; ad 15 trausp. drsṣv̄a and saharsanm, om. katham iyaụ vaṇig-vithi; ad 16 samărabdhaṇ, śrutvā; ad 17 susaṇmataṇ, transp. maṇ jieva and imassiṃ kajije, reads avacchimo dāni aamp panaa, ins. kị̆adha after ajjā; 18 d sphuritaṃ; ad $\mathrm{\Sigma} 8$-pajuuvāsam, savva-käriṇi, tummânaṃ, om. vā aṇno, inss. cli (after gacclā̃al!!); rgb vindllyasthannironmadailh; ad 19 ins. idiso me samnao tti; ad 20 transpo idam and avasthāntaram, ins. iyam, om. kila; ad 21 om. säsraṃ, reads dulukhanbhăginam, kim ity evam, ins. iti (after priirabdham) and ajia before nàrihadi; ad 22 nanv anumatah evaiṣa; ad 25 uajihhäa, sudiṭchann ajjauttaṃ karemi nãtayati, rājānam avalokya svairaṇ svairam gacchati; before 26 om . ca; ad 26 àvuka patituähi parittäahi, iti niskrāmatah; ad ${ }_{3} 1$ reads sad!̧́snn (for culyam), šälameā̃, surāe, ins. avalukya sakhedam, cransp, sasamblhramam upagnanya ${ }^{\circ}$ and prakäsam, ins. svagatam before and prakäsam after vädhaṇi; $3^{2 \mathrm{~b}}$ gumuma-shhänà ilhiyarat, 3 2d malhatulake ; ad 32 om . bhagavan prasida prasida; ad 33 om . two lines; ad 35 ins. grlîivà before gacclä̈mi and reads aparah (for prakåsaṃ).

Act IV acd krtyaṃ khalu sántramp; ad 3 reads pị̛ayati mâṃ; 4d düre; ${ }^{5 c}$ ca patieàsis; ad 6 ins. agrato' valokya (atter sūvastamblanm) and idam (after mahāsmaśänaṃ); belore 12 om. tathā̀ hi; ad 13 ins. mallä̃; 14 c vidambica-caräcara-vibhramaḥ; 16 b vajijha-sthänamp; ad 17 vividha-bhüda-vedäla-saṃkule, transp. appamatteṇa and ciṭthidavvamp; ad 19 savismayam, transp. khalu and nu; 20c -samghaṭạakulita-radas; ${ }_{2}{ }^{2} \mathrm{C}$ brahmendra-rudra-murajit-pratimo' pi; ad 29 aho vrata cäritā; ad $3^{1}$ ins. yathā, reads sariram, svàmi-virodlaḥ, samblaìvaniyam, tenaiva, om. bhavatā and second protsarata; ad 33 saharsam (for drẹtyā), tivra-
tapobhih, yatah, (for tatah), vardhasva, siddha-rasasya, mahärasendraḩ; ad 34 svämy-anurodhe'pi, iti anumata evāyam, tataḥ präpyatäm niblụtan eva naḥ sväminaḥ saṃniveśaṃ, idaṃ mahā̆dhanaṃ, om. aho; ad 35 rärrih, sädhavah; ad 36 blăyirathi-tiram.

Act $V$ before 1 malina-veṣa-dharah, om. niḥ́vasya; $2 a$ pralaarnāa, 2c vyasnnotrarena; ad 2 nâatayati; $3^{c}$ matsamgamamp; 5 c na (for tul); ad 5 om. two lines; ad 5 k vāmäkṣi-spandaṃ, ins. säsañka-harṣam; ad 7 apatị-ksepeṇa, om. ale and reads gamissamam, reads pituno vi de pariccattạ̣, transp. maṃ and manda-bhäiniṃ, reads śrutvā sakaruụanı avalokya, vihemi (for bhāāni), om. ahaṃ kim, bhimam (for blisanạam), daṃsito'mhi, akhanḍidāni and tilakhkhetra sambhavāāi, onn. all words from dabbhamkuraim up to saccakam jeva, duḩ̣havyāāãäh, transp. sampinạ̣̄̀ labdhvā and sopälambhaṃ, niṣkaruṇa, reads marma-spřk paridevtaṃ, viṇ̣ãana-vedị̣o, ins. âtma-gataṃ (after säsankaṇ); 8d -dikşäikurrah; ad 8 anya- (for para-); before to om. samjj̄̄āp̣ labdhvā; ad $t o$ tapana-kula-kamala-prabäla, kuśika-mandana-dakṣiṇānrnya-pra-dhäna-punya, on. vatsa and hrdayä-; ad 12 om. aparena; 13 places this stanza after 14; 14 two halves of the stanza transposed; 14d tanaya-vikrnyajena täsu; ad 14 suta-śokīgni-nirdagdhamp; ad 16 -dagdhaṃ (for -dahyamānaṃ); $\mathbf{1 7}$ c yogo viyogaih samaṃ; $a d$ 1 17 aãnamp; 18 d vilanyatce; ad 18 om. äkarnya, transp. sasaṃbhranaṃ̣ and päsáan utstriya, reads ṇa parimukkà imaido dàsa-bhâvado, matanạam, labbladi, onn. sahasorthāya; ad 19 sävaş̣ambhaṃ (for sabăṣpa-stamblamm); before 20 ins. papãta; 20a jããnam, 20c. ŝilạ̣ and satyam; 2 2b kàryaih; ad 21 sasamblaramaṃ, unnilati; ad 22 om . asi, tầa and tachă hi; ad 23 vimānädhikäriṇäm, rājan (for mallärāja), onn, yachedaṃ sarvamin iti, teads ârulyya vegam ästlăya, satya-jijñãsaīai; ad 24 repeats only àsanaṃ; ad 25 transp. devatābliḥ and ablinandyate; 26b sändram snigdham; before 27 om. Shagavan; 27 b transp, asmän and api; $3^{\text {la ecasya (for ädiśya), } 3 \text { ıb drẹtvā. }}$

It should also be noted that like $\mathrm{Dr}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ and like all our Devanägari MSS, Ms knows nothing of the substitutions and uranspositions peculiar to Ni. 2 which we have mentioned above.

Alt these considerations would go to shew that in the main $M_{1}$, like DI. 3 and perhaps secondarily through chem, follows the Nevari
cext-radition, but its large accretion of individual readings would also indicare an unknown source extensively drawn upon for these.

$$
D_{1} \text { and } D_{3}
$$

Although written in Devanägri, these two MSS shew a close relationship with $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. 2, and should, therefore, be classed in the Nevarti group. The affinity is illustrated by a lagge mass of agreement with the exclusive readings of Nt . 2, including insertions and omissions. Taking $D_{I}$ first, we select at random the following instances: Ni. 2 Dı Act I. ad 3 varnayanti; 15 C - I laso'yam; 2 gd räjio.
Act II. ad 4 -dantäntarvartinamp; ad 6 ins. asya; ad 7 sotsihamp; 8 b transp. Iola and näla; 8d -dusthäm; ad 8 älokya.
Act III. ad 10 Hariscandra-hatakasya; ad 12 alika-mädhuryaih; ad $\mathbf{x} 8 \mathrm{om}$. ajīà; before 20 älokya; ad 21 ins, kila (after pratiṣiddhă), and transp. ajjo; ad 22 ins. me (after dậịim), gantum (for nirgantump); ad 25 ävukka; ad 33 om . tat.
 ad 29 om . bhavatu; before 33 ins. tathā hi paśya; ad 33 manyante, om. rājan.
Act V. ad 7 ins. âtma-gatam; before 8 ins. muhuttia-varchimp; ad 18 susüssīe, and ins. tadhä (Saibyä's speech).
Similarly, with tegard to $D_{3}$, we have the following, among other, exclusive agreements with N1. 2 :
$\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{D}_{3}$ Act l. 2td -pavana-dhûtagronnidra- (contrary to metre); ad 22 devo; before 27 cärumadi.
Act II. ad 22 eṣa; before 27 ins. durâtman; ad 29 samälurtya; 320 eva.
Act III. before I sạtopam; ıd om. kkhu; ad 5 om. värāanasi; ad 9 -adhva-prisistäntā; before 12 ins. sakrodham; ad 14 dvitiyym snãnam; before 18 om . kasṭam bhoh kasṭam; betore 20 anenaiva; ad 28 om. punah, ad 33 om. strp̣yantu Stṛuantu visve devàh and read bhagavan vạ̀ham karomi, ksastriyā vayaq na punar abhidhätuṃ jānimaḥ̣ (for vädhạ̣ karomi).
Act IV. ab kịy maula-bhrtyàn; 4 b tachz̄.

Act V. ra dvija-sattamasya; ad 7 vidheh (for hata-vidheh); before ig omit ca; ad 21 sa-vismayamp; ad 23 nâtayitvā (for nâạayan); before 29 om . tachā hi; 3rb anudinam.
There is also a number of cases where we have exclusive Ni. 2 DI. 3 agreements. For instance:

Ni. 2 DI. 3 Act I. ad 9 ins. me (after punar adya) and sa-vismayam (after räjä̀); ad 13 repeat kimp pi; 27 d kapolayos te.
Act lll. ad 19 om. deṣ!̣va sấsaryạ̣; ad 21 ins, idaṃ (before no dhanamp); before 23 ins. etar (after eva), and read hatavideh (for vidheh); before 29 om. aye; ad 35 ins. taye (after bhavia).
Act IV. 8 a amij; ad 13 kasya (for kasyacit).
Act V. ad 18 hā (for tà).
These concordant readings, especially the concordant insertions and omissions, which cannot be casily set aside as accidental or intentional, are numerous and striking enough for postulating that Ni. 2 and Dr. 3 must be ultimately traced back to a lost common ancestor $\beta$, which must have been different from the lost common ancestor \& of the Devanägarī group.

But $D_{1}$ and $D_{3}$ (as well as $M_{1}$ ) have also a number of individual disagreements with Nı. 2 thus:
Act ${ }_{1} .{ }_{3 a} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, 2$ ānanda-glathităt!; $\mathrm{DI}_{1}$ (wtih $\mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ and other D MSS) -Ŝlathitäh; $D_{3}{ }^{\circ}$ grathitäh. ad 4 Nr. 2 Vijaya-koṣcla naptuḥ; $D_{1}$ vijaya-koṣtha-kaver naptuh!; $M_{1} D_{3}$ vijayakosṭhapranaptuh.
Act III. ad ${ }_{21}$ Nı. 2 tvayā dârunạạ karma prârabdhaṃ; $D_{ı}$ (wich $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ) tvayā prärabdhạ̣ iti; $D_{3}$ vyavasitam idam itio. Before $26 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ sa-kopaṃ are kapila-makkaḍà; Dı ale makala-valua; $D_{3}$ ale vadua; $M_{1}$ ale le va.***
Act IV. ad ${ }_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}}$. 2 samprati dṛ̣haṃ pịdayati màm devī ( N a om. devi); $D_{1}$ samprati piḍayati mäṃ; $D_{3}$ dẹ̣haṃ măṃ saṃ-
 Di -muṇaa-lulitäh; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$-kaṇtha-lụ̣hiähh; Mı -kaṇtha-lulicäh (as in rext). Belore 22 Ni. 2 dtsṭi-virodham; $\mathrm{D}_{1}{ }^{\text {onirodham }}$ (wich M1); $D_{3}$ rodham. ad 33 Nı. 2 tad anu svädhinā
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vayamp; Di tad anu seadhi nah; $D_{3}$ atah sädhi naḥ; $M 1$ atas tvaṃ sádhi naḥ (as in text). Before 34 Nr. 2 sampsiddharasasya; $D_{1}$ asya sampsiddhasya; $D_{3} 7$ asya siddha-rasasya; $\mathrm{MI}_{1}$ siddharasasya. ad $35 \mathrm{~N}_{1} .2$ sädhayämah (with $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ); $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ sädhayāmi; $D_{3}$ gacchämạ̣. $3^{6 a} . N_{1} .2$ tamo-niurbhinna-gahana- (with Mr); DI tato nitbhidya gahanam; $D_{3}$ tamo vicchidya gahanam.
Act V. Before $9 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ ins. tad alam avalokayāmi tävad idānị̣̄; Dr ins. tad avalokayāmi tāvat; $D_{3}$ ins. tad avalokayāmi
 $\mathrm{D}_{1}$-vaitaraṇịsu sūci-; $\mathrm{D}_{3}, 7$ vaitaraniṣu vīci- (with $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ). ad 16 Nr. 2 asahya-suta-śokägninā dagdhaṃ; Dı aśakyaṃ śokāgnidahyamānaṃ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ suta-śokāgni-dahyamāna!̣; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ asahya-sokägni-dagdhaun.
Of all our MSS, again, both $D_{1}$ and $D_{3}$ have a fairly large number of individual readings which are not traceable in other MSS. With reference to $\mathrm{DI}_{1}$ we may cite the following instances, which, however, are not exhaustive but illustrative:

D 1 Act I. ad 4 tvayäbhinetavyaṃ; ad 9 guru-vacanänı; just before 19 jedu jedu; ad 24 om. kulapatnā; before 29 manovinodasthānam.
Act II. 3 c hita-vyasanāya siddho.
Act III. ad 9 devī dïrghādhvam anuśrāntā; ad 11 tathā bhavatu; 18 c tac cetasä; ad 18 ins. suṇadha (after tu), om. kim Ghaṇädha, om. tã gacchadha pasidadha, reads paoaeṇa and om. sädhū; 19b vyasta-payoda-; ad 21 ins. khalu (after mäm), reads kim evaṃ, and vistara-Sravaṇasya, ins. idrśam (after prärabdhaṃ) and reads atra nirbandhayituṃ; ad 25 devo (for ajjo), bho devi äaccha, muhuttam paḍivālehı jāva naam ajiauttam sudiẹṭham karomi, gamyatäı̣ ganyatäm; before 26 om. tat, reads kiṃ tumạ̣; ad $3^{2}$ praṇidhänato'pi nāsya räjn̄o Hariścandrasya tulyaṃ paśyämi; before 32 a long passage is subscitured for all words from bhoh sidho to bhadra bhavān arthi; uransp. verse 35 ; ad 35 g!thnāmı
(for gacchämi) after which ins. a long passage; om. stvailaksyam tathā karoti.
Act IV. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ viyam; 8b kroóäkrandaiḥ; 17 a jalpanty ulūkā; before 20 parihāsa-pracaya-durvidagdhāno; before 21 ins, yatah; 22 b -pracala-; ad 21 csăm (for amiṣặ̣̣); 22b pāda-nyäss-skhalita-visamo; ad 22 transp. śmaśānädhipatcḥ and sväminaḥ; ad 30 ins. api (after blavān); before 34 grhyatäm (for upayujyatäm); ad 35 mahärāà, rajanī vartatce (for vartate vibhãvari).
Act V. $2 c$ yathā; $3^{\text {a }}$ dīna-vadanā; gd $^{d}$ purậaa-citra-likhitā; before so ālokya; ins. hā vatsa and reads dantänkurasya; ad 12 om. durātman Hariścandra-lataka; ad 17 ins. ajja vi (after kadham) and reads edassa.
The individual readings of $D_{3}$ (wich or without $M_{1}$ ) are perhaps more numerous;
 maṇalena; ad 4 räcsịă, and om. kila (after sa) ; ad 5 om. tan-ṇimittaṇ and saharsam; ad 6 ajjo (for piavaasso), om. -niyama; ;ad 7 ins. vilhasya, om. vayasya and idam; 14 c -madhurā; ad 14 om. yathä-nirdiṣ̣ă and ṇipphalaa; 15a -lolupäs te; before 17 ins. bloo ad 24 bhüyăḥ, satvaram, ins. a long passage jaṇ devo änavedi etc.; ad 28 (after the long Prakrit passage) vinoda-vistara-shhānaṃ.
Act Il. ad 6 -dalāni, ins. balhiḷ, transp. daršayāmi and âtmānạ̣, om. rathastho; 8d dantägraə, kathanı ayaṃ mamāpi mukhaṃ; 9d vyapagana-krị̣à-; roc vrajati; add 10 gamisyati; ad 14 āyuṣman (for svāmin); ad i 7 divya-rūpeṇa, -homa-sādhanāgni-; ad 22 yäăăṇ siddhaye präpto'han autaräyaḥ; ad 28 manīsiṇah säḍgunyärtham tu tasyaiva dakṣinàm dâtum arhasi; before 30 on. kila blagavatah; 3rd tubhyam adya.
Act III. 3 d s.sakalah pracalas's ca mauliḥ; before 13 närhasi, alikandēnamädhuryarsambbaîvanäkhyätra; ad 19 om . garuo; ad 21 mahäbhïga (for mahâtman), and ayam (for idànị̄), mạ̀
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nirbandhayitum nārhasi; 25 c bālakaśs cāpi rakṣyo; ad 25 om . sānunayam, ins. ajjo (after mamp), and gamyatām (for viramyatäm); before 26 āh vadua kahim me ambà nịadi; ad 26 upagacchasi; ad 29 mahānubhāvaḥ; before 31 sānucaraśs cäṇ̣āla-vesa-dharo dharma-rät.
Act IV. ad 13 om. ākarṇya, reads diganta-pātināṃ, vihagānām säṃrāviṇam; ad 17 onl. nissä-kalakale; before 18 om. tathā hi; s8c -kuhara-dari-karna-vivarā; ad 21 ins. idānīm; ad 24 ins. cva and om. ko'yam iti; ad 29 vriḍitena; before 34 om. mahä-nidhānasya; ad 34 splits up a sentence as a dinlogue.
Act V. before 5 nirvarnya (for vicintya); 7d saraṇaṇ mama; before 8 sa-karuṇam (for sāsram); ad 8 om. bhagavantam; ad 9 ins. nṛpa-kula-bäla-prabäla; ad 12 om . (hapl.) katham adyāpı etc. (a long passage), but ins. it later; before 13 tat kim atah param drașṭum icchasi dhıñ mūrkheri mürchati śnnair utthāya; ad 19 ins. muhuttaaṃ (after bhaddamuha); ad 25 vimänacäribhir devaih; 27 d muktätmamblarıḥ.
At the same time we have some exclusively concordant D 3. 3 readings, of which a few instances may be given here:
D. 1. 3 Act I. before 8 yataḥ (for kutah); 26c prabhāvāt.

Act II. Ib mustäkirnaa-sthalāni.
Act III. 7d ksetram amalaṃ.
 jayatı.
Act V. before 13 tyajasi (for parityajasi), etc.
It should also be noted that like $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ and like all our Devanägari MSS, $D_{1}$ and $D_{3}$ know nothing of the omissions and insertions of lonyer passages, as well as of the substitution and two notable transpositions peculiar to Nr. 2 which we have mentioned above. This is an important point in which N1. 2 stand apart from all other MSS of different types, and which presumably throws doube on the superior reliability of the Neväri cradition.

It is clear, therefore, that while Ds. 3 have a large number of exclusively concordant readings with $\mathrm{N}_{1}$. 2, there is also 2 large
number of divergences and individual readings. All this would not permit a direct affliation of Dr. 3 with Ni. 2, but would point to a lost intermediate source drawn upon by $\mathrm{Dr}_{1}, 3$ for these divergences and individual readings.

We may, therefore, represent the inter-relationship of the MSS of the Neväri group, that is to say, of $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2, \mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{1} .3$ graphically thus:


## The Devanägarì Group.

We have considered the characteristics of the Neväri group in some details, because once the distinct textual tradition of this group is established, it would follow as a corollary that the Devanăgari group, which is differentiated by divergent readings on the large number of prints considered, should be regarded as representing a separate textual tradition; and it would not be necessary to go into minute details again for establishing it.

This group consists of the following MSS: $\mathrm{D}_{2}, \mathrm{D}_{4}, \mathrm{D}_{5}, \mathrm{D} 6, \mathrm{D}_{7}$. $S_{1}, S_{2}$ and $S_{3}$, as well as the two printed texts $\mathrm{PtI}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ of which the last two may be taken as possessing the value of eclectic MSS. Since it has been found by experience that no two MSS, which are not direct copies of each orher, are found to agree in all details, che MSS mentioned above of this group have their large and small differences with one another; but substantially chey present a more or less uniform text.
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$$
\mathrm{D}_{2.4}-6 \mathrm{Sz} .3
$$

With the exception of $S_{2}$ and $D_{7}$, to which we shall revert presently, these MSS generally fall together. It is very rarely that they have, individually, the exclusive $\mathrm{Nr}_{\mathrm{r}} 2$ readings. There are some instances of such agreement, but they are sporadic and textually unimportant. Such as:

N1. 2 D2 Act V. ad 28 om. puṇya-saṃbhäreṇa.
Ni. 2 D4 Act III. ${ }^{5} 5 \mathrm{param}$ asti loke. Act V. ad 23 avalokyatäm.
Nı. 2 D 5 Act I. ad 16 om. äḥ; ad 20 krodhaṃ.
Nı. 2 D6 Act IV. ad 21 kutühalatayā. (with M1 S3).
Ni. 2 Si. 2 Act I. before 9 mảm apidãniṃ.
Nı. 2 S3 Act I. ad 6 -Bodhāyanenopadiśyamāna-. Act II. $30 c$ antarāyam.
Sometimes these uncommon agreements with $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2$ appear to lave percolated through $D_{1}$ and $D_{3}$ of the $N e v a ̄ r i ~ g r o u p, ~ b o t h ~ o f ~$ which are written in Devanägarī characters, or presumably through some MSS of the same type not known to us. Thus, we have:
$\mathrm{N}_{1} .2$ Di, 2 Act II. ad I araṇyāni. (with Mi)
Ni. 2 Di. 4 Acr I. before 10 kopasya käraṇaṃ.
Nı. 2 DiSz Act I. ad 9 om. ıti. Act II. before 7 do not repeat ārya. (with $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ).
Ni. 2 D S $_{3}$ Act I. ad 20 älokya; before 27 repeat pasidadu.
N1. 2 D2. 3 Act I. before 2 ; śantyudaka-bhäjanahastal? (with $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ).
$N_{1.2} D_{3} S_{2}$ Act IIl. Id hagge; 2 ge vellad-dhvajāmśsuka-.
$N_{1.2} D_{3} S_{3}$ Act II. ad 18 päsanḍädhama, which Nr. 2 transpose also.
Of the various MSS comprised in this group, individually considered, the MS St, written in Devanãgari, has litele distinctive characteristics of its own; while $S_{3}$, though written in Gantha characters, is too fragmentary to be seriously considered for text-critical purposes. Similatly, $D_{5}$ possesses little individuality which need be profitably noted here. The text of D6 is substantially the same as that of the princed edition Ptr, which appears to have utilised it. But $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ has
some independent readings and two remarkable instances of individual insertions, which are not to be found in any other MSS. The insertions are :
$D_{4}$ Act V. before is ins. bäla etāvatyo durvāhyā api, ectc.-a fairly long passage.
Act V. ad 24 ins. a whole verse: âkssipya yen.ı bhuvi pâtita eṣa bälạ̣, etc.

## S2

The MS S2, written in Grantha characters, is also unfortunately fragmentary. It is, however, the only Souch Indian MS which shows some direct or indirect verbal agreements exclusively with NI. 2. For instance:
$\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ Act I. ad 5 adhomukhah.
$\mathrm{N}_{1 .}{ }_{2} \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ Act IV. ad 13 vihangamânäm.
Ni, 2 Di. 3 Sa Act V. ad 7 nătayati.
$\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} .} 2 \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ Act III. Id hagge; 2 gc vellad-dhvajāmśuka.
le has also a fair number of individual readings which are not traceable in any orher MSS. Thus,
$S_{2}$ Act I. ad 5 om. kuśilavailh saha. Act II, rb sthaputayati nitarạ̣̄̆ ghonayā caṃkhanayya; rcd jālāny uckrtya balvād ghurughurita - mıhā - śabda - ghoram dadhānā / dharmäraṇye kuruta na kiṭịh kāny ayaṃ yāni täni//; ad 2 varäha-vesah (for raudrojivala-vesaḥ); before 24 transp. aham and viditah; $33^{\text {d }}$ durnayam enam amba. Act III before 10 ardham nabhah. Act IV. ad 3r bhagavàn (for bhavãn). Act V. 2 b visícşạ̣ nayatāpi cena; $3^{d}$ papaṃ tu saṃvetri mām; $5^{\text {a }}$ padam.
S2. 3 Act II. ad 15 sa-vitarkam (for sa-vinayam); ad 17 nepathye himssàm avalokya.
Act V. ad 7 (Saibyä's speech) kasaṇāhi (for kaṇhähi).
These discrepancies are not extensive; but they are striking enough to prevent us from including $S_{2}$ in a lump wich Da. 4-6 $S_{\mathbf{x}}$. 3, as well as with $D_{7}$ (see below), alchough substanctially $S_{2}$ belongs co che Devanägari group.
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Pir and Pe 2.
It is not necessary to take the two printed texts Ptr and Pta in detail. Printed in the last century and based on admittedly insufficient manuscript-material, they could not be critical or definitive; but so far as they go, they present a fairly smooth text of the type presented by the Devanägari group. The text of the two editions, however, are not identical in all details. Pti has nearly the same text is D2. 4-6, although it appears to have used Pc2 and notes some variant readings. Pt2 shows certain differences and some better readngs (occasionally adopting readings of $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{D}_{7}$ ), but they are such is one would normally expect in two individual MSS of the same ;roup. We would, therefore, cake $\operatorname{Per}$ as having the value of two clectic MSS, or rather as two eclectic codices impressi sypis, of the Jevanägari group.

## $\mathrm{D}_{7}$

The case of $D_{7}$ is somewhat different. It is our oldest dated xemplar of the Devanägari group. Like $\mathrm{S}_{2}$, it shows a number of idividual readings not found in other MSS; but what is more emarkable is that it shows some striking agreements with the exclu. vely N $_{1} .2$ readings. For instance:
J. 2 D7 Act I. ad 6 ins. ayam (after kathamp); see page xix.
ict II. Ib utkramenaiva.
lct III. ad I duddha-.
ict IV. ad 17 ānāe; 21 c nālam.
cct V. ad 7 om . sée; 10 a grathita-mangala-; 18c sarvathā (for bluūr iyam); cakkavatti-facchana-; ad 21 do not repeat janaih; ad 22 ins. ce (after ayam); ad 23 om. divyam (with $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ ); ad 29 bhavatu (for ascu).
Some of such agreements come apparencly secondarily through ich Devanägari MSS as $\mathrm{Di}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{3}$, which, as we have seen above, e more closely akin to Ni. 2. For instance:
'1. 2. D1. 7 Act I. ad is ins. sa-khedam. Act III. 14d tadä; ad 22 me (for mame); ad 33 om . me (after dàsena).

Act IV. ad I sutarām sokah (for à sokah); ad 3 I siddha-rass. mahänidhänaṃ; ad 33 yato'naparādhyam. Act V. ad 9 (Saibyä's speech) ins. sa-vyāmoham (after utthāya).
N1. 2 D3. 7 Act II. before 12 ins. äścaryam (after sa-vismayam); ad 29 ins. me (after parihertya). Act III. before 3 ins, bhävinamp; ad 25 ins. vatsa (before yatra).
Nı. 2 Dı. 3.7 Act I. $35^{\text {a }}$ kşapita- (with S2). Act II ad 9 om. kgtah. Act IV. ad 3 I ins. kathaṃ (before yoga-balât).
The individual readings of $D_{7}$, however, are not too numerous; For instance we bave

D7 Act I. 14 c nirasah; ad 24 mahärāo ; 25 c nụpate.
Act II. before 15 tapovanānāṃ; before 17 tad eva; ad 26 om, bhagavan śrūyatảm; ad 28 ins. maniṣinām.
Act Ill. ad 21 ins. tu; ad 22 om . iti; ad 25 muham ajjauttassa. Act IV. 25 b nārāsthi-; 25 c kapäla-maulih. Act V. ad 5 säntam šāntam; before 8 (Saibyā's speech) alakkhanaam saṃdițṭhaṃ; ad 17 jivita-phalam; ad 19 om . hā; 21d brahmalokān; 27d sahasā; 29d kiṃ syād etat param apı matam.
These primary and secondary agreements of $D_{7}$ with $N_{1}$. 2, as well as its individual readings, are interesting enough indications; but since they are neither so extensive nor textually important as those of $D_{1}$ and $D_{3}$, the codex $D_{7}$ cannot be directly affiliated to the Nevari group. It belongs substantially to the Devanàgari group, but since it agrees in some cases with the textual tradition of the Nevari group, is appears to draw also upon archetype a, just as Ni. 2 draw upon the archetype $\beta$. In other words, it is probable that the agreements of $D_{7}$ with $N_{1}$. a are not primary, but the sesult of conflation with $\beta$.

From what we have said about the different MSS of this group, it would be clear that their common characteristics are sufficient for partulating a lost common ancestor a of the Devaniagari group, distinct from the lost common ancestor $\beta$ of the Neväri group. The interrele cionship of the Devanagari group of MSS may now be graphically represented thus:


We can now summarise and coordinate the results of our critical survey of the characteristics and affinities of the various MSS of the C'anda-kausike, collared by us, by classing them finally according to the following stemma codicum:


## PROPOSED METHOD OF TEXT. RECONSTRUCTION

It is clear from what is said above that the MSS of the Canden kausika, as they have come down to us from different sources, are already dispersed into two groups, which appear to follow two distinct textual traditions. None of the groups as a whole can be taken as identical with the original archetype of the work from which they descended; otherwise the discrepancies, such as they are, would not have occurted. As in the case of most Sanskrit works, the archetype itself is preserved in no autograph of the author, nor in any authentic copy contemporaneous with or even reasonably close to its peciod of composition. We can, therefore, reconstruct the original, only approximately, by recognised principles of rextual criticism.

The first and most important textual problem which we have to consider is the respective authenticity of the evidence furnished by the two groups mentioned above, namely, the Neväri and the Devanägaris It is clear from the facts adduced above that we cannot give absolute preierence to the one or the other, because none of them go back directly to the original. And yet a comparative valuation is desirable. At firse sight one would thiuk that since the two Nevari MSS, Ns and $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, are the oldest of the two groups ( $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ going back to $125^{\circ}$ A.D.), they furnish the oldest available testimony, to which greater authenticity should be atrached as such. But from the general trend of Indian text-tradition ic should be recognised that the mere fact that a MS is the oldest does not necessarily imply that it embodies the best textual tradition. On the contraty, a comparatively late MS is often found to preserve the text in a much better form. No absolute criserion, cherefore, can be laid down on this point; and each MS or group of MSS has to be judged on its own intrinsic valuc. In the preseat case it appears that the divergences of the Neväri group, such as noted above, are peculiar to icsell, and are not supported by the general cradition of che Devanägari group, which has aloo its own
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peculiar readings. It is obvious, therefore, that the original cannot be found exclusively in either group. As a matter of fact, proceeding on the assumption that Nr. 2 are our oldest available MSS, we attempted to reconstruct the text on this basis alone; but we soon found out that this method failed to give us a really consistent and satisfactory text, especially as the Devanägari group did not always support it and often gave better readings. We have already referred to one important point regarding transposition of passages ( $p$. xxix) which would throw doubt on the absolute reliability of the Neväri tradition. On the other hand, the text could not, for the same reason, be reconstructed on the basis of the Devanägari group alone. It is also important to note that our MSS divide themselves into distinct groups mainly, if not entirely, on the basis of scripts. ${ }^{1}$ As the work itself was presumably composed in the Devanägari area, it seems probable that the Nevarī MSS, though the earliest, did not (like the South ladian Grantha MSS S2. 3) preserve the best text-tradttion, which can be contidently accepted as unquestionable in the face of the contrary testimony of the Devanägari group. By following, therefore, any particular MS or group of MSS which, however good or old, has its own faults and deviations, we would be authenticating just that arbitrary reconstruction which it is the express aim of the method of textual criticism co avoid.

In these circumstances, our reconstituting of text must necessarily be eclectic; but it must be eclectic on recognised principles. From this point of view it appears that barring such vagaries and pecular

[^0]
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aberrations, as are noted above, of both the groups, they agree generally with regard to the rest of the text. We can postulate this as original and independent agreement between the two groups, on which we should primarily base our seconstruction of the text. Such agreement is documentary evidence prima facie between a and $\beta$ of our stemma codicum leading irresistibly to the original archetype. There can be hardly any doubt about this sound and simple rule; but difficulties arise where there is fluctuation, which is indeed not race. In such cases, where the documentary evidence is uncertain, the comparative value and mutual relationship of different manuscripts or groups of manuscripts should be taken into account in estimating their evidence; and even where this fails to guide us, choice would fall upon that reading which has the greatest intrinsic probability, considered from the points of view of context, grammar, metre or sense. One such instance of importance is furnished by the transposition, already noted above, of a passage before or after V. 18 made respectively by the Neväri and the Devanägari MSS. Here the documentary evidence being conflicting, we have to prefer, for reasons of intrinsic probability, the reading of the Neväri MSS to the exclusion of others. On the other hand, in the case of the transposition of a longer passage on the episode of Kausika's curse on the Viśvedevas, we have for the same reason preferred the reading of the Devanägari MSS. There are also yet more difficult cases where the evidence pro et contra of documentary and intrinsic probability is equally balanced. In such cases there is no alternative but to accept that reading which would seem most probable, even if it is not the most factual.

At any rate, we have cried to keep strictly to documentary evidence in accordance with the principles enunciated above. The spelling has been standardised and obvious scribal blunders silencly corrected; but all truly variant readings have been faithfully recorded. Except in the case of Prakrit passages, which we shall discuss separately below, there has been no emendation to the text; and in this respect we have strictly followed the accepted principle of text-criticism that, in general, interpretation should be given preference to hasty emendtion.

## reconstruction of prakrit passages

We have bestowed particular care on the Prakrit passiges of the play. This was a task nor withour great difficulties, for no MS was found uniform or reliable from the standpoint of the rules laid down by Prakrit grammarians. The Canda-bausiha appears to employ only two forms of Prakrit, namely, Sauraseni and Mägadhi. Barring common blunders and occasional inteusion of $y$-and $\vartheta$-siruti, the Sauraseni, being the more familiar Prakrit, is fairly normal, although very often forms of other dialects curiously creep in. Thus, we have aberrations like citt!bci (for cit!tbadi), jubäbio or judbadbivai (for judbädivo or judbädbivadiz), vacchattbalo (for vakkbatibalo), viccbobo (for vikkbobbo), jaba, taba (for jadbã, tadbā) from Mähāāạṣi; odàlida (for odärida), kälanädo (for kärraṇado), ale malaya-ualua (for are makad九-vadua) from Mägadhi; and even kasannäbi (for keṇäbbi) from Apabliramśa. These are, however, sporadic instances, such as are sometimes found even in the best MSS of Sanskrit plays. But the Mägadhi Prakrit, as presented by our MSS, is a more difficult proposition. It is spoken, botk in prose and verse, chiefly by Candälas in the play. That the dialect is Magadhi is not only recognised by Pischel (Grammatik \& 23), but it is also clear from such undoubred Mägadhi characteristics as sf for $s, s$ and $l$ for $r$; nominative singular of a-stems in e; and bage for abam. But even here the MSS are not uniform; for chey show frequent confusion of $\dot{s}$ and $s$, $s$ (e. g. ese for esie, visame for visame, samae for samae exc.), sometimes of $r$ and $l$ (e. g. D2. 4 dirune for daluna); and the use of hagge (Nı. 2), bakhe ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ), abakke or bake ( $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ ), abage ( $\mathrm{S}_{2} .3$ ), - and even abam pi ( $D_{1.2 .4-6 ~ S I ~ P t ~ 1.2) ~ f o r ~ b a g e ~ v i!~ F o r ~ S k t . ~ m p t a h e ~ w e ~ h a v e ~}^{\text {a }}$ variants like mattbas, mande, madale, "miado. All this is indeed confusing. At the same time, when we find that racer forms of Mägadhi are sporadically, but correctly used, e. g. D4 peshadba, $D_{7}$ laskang, we are led to suppose that the disappearance of similar correct torms is due to uatrained scribes, who were always inclined to substitute more familiar forms for chose of rare occurrence. Thus we find Sauraseni dubhele substiruted for Mägadhi dushale; $S$ jifeva for Mg yyevs; $S$ vaijhutpbine for $M g$ rayybactores; $S$ aitpbadi for Mg
ciṣthadi; S äṇū̄e for Mg än̄̈̄̄e, and so forth. Not only do the MSS confuse the two dialects Sauraseni and Mägadhi, but they present many forms which are correct in neither, and which in some instances offend against the elementary rules of Prakrit phonology. The confu. sion of dialects and incorrect forms occur throughout the rext; but they are amply illustrated, more especially, in the Mägadhi verses III. 1, III. 32, IV. 11 and IV. 16, where the MSS are uncertain, and even reckless, not only about Mägadhi Prakrit, but also with regard to metrical regularity in Mätrā-cchandas.

What then is to be done in these circumstances of the general unreliability of our manuscript evidence in respect of the use of the Prakrits in our play, especially of the Mägadhí Prakrit? It is well known that most MSS of Sanskrit plays reveal a similar state of affairs; and most critical editors have been put on the horns of a dilemma about the right procedure to be followed. It is not desirable, on the one hand, that one should, in accordance with the rules of the grammarians, take liberties with the text, but on the other, one cannot allow an uneven and inconsistent text to stand with its wild admixture of dialects. Critics have found fault with editors of plays like Pischel, Hillebrande and Sten Konow who have not hesitated, in such circumstances, to depart from manuscript evidence and boldly restore the deteriorated texts in respect of the prakrits; but the quescion has been rightly posed by Hillebrandt in his cricical edition of the Mudrärakeasa. Maintaining that in such cases of uncertain linguistic evidence of MSS, one has no other alternative but follow the grammarians, he lays down the guiding principle clearly by stating: "At all events, by following the rules of the grammarians we gain firm ground, while by following the manuscripts and their varying practice we are constantly troubled by the feeling of inconsistency. It is of course impossible to write once gaicea, and at another time in the same dialect gaccba, and therefore we are forced to normalise the text even where no manuscript authorises us to do so......... For this reason it will not be considered too bold to restore the Mägadhi dialect throughout even where che manuscripts fail to guide us."

Faced with similar difficulties, we have thought it advisable, cherefore, to normalise the Prakrit (especially the Mägadhi Prakrit) passages
in general accordance with the rules of Prakrit grammarians like Vararuci ${ }^{2}$ and Hemacandra' and in the light of the readings of authoritative texts compiled and discussed by Pischel in his Grammatik der Prakrit-sprachen (Scrassburg 1900). Our MSS variants, however, have been fully noted in every case; and in other respects we have been strictly conservative. It must be admitted that in the absence of proper manuscript evidence the procedure and the result cannot be regarded as wholly satisfactory; but this is all that could be done to approximate to what one would expect to find in a work of the classical period.

We are aware, however, that it is possible to argue that the Prakrit in question employed in our play is really not Mägadhi,but a modified form of Mägadhi which is called Cändàli by some Prakrit grammarians. As the dialect is spoken here chiefly by Candalas, the argument would at first sight appear plausible. According to Märkandeya, the socalled Candạali Prakrit is a union of Sauraseni and Mägadhị? It is described by Purusottama? more vaguely as mägadbī-vikrrib or modification of Mīgadhi. But the rather meagre rules they lay down about this Prakrit is neither very distinctive nor entirely applicable to the present case. In their opinion, the characteristics of Caindali Prakrit are: e- and $o$ - nominative singular of a-stems; genitive singular in -s'sa ; locative singular in -mmi in a-stems; no change in a!tba group; va also for iva; gerundive suffix in -ia (e.g. genhia); and frequent employment of grämyokti or vulgar expression (grämyoktayo babulamp). While the suffix -mmi is also found in Mähârạ̧̣̄ and Ardhamägadhi, and riya or -ia (-una being more common in Mägadhi) is found in Sauraseni and ocher dialects, it is noteworthy that the charecterisics which can be regarded as peceuliar to Cändalili

1 Ed, P. L. Vaidya, with Bhimaha's commentary, Poona 1931.
2 Ed. Pischel, Halle $\mathbf{1}^{8} 77$.
3 Präkrca-sarvasua of Marrkandeya, ed. S. P. V. Bhaţanitha Swami, pe. i., Vizagapatam 1927. P. 107: candati mägedbi-saurasenibhyàm pröyaśo bhavet. The charecteristics of the Cindalf given in this work agree generally with those given in Puruyoteama's work.

4 Le Prakytanmsasana de Purusottame, par Luigia Niti-Doki. Paris 193 \%, pp. 21, 95-6.
exclusively, are not found in the Mägadhi of our play; and there is also no va for iva (except once in IV. 16d), nor any vulgar expression anywhere. Similar Mägadhi Prakrit (mixed, as presented by the MSS) is also found in the Muddärräksasa, spoken not only by the Canḍalks in Act VII, but also by other types of character like the Ksapanaka, just as it is the speech of the Pāpa-purusa also in Act UII of the Cande-kausíika and of the Rakkasa and his wife in the Venissambirita. Purusottama deals with two other dialects called Sakäri, and Sabari, which he describes respectively as viséso mägadbyäb and mägadbin visesas ca prakttyä; but the few characteristics mentioned by him, as well as by Märkandeya, only show that they are as curious admixture of dialects as Cändaall, and do not throw much further light on the subject. The characteristics of Sakāri, moreover, look suspiciously like academic generalisations of some characteristics of the dialect used by the Sakära in the Mỵchakatike, the name of the Prakrit ieself being probably invented therefrom.

If Candali were really a dialect in actual usage, ${ }^{1}$ consisting of a somewhat loose and vaguely described admixture of Sauraseni and Mägadhit, it would perhaps afford an easy escape from our difficulties. But in our opinion the solucion is too facile to be true, especially as our knowledge of the history of these dialects, as of Prakrit dialects in general, is extremely limited. It seems probable that the living knowledge of the Prakrits must have considerably diminished in later tiness with the energence of Apabhramśa and modern vernaculars; and Räjasekhara informs us in his Bëla-ämäyana that people in his time read Prakrit with the help of the Sanskrit Chäyā. It is no wonder, therefore, that MSS of much later times could not distinguish between the different dialects; and in the case

[^1]of the less familiar forms of Mägadhi they were easily tempted to substitute the more familiar forms of Sauraseni. In this way presumably arose such confusion of dialects as we frequently find in the MSS of Sanskrit plays. The grammarian's distinction of Sakäri, Sabari and Cändàli, made in later times, is probably an academic after-thought, proceeding a posteriori on this later confusion of dialects lound in the MSS; and the names Sakäri and Candajii in particular perhaps arose from the supposed attribution of such dialects respectively to characters like the Sakära in the Mrechakatiha and the Candàlas in the Mudrä-räksasa or Canda-kensika. All this is not solving the difficulty but really avoiding it. At any rate, we are not convinced that we can accept this as a genuine and authoritative standard to follow in respect of the Mägadhi Prakrit of our play.

We have, therefore, no sound alternative to the method we have adopted in restoring the deteriotated text (especially in the case of Mägadhi Prakrit ) for the simple reason that the variant and often faulty readings of the manuscripts do not lend themselves to any sensible reconstruction of an archetype from which they may be ultimately derived. It looks as if we are going beyond the duty of an editor and giving not what the author did write but what the author ought to have written. But in the confusing state of our manusctipt evidence it is almost impossible to say what the author actually did write. As long as the manuscript readings are fully noted in the critical apparatus, there should, theretore, be no objection to normalisation, provided it is understood that the constituted text in this case may not represent actually what the author wrote. It is difficult, even by the application of higher textual criticism, to restore the Prakrit passages to their original form, because there is every chance that the authors chemselves wrote in a stylized form which perhaps cended to depart considerably from the original spoken Prakrits, of which not even an approximate description is now available.

## AUTHORSHIP AND DATE OF THE WORK

Not much is known of the personal history of the author except what can be gathered from the work itself. We are informed in the Prologue that the drama was enacted at the cominand of Sri-Mahipàla. deva. There is a laudatory verse (Praśasti-gäthā), in which those who are conversant with old history (purävid) are said to have declared that King Mahipāla was an incarnation of Candragupta (Maurya) and the Karnàtas whom Mahīpäla conquered were incarnations of the Nandas vanquished by Candragupta. The author's name is given as Atrya Ksemuiśvara, although some MSS make it Ksemeśvara ( $D_{1} S_{2}$ ), Kṣemīra $\left(D_{4}\right)$ and even $K$ șemendra $\left(D_{7} S_{1}\right)^{1}$, the last name being obviously a confusion with that of the more well known Kashmiran poet Ksemendra. He is described as a naptr (which in classieal Sanskrit means 'grandson', i.e., son's or daughter's son) of Vijaydkosṭha. Some inferior MSS read this last name as Vijayaprakoș!̣ha, and make our dramatist his pra-napt! or great-grandson. It is curious that the name of the author's father, perhaps a less known person, is not mentioned. The concluding verse of the work speaks of one Kärtcikeya, son of a Ksatriya, who, having been delighted and thritled, disected the production of the play and used to bestow evely day unstinted heaps of clothes, ornaments and gold; may his fame trivel beyond the Milk Ocean preceded by the fame of the poee! Another drama, named Naisadbänanda, by Kṣemiśsvara, which appears to deal in seven Acts with the story of Nala, is nociced by Peterson. ${ }^{1}$ From the extracts given from the beginning and end of the MS noticed, there can be no doubt that it is a work of our Ksemisisvard. There is no mention of Mahipāla-deva in the Prologue, but after chree

[^2]benedectory verses, the Sütradhära says:. Alam ati-vistarenni/adiṣto'smi pariṣadà yatbä hila Vijayaprahoṣ!be-pranaptub kaver äcärya-Ksemiśsurno sya k!tir abbinava maisadbärandam nāma nätakam nạ̈̆yitavyam itil/. It is also noteworthy that the same concluding verse (yenidisya prayogam, Canda-k. V. 31), which speaks of the dramatist's indebtedness to Kärtikeya, is repeated at the end of this drama also.

With regard to the place of origin and date of the work our mformation is meagre and uncertain. From external evidence we find that a verse of Canda-kansika (III. 30, g!̣hyatàm arjitam idaṃ) is quoted anonymously in Viśvanātha's Säbitya-darpana (ad VI. 95a), which can be dated approximately between 1300 and 1350 A.D. ${ }^{1}$ But a higher terminal date is given by the date of the Neväri MS $\left(\mathrm{N}_{1}\right)$ of the Canda-kansike, which was transcribed, as we have seen above, in 1250 A. D. This date can be further pushed back by three citations found in the Sansktit anthology, Sadukti-karnämpta, ${ }^{2}$ compiled by Sridhara-dasa in 1205 A.D. In the first citation, stanza I. 3 of the Canda-kausika is quoted (i.14. 3) anonymously (hasyacit) with justa slight variation (änanda-stimitäb for änanda-s'latbitäh). In the second citation, stanza III. 20 (siro yad avagunthitam) is given (II. 11.4) as Laksmidhara's in the printed edition, but it is correctly assigned to Ksemiśvara by both the Sanskrit College and Serampore College MSS of Saduktio, which we have consulted. But the third citation is much more definite. Of the three benedictory stanzas given by Petersons. from Ksemiśvara's unpublished Naisadbänanda, the first stanza :

1 S. K. De, Sanskrit Puetics, i. pp. 234-36.
2 Ed. Ramavatara Sarma and Haradatta Sarma, Lehorc 1933.
3 Op, cil. P 341. Of the two other verses quoted the third is an culogy of the diversified glances of Siva; while the second, which is worth quoting here, ingenuously describes the playful raillery of Sivà on Siva's unwillinguess to continue the game of dice after he had unsuccessfully staked his necklace of skulls and serpents and his clothing of ashes and hide. The stanza runs thus:
astbi by astbi phani phani him aparam bbasmapi bbasmaiva lac
carmaitat kbalm carma kim tava jitam yeneivam utlamyasi/
naitam dbürta penih harợi sutatam mürdbni stbicäm jäbnavim
ity evam Stronyì sanarma-gadizo dyüte Harab patm oabl/.
akbyäte basitam pitämaba iti trastam kapäliti ca
 paulomī-patir ity asūyitam atba vridā-vinamraṃ śriyab päyäd vab purusottamo'yam iti yo nyastab sa puspäñjalị! /|
is quoted (i. 67.4) with trifling variations and attributed to Ksemeśvara (Ksemeśuarasya).

This would fix the lower terminus of the date of the Canda-kausika at 1205 A.D. But the upper terminus is not known. The negative evidence that it is not cited in the Dasarūpaka of Dhanañjaya and its commentary by Dhanika (c. last quarter of the zoth century) does not prove much; but it indicates that probably our play could not have been such an carly and well known production as Bhaṭta-närāyana's Veni-sambära, which is frequently cited for illustration in the Dasarūpaka. Nor is Canda-kausika mentioned or cited in the Nätyädarpana' of Rämacandra and Gunacandra (c. 1100-1ı75 A.D.), although Rämacandra himself wrote a curious play on the Hariścandra legend, called Satya-bariscandra (see below), and could have known Ksemiśvara's work. No reference or citation is also found in the Nätaka-laksana-ratna-kośa ${ }^{2}$ (before 1431 A.D.) of Sägaranandin.

The only internal evidence for its date of production is to be found in the reference to king Mahipaladeva in the Prologue of the Candakauśtha. If this Mahipäladeva can be identified, we can arrive at an approximate date on that basis. But of the two Mahipālas well known to history, it cannot on available evidence be derermined with certainty whether the Gurjara-Pratihära Mahipāla I of Kanauj (c. g14-945 A.D.) or the Mahipäla I of the Päla dynasty of Bengal (c. 998-1026 A.D.) was Kṣemiśvara's royal patron. The verse and the prose passage

[^3]1 ed. Gaekwad's Oriental Series, Baroda 8929.
2 ed. Myles Dillon, Oxford Univ. Press, 1937.
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immediately preceding it in the Prologue may be freely translated hete. The Sürradhära says:

I am commanded by Sri-Mahipäla-deva who, having gracefully uprooted all thorns (of his State) completely, was a suitor in the self-choice ceremony of Laksmi, who was drawn by his arm-staff revolving, like the Mandara, in the midst of the sea of battle. Of him those who are versed in old history recite a laudatory verse:
(The same) Candragupta who, having resorted to the narurally abstruse policy of Arya Cānakya, conquered Kusumanagara by vanquishing the Nandas, appeared again as Sri-Mahipala-deva, rich in the pride of his arm, in order to slay just chose (Nandas) who have today verily attained the form of the Karnätas.

It is clear that this verse makes Mahipäla an incarnation of Candragupta (Maurya) and his enemies the Karnãtas incarnations of the Nandas; but it is not clear as to who these Karnāṭas wete and what the points of comparison are with regard to the mention of Arya Cānakya's policy and conquest of Kusumanagara. Do they, in the case of Mahipāla, refer to some person who gave him diplomatic aid and the name of the place he conquered by defeating the Karnätas? On the interpretation of this passage and identification of Mahipāla lies the solution of our problem; but shose historians who have considered the problem appear to be divided in their opinion.

This verse was long known from the editions of the cexts published respectively by Krṣ̣a Sāstri Gurjara in 1860 and by Jaganmohan Tarkālaṃkāra it 1867 , as well as from Jivānanda Vidyāsägara's more accessible edition of 1884 . But in 1893 Haraprasad Sastri claimed its discovery in an old Neväri MS (our $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) of the Canda-kansitke which was recovered from Nepal. In the notes he published on these, among other MSS, he was inclined ${ }^{2}$, as against the views of Pischel ( 1883 ), to identify the dramatist's patron with Mahipäla I of Bengal, chiefly on the ground that the king is said in the verse in question to

[^4]have driven away the Karnàteas who, in Sastri's opinion, were the Colas who invaded Bengal under Räjendra Cola I between 1021 and 1023 A.D. ${ }^{1}$. This opinion was vigorously supported by R. D. Banerji,? who even went so far as to suggest, on the strength of chis very evidence, that "chough Mahipala (1 of Bengal) was defeated by Räjendra Cola when he crossed into Räḍha from East Bengal, he prevented him from crossing the Ganges into Varendra or Northern Bengal, and so the Cola conqueror had to turn back from the banks of the Ganges". J. C. Ghosh, who generally agrees with this view, further argues ${ }^{\mathbf{s}}$ that Cānakya and Kusumanagara should be taken as common to Candragupta and Mahipäla, because Mahipäla I of Bengal was, in his opinion, the lord of Kusumanagara ( $=$ Pātaliputra), which he lost and regained from intruders, and that he had a minister named Canaka or Cānakya. If it is said that there was no Karnāta invasion of Bengal, Ghosh thinks that the Bengal inscriptions show that there was no dearth of Karnãṭas in Bengal at that time.

Unfortunately these views of Bengali historians, who would like to appropriate Kṣemiśvata and his royal patron Mahipäla to Bengal, are not accepted by other scholars, who would rather identify him with the Pratihära ruler Mahipāla I of Kanauj. It is not necessary for us to enter into the details of the controversy, but the main arguments of the contrary view may be briefly indicated.
S. K. Aiyangar, who has examined R. D. Banerji's contention at some length, ${ }^{4}$ is of opinion that there is no evidence either in the Päla or the Cola inscriptions in favour of Banerji's theory of a victory over the Cola forces by the Päla ruler of Bengal; and that the Karṇätas of the Canda-hawsika could not have been the Cola forces of Rajendra Cola I,

[^5]
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who were mostly Tamils, but they must refer to the Rästraküṭas, the only reigning Karnātas of the time, with whom Pratihära Maliupāla I of Kanauj came into serious conflict. Influenced, however, by the comparison of Mahīpäla and his Karnāṭa enemies to Canddragupta and the Nandas, Aiyangar further puts forward the theory that the overthrow of the Rāṣ̣rakūṭa power by Pratihära Mahīpäla I must have occurred in Magadha. Accordingly he presumes that a branch of the Rästrrakūṭas, who for some time held a principality in Central India, were compelled by the rise of the Candella power in the soth century to move into Magadha and carve out a kingdom for themselves.
K. A. Nilakantha Sastri, who gives als extensive account of Räjendra Cola's northern expedition, ${ }^{2}$ agrees generally with Aiyangar's view ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ that the verse in che Caṇ̣a-Kansika refers to Pratihāra Mahīpāla I of Kanauj and the Rästrakūṭa-Karnaatas; but he poines out that there is no tangible evidence for the theory of a Räṣrakūṭa migration into Magadha. He is of opinion that this theory is not only untenable but also unnecessary; for the dramatist never meant that the analogy between Mahipäla and Candragupta should be carried so far as to indicate that Candragupta's conquering of Kusumanagara should imply that Mahipäla did the same over again. Nilakantha Sastri thinks that the poetic figure in the verse is Utpreksā, in which there need not be complete resemblance (Sädrśya) but some resemblance in the mudst of the difference between two objects of comparison ${ }^{\text {d }}$. With regard to Rajendra Cola's expedition he shows at some length that the expedition, lasting for less than two years, was led not by Rajendra humself but by one of his generals. It was charged mainly with the task of bringing the sacred water of the Ganges for the purification of the Cola country, and that its commander was instructed to fight and

I In his Colas, Vol, i. Univ, Madras 1935, pp. 247-54 and Note A, pp. 283.98 ; also in JOR, vii, Madras, 1933, Pp, 199-216.

2 JOR. vi, Madras 1932, pp. 19I-98.
3 In Utpreksi, of course, complete resemblance is not necessary; but there is no point in giving details about the Aprakrta, which have no application at all, direct or indirect, to the Praikrta. That would hardly be a merit in the construction as much of an Utpreksia as of any similar poetic figure,
ovectome opposition in the enterprise from the kings through whose tertitory the Cola army had to pass. Agreeing with Aiyanga, Nilakantha Sastri rightly observes that "it could hardly have been more than a hurried raid accoss a vast stretch of country"; but the motive behind the expedition was probably an exhibition of the power of the Colas to the rulers of Northern India, even though the fetching of the water of the Ganges was its direct object. There is evidence to show that MahipälaI of Bengal was frightened and put to Aight by the Cola general, but thete is nothing to show that the Cola army intended to cross the Ganges inco Varendra or were defeated in that attempt by Mahipäla. There is also no support for R. D. Banerij's hypothesis of a Katṛạta contingent in Räjendra Cola's army and of its being left behind to hold the territory of Magadha on behalf of Räjendra Cola.

With regard to J. C. Ghosh's suggestion that Mahipäla I of Bengal had a minister named Canaka or Cạnakya, Nilakantha Sastri shews' that it need nut be seriously considered, as it is based on a misreading of Târänäth's information on which Ghosh relies. Cạ̣aka (and not Cannakya) is said to have been the regent, or rather the king, during the non-age of a distant descendant of Mahịäla, named Bheyapäla; he lived many years after Mahipäla and could not have been his minister. Regarding the allegation that: the Karnàatas are mentioned in the Päa inscriptions, it is slewn that the reference to Karnàtas occurs only in the Bangarh Copper-plate of Mahipàa I in a complimentary jingle on the king's servants: Ganda-Mâava-Kas'sa-Hüns-Kulikn-Läta-Cäta-Bbata-sevakadin-"which is not history but courtpoetry". It should be added that Mahipàla I of Bengal admittedly had dominion over Magadha, but nothing is known about its being lost and regained from the Karnàtas.

It is, therefore, maintained that the Mahipäla of the Cande-kemsika was none other chan the Gurjara-Pratihīra Mahipäla I of Kanauj, under whom Rajaséckhara wrote his Bilhbbazreses (i. 7) and whose conflict with the Rastrraküta-Karnàta Indra III is well known from contempoorary records. The identification is not new, but was suggested by

Pischel as early as $1883 .{ }^{\text {. }}$, But there is some dificuly. While Kṣemisivara asserts his patron's victory over the (Rasstraküţan) Karnäpas, the inscriptions tescify on the other hand, that Indra III put Mahipäla to flight and devastated Kanauj, to which Mahipala was, however, later on restored by the Candella king Harsadeva. This inconsistency is explained by presuming that Ksenisisvara's assertion is a court-poet's version of the accual fact of Mahipala's defeat. Following Pischel, Sten Konow, therefore, writes:" "The Rästrakūṭa-king Indea III, of whom we possess inscriptions of the years 914 and 916, and who accordingly was a contempor ary of Pratihara Mahīīla of Kanyäkubja, says at all events of himself that he conquered Mahodaya (Kanyäkubja). Since, however, Mahipäala's successors continued their dominion over Kanyäkubja, we cannot judge this statement in any other way than stmilar statements found in inscriptions, in which each of the parties to a battle, claims to be the victor. Mahipala's victory over the Karnàtas and Indra's victory over Mahodaya probably relate to che sune occurrence which was differently interpreted by the participants."

Summing up the whole controversy, R. C. Majumarar briefly states the case thus' : "In the absence of further particulars, it is difficult to decide the question one way or the other. The probability is, however, undoubtedly in favour of the latter view. While there is no valid reason to regard Räjendra Cola as a Kasṭàṭa, the Pratihära king Mahipäla undoubtedly had a life-and-death struggle with the Karnàatas under Indra III. It is crue chat Mahipäla was defeated, but the retreat of the Karnąpa forces and the reoccupation of Kanaui by Mahipäla could easily be magnified by the court-poer as a glorious victory of Mahipäla over zhe Karnàtasa; and such an assumption was well calculated to soothe the wounded vanity of the Pratihäras. In any case, it is not safe no decive any inference from Canda-kewitiona regarding che victory of the Päla suler over the Cola army."

[^6]
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In addition to the arguments given above against taking the Canda-kausike as a Bengal work, we may adduce another fact to which attencion has not yet been drawn. Most of the known MSS of the work are written in Devanàgari, and just a limited number in Nevarif, Maithili and Grantha characters; but not a single MS in Bengali characters has yet been noticed anywhere. ${ }^{1}$ This is indeed not evidence but a strangely significant fact.

All these are forceful arguments; but, as R. C. Majumdar points out, they cannot be regarded as conclusive. The case for Bengal is certainly weak; the case for Kanauj is yet not fully convincing. The presumption that a contrary fact is glossed over by a court-poet's flatering version cannot be taken as very satisfactory. Nor is there any reason for gratuitously assuming that the poet of the Canda-kansika did not know the proper construction of an Utpreksaa and redundantly referred to the conquest of Kusumanagara and ATrya Cānakya's policy. Nilakantha Sastri is aware of the weakness of this assumption; but he suggests that a reference is perhaps implied to Mahipäla's restoration to the kingdom of Kanauj by the assistance pately of the Candellas, obtained by the diplomacy of Ārya Ksemisvara, -which is hinted by the poet himself by the mention of Arya Caanakya's similar policy. All this is ingenious, but entirely fanciful. It does not still explair the pointed reference to Kusumanagara.

It is possible to assume, on the other hand, that none of the $\mathbf{E}^{w}$ well-known Mahipälas are meant, but perhaps the dramatist celebrate. some obscure local ruler with the result that these points in the com parison remain equally obscure. One other fact, again, is overlookea by all historians ${ }^{2}$, namely, the reference, in the same concluding verse in both the Canda-kausitha and Naisadbänanda, to Kärttikeya, son of a Ksatriya, as one of the munificent patrons of the dramatist. Who this Kärtcikeya was is not known. Jaganmohana Tarkäloṃkāra, in

[^7]the preface to his edition of the Canda-kansika, believes from the terms of reference that he was a royal personage whose court Ksemisvara adorned. But this would be inconsistent with the explicit reference and panegyric of Mahipāla-deva in the Prologue of the Canda-kausika. As the verse in question refers only to the Prayoga ur production of the play, it is possible that Kàrtukeya's appreciative patronage helped the production, and nothing more; but it is not lear in what relation he stood to Mahipäla-deva. ${ }^{1}$

While admitting that the weight of opinion is in favour of identifying Kṣemisisvara's Mahipāla with the Pratihära Mahipāla I of Kanauj, it is not possible, in view of these difficulties and in the absence of further decisive evidence, to make any positive assertion. Provisionally we can take Ksemiśvata as a contemporary of the more well-known Räjasekhara in the first half of the soth century.

1 Keith (Sanskrit Drama, Oxford 1924, p. 241) attempts to avoid the dalliculty by ingeniously translating the phrase in question k!atra-prasïteb kartuikeyasye kirtim by the words 'che fame of that scion of heroiam, that gorl of war', who bade the drama to be performed, apparently meaning Mahipila himself. That this is not correct is shewn by the repecition of the verse at the end also of the poet"s Naisadbinanda, where the interpretation Would be inapplicable, inasauch as the Prologue there makes no mention of Mahipila-dera.

## THE THEME AND SOURCES OF THE DRAMA THE THEME AND PLOT

The Canda-heusisika deals, in five Acts, with the Puräna Iegend of the sage Viśvämitra Kauśika and king Hariścandea of Ayodhyā, and derives its title from the ferceness of the irascible sage, which brings about the strange trial and suffering of the king.

As it will be necessary to refer to some details of the plot later on, we give here its outline as follows:

Act l. King Hariścandra appears with his companion, the Jester Baudhayana. Because of some evil pottents he has been directed by his family priest to observe all-night vigil. He is not only worn out and weary but also apprehensive that Queen Saibyā might misconstrue his keeping away at night. With his companion he approaches Saibyã, waiting disconsolate with her companion Cärumati, and attempts to appease with loving words her unjust resentnenc. In the meantime an ascetic disciple of the priest comes with holy water, meant for peace and averting of evil, and conveys a message to Saibyà to make special offerings to Brahmans and the family gods. Saibyā now realises her mistake, becomes recounciled to her husband, and hastens to carry out the priest's message. As the king wonders how to dispel his loneliness, a diversion is created by the entrance of a forester who announces widh great flourish the appearance of a wild boar fit for the king's chase. As the Ace closes the king declares his intention, as against the advice of his companion, to go out for a hunt.

Act Il. The Act opens with a description, from behind the scene, of the efforts of luanters to ensnare the wuld boar. Then enters the allegorical figure of Viglina-räj, King of Obstacle, who in the deceptive form of a boar has enticed the king into the forest and is now leading him to the hermitage of Visvamitra. The king enters in a chatioc chasing the phantom boar, which vanishes in the vicinity of the hermitage. Suddenly he hears the cries of some women in distress. Rushing forward to rescue, he offends without knowing the terrible sage Visvämitra, who is merely performing a ritual to bring uader
control the sciences, the Vidyass, appearing before him in the form of chree harassed ladies, but gladly disappearing as soon as they see Hariscandra. Recognising the irascible sage, the king seeks forgiveness for the unwitting offence on the plea that he was merely performing the duty of a Ksatriya. Trapped by his own words, he enunciates the duty as threefold, consisting of liberality to worthy Brahmans, protection of those who are overcome with fear and fight with those who are hostile. Viśvàmitra Kauśika thereupon asks the king to bestow on him gifes worthy of his penance and learning. Afraid of incurring his displeasure, the king gladly makes a gift of the entire world under his dominion; but since a gift is not complete without the final fee cr Daksiṇà, the sage further demands it. Having made a gift of everything he possessed, the king is in perplexity, but he finally decides. with the sage's permission, to earn ir by going to Väränasi which, being the city of Siva, is considered above and beyond the rest of the world.

Act III. The scene, laid at Värānasī, opens with the entrance of embodied Sin (Päpa-purusa) who is apprehensive of Hariscandra's coming to the city, just as Bhringirite, Siva's follower, is glad that Siva and Sivā are eagerly awaiting it. After this introductory scene (Praveśaka) the king is discovered lamenting over his misfortune. It is midday. In order to realise his irrevocable promise to the sage, he is about to enter the market-place for selling himself as a slave and paying off the Daksiña with the money obtained thereby The Kauśika comes on the seene, reminds him that the time-limit for payment has expired, and is abour to pronounce a curse on him for non fulfilment of his promise. The king falls at his feet in abject distress and obtains permission to extend the time-limit till sunset. The action on the stage now shifts to the matket-place. As Hariscandra offers himedf for sale as a slave, Saibyä, who had been following behind, rushes on the seene and offers herself for purchase to a willing buyer. A teacher and his disciple enter. As the teacher's wife is fully occupied with tending the holy fire and finds litede time for domestic work, he wants a woman servant for che purpose and finds Saibyä quite suitable. Discovering her husband near at hand and impressed by his noble appearance, the teacter, full of pity, ques-

## [ lvi ]

tions him, and finds out that the money is wanted for redeeming promise to a Brahman. He gives the king half a lac of gold for the purchase of Saibyã and leaves his disciple to take her away. After a pathetic scene of farewell, the harsh young disciple pushes the child Rohitäša and hurries him and his mother Saibyà away. The Kauśika, now appearing on the scene, is not satisfied with the payment of only half of his dues. At this point the Visve-devas, from behind the scenes, condemn, while travelling in their aerial chariot, the heartlessness of the Kausika in reducing Hariscandra to such a wretched plight. Thus provoked, the angry sage curses them to be born as men, and to be killed by Drona's son while still young; and they fall at once from their high estate. They were born as the five sons of Draupadi and died young. The king, in great fear, declares that he will sell himself as a slave even to a Caṇdala for the redemption of his pledge. As if hearing this, the allegorical figure of Dharma in the disguise of a Candäla enters and offers to buy for half a lac of gold. In spite of great aversion, the mortified king agrees, and repays his debt to the sage who, wondering and embartrassed, departs; while Hariscandra follows his new Canḍala master to become a cemeerery keeper.

Act IV. The scenc is laid in a cremation ground at Vârạnasi where Hariscandra is now a setvant of the Candäla, who directs him to take the blankets from the dead bodies as his due. As he wanders about, he gives a long and hatrowing description of the hedious horrors of the place. Dharma enters again in the guise of a Käpalika to test further the king's fortitude and uprightness. He seeks Hariscandra's service for averting evil while he goes to discover, with the help of a Vetala, some hidden treasure of minerals. As the king is engaged in this occupation, the Vidyäs or Sciences appear and offer their services to him. He does not want any service for himself, but bids thens wait upon the Kausika, who had been baffled before, so that upon the attainment of the sage's object, the king would himself feel freed from all guilt. On che discovery of the treasure the Kàpàlika returns and generously offers it to the king so that it would enable him to redeem himself and his wife from slavery. But Hariscandra declines, because his master is entided to it and not himself as a slave. The Act closes as the dawn breaks.

## [ lvii ]

Act $V$. The cremation ground continues to be the scene of action. One of the Candälas brings news that a grief-stricken woman has brought a dead child for cremation, and bids him demand from her the blanket of the dead. The woman is Saibyä and the child Rohitäsva who has been bitten by a deadly snake while he was plucking flowers for the teacher's daily worship. Hariscandra does not at first know them; but when he listens to her lamentation that the boy was predicted by soothsayers for overlordship of the earth, and that mother and the child are brought to this plight by the implacable Kauśika, he recognises his own wife and son. He is overwhelmed with grief, but checks himself from self-destruction by the thought that as a slave he is entirely in the ownership of his master and has, therefore, no right even to kill himself. For fear of further upsetting the sorrowing Saibyā he does not reveal himself. But when in distress she in her turn wants to immolate herself, he restrains her by reminding, by means of a verse recited from a distance, that as a slave she has no right to do so. As he comes nearer to collect his blanket, Saibyā recognises him; and he explains that in his last straits he has sold himself to a Candaala keeper of the burning ground. As, in discharge of his unpleasant duty, he snatches away the blanket, a shower of flowers fall from the sky, and the gods praise the liberality, character, pacience, forbearance, truthfulness and wisdom of Hariscandra. Saibyä is pleased, but what does it avail with the dead child lying before them? Dharma enters and assures them that virtue is not superfluous in this world. He revives Rohitãisva to the joy of the despairing parents. He pues Hariscandra in a divine aerial car and gives him divine vision by which he is enabled to see that Kausika, having been pleased with the attendance and service of the Vidyäs, has restored Hariscandra's kingdom to his ministers. He also sealises that all this had been really a trial of his character; for the buyer of Saibya and his wife were no other chan Siva and Sivà themselves, while his own master the Candala is Dharma himself. Rohitåjva is crowned king, and Dharma requests Haríscandra to ascend to heaven with his wife. As he refuses to do so without his Eaichful subjects, be is at last allowed to take them along with him. All ends happily, and the play is concluded with universal felicitation.

This, in brief, is the plot and theme of the Canda-kemikik, While the course of action is shaped by the dramatist's invention, be theme is related to earlier literary tradition, from which the details of the legend are drawn, and wich which the author shows an incimate acquaintance. We now turn, therefore, to the literary sources of the Hariscandra legend.

## SOURCES OF THE THEME

## The Hariscandra Legend

In Vedic literature Hariścandra Vaidhasa Aikssuāka (descendant of Vedhas and lksvāku) is a mythical king whose rash vow to offer up his son Rohita to Varuna is the source of the interesting tale of Sunaḥsepa in the Aitareya Bräbmana (vii. 14.2) ${ }^{2}$ and the Säìbbyäyana Srauta-sütra (xv. 17). In this legend Hatiscandra, however, does not appear as a truthful and upright king, as he does in the Epic and the Puränas; for out of affection for the son given mo him by Varuapn he keeps on breaking his promise to the god on some pretext or other. He is punished and aflicted with dropsy, but he recovers when Varuṇa accepts Sunahşepa as a substituted victim. It is also noteworthy that there is no question here of the hostility of Viśvämitra; for Vissuämitra is the Hotr and Vasiṣ̣ha is the Brahman priest at the sacrifice of Sunahisepa which, however, was not completed through the grace of the gods. Sunahisepa was actually bound to the stake, but on Viśvämitra's advice he made his supplications to the gods; and the bonds fell off as he recited verse after verse from the Rguedn.

Viśvämitra ultimately adopts Sunaḥ́sepa and gives him the name of Devarā̃a, much to the annoyance of some of Višvämitra's sons, who

[^8]
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in consequence were cursed by their father. As we have no direct concern with this legend, of which variations are also found in the Purą̣as, we need not dwell upon it here.

In the Epics there is no trace of this legend; but in the Mabäbbärata, ${ }^{1}$ Hariscandra is mentioned as an ancient king, a Rajarsig, who attained Indra's heaven by bringing the whole earch under his sway and performing the Räjasūya sacrifice. The Räjaüya is, of course, an important item in the Aitareya legend; but in the Epic, Hariścandra's Kratu is mentioned as exemplary, and Yudhiş̣hira must perform the Räjasüya in the same manner. There is, however, an allusion to Hatiscandra's cruchfulness in a verse' which describes him as moving in heaven like the moon by his truthfulness. Of his connexion with Varuṇa, Vasiş̧̧ha or Viśvämitra there is nothing.

It is not until we come to the Purånas that we find the KauśikaHariscandra legend developed in all its details. The older tale of Sunahśspa is found in modified forms;' but the story of Hariscandra's suffering at the hands of Kausika-Viśvämitra is narrated in detail only in the Devï-bbägavata ${ }^{4}$ and the Märkandeya-puräna. ${ }^{\text {. }}$

The story in the Devï-bhäguuata, a fairly late production of the 1 1th-i a ch century, ${ }^{\circ}$ is briefly as follows:

1 Sabhä (Poona BORI ed ), 7. 11; 12. 48-70; Sãnti (Bomb. Ed.), 24. s4; Auusäsana (Bomb. Ed.), 65. 2.

2 AnuSāsana (Bomb. Ed.), 185.71. The verse ruas thus: satyam vadata näsatyam satyaṃ dharmah sanãtanaḥ/ Hariscandras's carati vai divs satyena candravat//.

3 e. g. Brabma-puräna, ed. Anandásrama, ch. 104; Devi-bhägauata vii. 12-17.

Pargiter attempts to show (JRAS. 1913, p. 885f; 1917, p. 46f; Ancient Indan Historical Tradition, London, 1922, p. 10f) that the Vedic tradition is Brahmanical and fanciful, while the Epic tradition is of Ksatriya origin and contains reliable historical material. But we are not concerned with this question here.

4 Ed. Benares 1928, vii. 88-27.
5 Ed. K. M. Banerjea, Bibl. Ind., 1862, Adhy. vii-viii.
6 Siuce Skandha IX of the Devi-bb. is taken from the Prakrti-Khanda of the preseat Brabma-vaivarta, a Bengal work of the toth century (R. C. Hazra, Parianic Records, Decea 1940, pp. 166-67), it cannot be dated earlicer.

Once in Indra's heaven Vasisṭha praised. Hariscandra for his munificence, uprightness and other qualities. This provoked Visvàmitra, who remembered how Hariścandra had deceived Varuna. He took a vow to test the king's character. Once, while hunting, Hariścandra met a beautiful young woman weeping in the forest, and learnt from her that she was troubled by Visvāmitra who sought her by his severe penance. Hariscandra approached Viśsämitra and requested him to desist. The enraged sage kepe quiet, but afterwards luted the king into an unknown and trackless forest by letting loose a terrible boar-shaped demon, who broke into the king's garden, but vanished when the king followed and attacked. When Hariscandra lost his way, Viśvämitra appeared before him in the disguise of an old Brahman. The king requested him to show the way our and promised in recurn much wealth. Finding his opportunity, Viśvämicta, on the pretexs of marrying his son whom he created by his mayic power, asked the king to rest and bathe, and be ready to give him his eatire kingdom as a gift worthy of the occasion. Having given his word, the king agreed and returned to his capital Ayodhyä. Viśvämitra followed him there, accepted the whole kingdom as a gift, and curned him out of it so that he might carn elsewhere the proper Daksiṇà without which no gift is complete. At the heardessness of the sage, the king's loving subjects lamented upon his departure. With one moneth's cime-limit, Hariscandra went to Värạasi and at last paid up half the amount of the Daksiṇä by selling his wife Saibyä ${ }^{1}$ and his son Rohita to an old Brahman who wanted to buy them for waiting upon his delicate wife, but who was no other than Visvämitra himself in disguise. After a pathetic scene of farewell, chey departed after being pushed and whipped by the Brahman.

[^9]At the insistent demand, again, of the pitiless sage, Hariscandra resolved to make full payment of his debt by selling himself as a slave to Viśvāmitra himself, who in his turn sold the king to a Candāla, the cemecery keeper, Dharma having appeared before them in this disguise. The gods praised this brave act of Hariscandra and threw a shower of flowers on him from heaven. After a year Rohita, while playing near an ant-hill, was bitten to death by a suake sent by Viśvämitra. But Saibyā was not allowed by her cruel master to see her son until she had finished all her domestic work. When at midnight she went to her son's dead body, peeple took her to be a night-walking fiend chat used to kill children (bäla-gbätini) and handed her over to the Canḍàla. The Caṇ̣̣äla ordered his slave Hariścandra to kill her. When, with great reluctance, Hariscandra gor ready to carry out his master's wish, Saibyä narrated the sad story of her son's death, and sought his permission to bring the dead body there for cremation. When the dead body was brought to the funeral ground, Hariścandra and Saibyā recognised each other and decided to burn chemselves along with their son. They prepared a funeral pyre and meditated on Devī Satäkṣi (i.e. Sākambhari) before entering it. At this moment the gods, led by Dharma and followed by Viśvämitra, appeared with a shower of flowers from heaven and revived Rohita. Indra requested Hariscandra to come to heaven, but he refused to go without lis faithful people. Thereupon he was allowed to ascend to heaven in perfect bliss with his queen and his people.
It will be seen from this brief sésumé that the account of the Devi.bbigavata could not have been the direct source of Ksemisivara; and the date of the Upa-purāna itself would preclude such a presumption. The discrepancies of this Puraina story occur in some important details which are apparently not known to Ksemisvara. Some of these are: (i) The very motive underlying Visivämitra's cruel treatment of Hatiscandea, which springs from his ancient fued with Vasiṣtha. (ii) The meeting of che king and the sage is not accidental but

## [ xxii ]

deliberate, the weeping woman in the forest, apparently created by the sage's magic power, replacing the Vidyäs. (iii) Throughour Vissvā. mitra himself directs the course of action by various means; namely, sending of the boar-shaped demon; offer in the disguice of a benevolent Brahman to get the king out of the forest; the gift sought on the pretext of an imaginary son's marriage; himself purchasing Saibyā and Rohita again in the disguise of an old Brahman, and his ill-treatment of them; accepting the king's offer to become his slave and making him over to the Candàla (Dharma); sending of the deadly snake for slaying Rohita; refusal of permission to Saibya to go to her dead son; his presence at the final dénouenent, etc. (iv) The episode of Saibyà being taken as a night-walking fiend and the Caṇ̣äla's order to his slave Hariscandea to kill her. (v) The way in which the fallen king and queen fially meet and decide to immolace themselves; cheir meditation on Devi Satäkşi, whose glorification is the general theme of the Upa-purāna itself. (vi) Appearance of Indra and the gods, along with Dharma and the pacified Visvämitra, at the end. These, among ocher, details of the Purāana legend are indeed not trivial, but they change the entire aspect of the story, which is obviously not known to Kṣemisisvara in this particular form.

The account given in the Märkandeya Puräna, ${ }^{1}$ which is a muct older version of about the 3 rd-4th century A.D., ${ }^{3}$ is more in agreement with the legend as it is known to Kṣemiśsara, although the

1 Ed. K. M. Banerjea, Bibl. Ind., Calcutta 1862, Adhy. viiiviii, (Eng. trs. by F. E. Pargiter as below; free Eng. trs. in verse of these chapters only by B. Hale Worthann in $/ R . A S, 188_{1}, \mathrm{Pp}, 355-79$ ).

2 The Mirkandeya, which is one of the oldest and most important of the extant Puränas, probably belongs to the 3rd-4th century A.D. (See Pargiter, incrod, to his Eng. trs., Bibl. Ind. Calcutta 1904, Pp. xiv-xx; R. C. Heaxta, Pnranic Records, Dacca 1940, Pp. 8-13). That it is known to the Devi-bbi, is clear enough from the latter's account of Candi's fight with the demons (V. a1-35), which is based upon Märkandeya's better known natrative; and also from an unmistakable reference to it as the Saptasati Stotra (ix. 5a, 86). If the
dramatist makes certain alterations apparently to suit his dramatic purpose. The Puräna narrative ${ }^{1}$ in outline is as follows:

Once upon a time, chasing a deer in the forest, king Hariscandra heard the cries of some women in distress. He did not know that the sage Visvämitta in his hermitage was attempting to master the sciences (Vidyäs) of Siva, which had never been perfected before. The terrible Vighna-räj, opponent of every undertaking, took the opportunity of frustrating it by entering into the king who, thus possessed, approached Viśvämitra and angrily reprimanded him. The sage became enraged, and the sciences disappeared in a moment. Now recognising him, the king fell prostrate in reverence, and excused himself by saying that he was merely discharging the duties of a king who must, according to the Dharmassästras, offer gifts to worthy Brahmans, afford protection to those who are in fear and wage war with enemies. On hearing this Viśvämitra demanded, as his unpaid fees for the Rājasüya sacrifice, gift of the entire kingdom excepting the king's wife, son and body. The king gladly agreed. Taking possession, the sage turned him out of the kingdom with his wife and son, clothed only with the bark of trees. The sage, however, was not satisfied and demanded further fees. With one month's time-limit for payment the king departed,
many important divergences are taken into account, it would seem that the Devi-bh. probably derived many derails of the Kausika-Hariscandra story from some other unknuwn source; but, at the same tine, where the theme is common, the Devi-bh. directly approp:iates many passages from the Markandeya: e.g. Devi-bh. vii. 20. $16 \cdot 17=$ Mark. vii. $4-5 ; 20.18-20=6-8 ; 20.24-25=12-13$; 20. $27=15$; $20.28 .32=16-20 ; 21.6 .8=40.42$; 22. $4 \mathrm{~cd}=50 \mathrm{ab} ; 22.5 .7=$ 52. 51; 32. 8-9 $=53-54 ; 22$. $11 \mathrm{~cd}-12 \mathrm{ab}=55 ; 23.3-5=80-82$ etc.

I In the Puraịa legend Viśvämiera appears to be completely estranged from Hariscandra, to whom he is friendly in the Vedic story of Sunahisepa and Rajasuiya sacrifico. In the Puräna, Visvämitra is represenced as not having received his fee for the Rajasatya sacrifice and not having, therefore, forgoten the slight. This is given as the motive of his implacable trearment of Hatis candra, and bis severity is the dominant feature of the story. Kepemilvara omits this demand of unpaid fee, and replaces it by a different motive.

## [ lxiv ]

while the queen, unused to walking afoot, followed with her son. As his loving subjects mourned over his humilia. tion and departure, the king was filled with compassion; but the angry sage in impatience belaboured the queen with a wooden staff as the king was drawing her along. The five Viśsededevas, full of piry, censured the sage for his brutality. In anger Viśvämitra curses them to be born as men, but exempts them from marriage; they were born as che five sons of Draupadi and died young.
The king, followed by his queen Saibyä and young son Rohita, reached the divine city of Värānasi, the choice (parigraba) of Siva as a place not to be enjoyed by men. As one month's time-limit had expired, Viśsuämitra came and made his demand again, but at last permitted the king to defer payment till sunset. On the advice of Saibyà and in desperacion, the indigent and harassed Hariscandra sold his wife to an aged Brahman who wanted her as a help for his young wife. As the Brahman dragged the queen by the bair, her little boy wept. At her request the Brahman bought him also. Hariscandra delivered the money he received to Viśsämiera who, however, considered it to be insufficient. On the king's supplication, the sage allowed him grace cill the close of the day. In his last straits Hariscandra teluctantly sold himself as a slave to a vile Candala ${ }^{1}$ who, however, was no other than the god Dharma, and gave Vis'suämitra all the price. Hariścanda, as the Candàla's servant at a burning ground, became a gatherer of garments of dead bodies. Filthy, matted-haired, black in appearance and armed with a club, he passed a year in the most abject state. He saw a vision of his future transmigrations with a promise of ulti mate happiness. His son was now bitten by a snake, and the bewailing Saibyà, now 2 slave woman, 'beoughe the coopse to the burning ground. The king trastened to gather

1. The name of the Candakla is given as Pravira in both Dooi-bb. and Märh.-p.
the blanket of the dead. Both he and his wife were so changed in appearance that they did not know each ocher ; but from her lament he at once recognised his beloved wife and his dead son. They wailed in deep suffering, and resolved to immolate themselves on their son's funeral pile ; but the gods, led by Dlarma, intetposed. Dharma declared that Viśvāmitra was now pleased to proffer his friendship. Indra revived the king's son by a shower of nectar and called Hariscandra to heaven with his wife. But as the king pointed out that unpermitted by his master he could not do so, Dharma explained that he had himself personated the Candàla. But Hariścandra still refused to go without his fairhful subjects. Indra agreed and brought ten million heavenly chatiots to take his people. Visvämitra himself, along with the gods, enthroned Rotitäáva in the city of Ayodhyä. In perfect bliss Hariscandra with his queen and his people ascended to heaven; and all ends happily.
Kṣemiśvara, of course, introduces certain variations, to suit his dramatic purpose ; but in the main oudine he follows the narrative of the Märkandeyad Purạ̄na more than that of the Devï-bhägauata. Even passages from the Märkandeya seem to be substantially paraphrased; and the verbal resemblance is sometimes striking. A few examples will suffice:
Märk. P. vii. 18
dätauyam rakşitavyaṃ ca dbarmaj̄̄̃ena mubikssitā/ cäpam udyamya yoddbauyam dharma-sástrānusāratab!|/
Canda-k. ii. 26
dätavyam raksitavyaṃ ca yoddbavyam ksaatriyair api/ gitab puränair munibhir esa dbarmab sanäatanab!//.
Märk. P. vii. 20
dätacyam vipra-mukhyebhyo ye cänye krjia-vrtiayab/ rckyya bbitāh sadä yuddbam karravyam paripantbibbib/||
Canda-k. ii. 27
gnnavadbbyo dujiälibhyo deyam rakeyā bbayäditäb/ arâtibhis ca yoddbouyam iti me niscitiä mazibib/l.
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Märk. P. viii. 4
sa gatuã vasudbā-pälo divyäm värāpasìm purim/, naisā manusya-bbogyeti sülapāneb parigrabab//
Conda-k. ii. $3^{\circ}$
bbagavatab śivasya parigraba-paramam ksetram/
väränasitti vasudbä̀tala-bboga-bbinnam etc.
[Devi-bb. vii. 20. 16
praviśsa vasudbä-pälo divyäm vārāṇasị̀ purim/,
naisā manusya-bbukteti sülapāneb parigrabab/|
closely paraphrasing Märk. P.]
Märk. P. vii, 222
tiryaktve nästi tad dubabam näsipatra-vane tathä/
vaitaranyäm kutas täd!g yādr!sam putra-viplave||
Canda-k. v. 14
andbamtamab-krakaca-bbairaua-püya-vici ${ }^{1}$
canḍäsipatravana-raurava-sälmalisu/
naiteṣu santi narakeṣv api yātanās tā
dublbhena yäs zanaya-viplavajena tulyäb/|
[ Paraphrased in Devï-bb. vii. 26.65
trailokye nästi tad duḅkbam näsipatra-vane' tha vā/
vaitaranyäm kutas täuad yädỵ́sam putra-viplave //].
But there are also discrepancies and omissions which may or may not have been intentional. Some of these are: (i) There is no trace in the Markandeya of the motif of the boar-hunt; but since Kṣemiśvara employs it independently of Viśvämitra's deliberate urick, he could not have taken it from the Devï-bbägavata, but probably from some source now unknown to us. (ii) Visvämitra's demand of the fee due to him for the Räjasūya sacrifice is omitted in the drama. (iii) The Vighnaräj enters the king and leads him to Viśvāmitra's hermitage, but not in the form of a phantom boar as in the drama. (iv) Ksemiśrara omits, perhaps as unworthy, the incident of the belabouring of the queen by the sage; and the censure of the Visve-devas,
which occurs at this point in the Purāna, is transposed later to the scene of the slave market at Vārānasī in the drama. (v) Harişcandra bumself, on che advice of Saibyä, offers her for sale in the Puräna, but in the drama this is done by Saibyä herself. (vi) Hariścandra's vision of future transmigration is omitted in the drama, probably as dramatically unsuitable. (vii) The most important invention of Kṣemisisvara is the episode of the second test of Hariścandra by the Käpalika, who is Dharma himself in another disguise. (viii) The resolution of both the king and the queen to immolate chemselves together is modified by Ksemiśvara. (ix) In the drama Indra and the gods do not appear, nor is Viśsämitra present at the end; Dharma alone comes, revives Rohita, crowns him king, and after explanations takes Harícandra and Saibyā to heaven.

These modifications or omissions are indeed nor very serious, and could have been made by Ksemiśvara hiinself to suir his dramatic purpose. Whether they are well judged or not is a different matter, but such transforming of a legend or epic narrative into a drama by metroducing even more daring modifications is not unknown in the history of the Sanskrit drama. The dramatist's fidelity to his sources is not a sine qua non, and need not affect his freedom in borrowing. At the same time, since Kṣemiśvara does not depart much nor seriously from the Märkandeya narrative, the presumption is not unlikely that the alterations or omissions, such as they are, are shaped by che dramatist's own inventions. If that were not so, we have to explain the discrepancies by presuming that Kṣemisvara is drawing in addition upon some other legendary source which is now lost to us.

## References to Other Legends

That Ksemiśvara, like most Sanskrit poets, was well versed in ancient legendary lore is clear from certain allusions in the drama which go back to the Epic and the Puraṇic sources. Some of them may be conveniently discussed in this connexion. Alchough Vasistgha does noe appear, there are references to Viśvāmitra's bitter hostility to him. Traces of this ancient fued between Vasiṣ̣ha and Visfvämitra, originating probably from cheir rivalry over the priesthood of king Sudàs,
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are found in the Vedic literature. ${ }^{1}$ The tradition is continued in the Epics; but the motive is different and springs chiefly from the stealing of Vasiṣtha's cow Nandinī or Sabalī and the slaying of Sakti and the rest of Vasisṭha's hundred sons through the contrivance of Viśsämitra. ${ }^{3}$ The Purānas further develop with great relish the story of this quarrel between the two mighty sages. Ksemisvara's only reference to it occurs in II. 24, where Viśsämitra himself boasts, not only of having destroyed Vasiṣtha's sons, but also of having attained Brahmanhood and of having elevated to heaven Trisanku, who had become a Candada by the curse of Vasiṣtha's sons. In the next verse (II. 25) Hariscandra refers to the sage's eating of dog's flesh during a great famine and to the terrible fight of Vasiṣ̣ga and Viśvàmitra in the form of gigantic birds. These points require some elucidation.

Like Vasisṭha, to whom the seventh Mandala of the Rgueda is attributed, Vissāmitra is already a great sage, to whom also the third Manḍala is assigned. Viśvämitra calls himself the son of Kuśika and is designated by the family name of Kausika. In later Vedic literature he is a mychical sage who acts as Hotr priest in the Sunahséspa sactifice of king Hariscandra. There is, however, hardly any trace of Visvämitra's kingship or Ksaatriya origin in the Regueda. But the Aitarcya Brähmana refers to his lordship of the Jahnus, alchough in a similar passage in the Säikbyāyana Srauta-Sütra this reference is omitted. The Pañcaviṃ́sa Bräbmaña, however, mentions Viśsämitra as a king. Thus, the tradition of kingship seems to have been of

1 The whole question is discussed, with relevant references, in Macdonell and Keith, Vedic ludex, vol. ii, London 1g12, pp. 274-76. Pargiter, however, thinks (/RAS, 1913, p. 90: fn; 19:7, p. 43 fn) that all this refers to 2 different Vasistha and Višvämitra of the same families.

2 The account is given differently in the Mababbärata, BORI ed. 1. 164-66 ( $=$ Bomb. ed. $\mathrm{x},{ }^{174-76 \text { ) and in the Rämäyann, Bomb, ed., 1. 52-56. For }}$ other references see E. W. Hopkins, Epic Mytbology. Strassburg 1915, pp. 182-83 and Sorensen's Index to the Names in the Mabäbbarata, London 1904 (under Vasiṣcha and Viśvamitra). In the Mbb che name of the cow is Nandini; in the Räm. it is Sabalà. The Mark. Purạ̈̀, also states (ix. 5) that Visuämitra destroyed Vasisṭhe's hundred sons. For other Purìna references (Viyu, Brahmàpda, Brahma, Harivamśa, Siva and Lióga). see Pargiter in JRAS, 1913, p. 886f, at p. 88g
slow growth. In both the Epics, as well as in the Puranas, he is represented as the son of Kusika's son Gädhi and a Ksatriya king who had become a Brahman by severe penance. One of his motives for he atcainment of Brahmanhood appears to have been his bitter rivalry with Vasiș़̣̣ha.

The story of Viśvämitra's elevation of Trisanku is narrated in some detail in the Rämäyana (i. $57-60$ ), ${ }^{1}$ which gives a faitly full account of some of Viśvämitra's exploits. The Ikșū̄ku king Triśañku of Ayodhyã, father of Hariścandra, approached his family priest Vassștha and then his sons with a request to elevate him in bodily form into heaven. Vasisṭha refused, and his sons cursed the king to become a Caṇ̣àla. Viśvämitra, to whom he next went, accepted priesthood from him, even though he was now a Candàla, and proceeded to perform on his behalf a great sacrifice. None of the inviced gods nor Vasisṭha came to the ceremony. The enraged Viśvänitra thercupon elevated Triśariku bodily towards heaven by the mighty power of penance; but the gods threw the king down, because he was cursed by his preceptor and as such had no place in heaven. Visvāmitra then proceeded to create a new heaven and new gods for Trisanku; but at last pacified he agreed to place the king as a bright star in a constellation newly created by the sage for him.

The story of Visvämitra's eating of dog's flesh is narrated in the Mabäbbärata (Bomb. ed. xii. 141). Once during a great famine caused by drought, the hungry sage stealthily entered the hut of a Candàla, stole a joint of dog's flesh and began to eat $1 t$ in spite of the Candäla's protest. On seeing this Indra sent torrents of rain and put an end to the drought and famine. The story of the terrible tight of the two enraged sages in the forms of a gigantic heron and a sarali appears to have been known to Kesemišara from the narrative of the Märkandeya Puräna (Adhy. ix); but the allusion in the Candakausika is anachronistic, because the incident occurred afler Haris candra had gone to heaven and could not have been, as it is, antici-
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pated and mentioned by him. Vasiṣsha, enraged with Viśvämitra for his brutality to Hatiscandra, cursed him to become a Baka (heron), and Viśvämitra in return cursed Vasiṣ़ha to become an Ạdi (a kind of aquatic bird). Both the sages as gigantic birds had a tertible fight, and were at length pacified by Brahmā.

There is a reference also in the Canda-kausika III. 7 to the Skanda. puräna legend (Käş-khanḍa, Adhy. 31) of the beheading of Hiranyygarbha Prajäpati by Kälabhairava. Once Prajāpati boasted that he was the greatest of all gods, but Kratu (personified Sacrifice), on whom his godhood depended, disputed the claim. They went for judgment to the four Vedas who, however, decided that Siva was the highest god. Thus angered Prajāpati began to revile Siva, who appeared on the scene to chastise him. From Siva's anger sprang Kälabhairava, whom Siva directed to cut off Prajāpati's head and go to Vāränasi which was Siva's own city. As Kälabhairava with his sharp fingernails tore off Prajāpati's head, a maiden called Brahma-hatyā (Brahmanicide) arose. Possessed by her Kälablairava went about begging with Prajāpati's severed head as a bowl in hand, until he reached the holy city of Väränasī, where Brahma-hatyä left him and the head fell from his hand.

## Treatment of the Hariscandra legend in Other Sanskrit Plays.

Although the Kauślka-Hariścandra story is of Purānic origin, we have seen that it finds a place only in one major and another minor Puräna. In spite of its high moral appeal, it could not have been a wide-spread popular legend, nor did it supply much inspiration to the authors of Sanskrit plays and poems, who were ever ready to draw upon the ancient fund of popular myths and legends. No doubt, the legend lacks the romantic or crotic element, which is so dear to Sanskrit suthors, and which is found, for instance, in the gay story of Udayana or the pathecic tale of Naisadha, but it could have been utilised for plays or poems of a serious purpose and appeal. As it is, Ksemiśvara's Capdn-kausike appears to be the only drama which deals fully and adequarely wich the legend. There are indeed two other dramatic works which aceept the theme, bur the one gives a
strangely modified Jaina version of the story and the other employs irs barc outline for the purpose of a lightly conceived dance-drama.

## Tbe Satya-hariscandra

The earlier of these two dramatic works is the Satya-hariscandra, ${ }^{1}$ a regular drama in six Acts, composed by Rämacandra,' whose dace can be fixed at the first half of the 12 th century by his proclaiming himself as a pupil of the famous Jaina Ãcärya Hemacandra. The nucleus of the original story, which consists of Hariscandra's determination to fulfil a rash promise made to an ascetic in expiation of an accidental offence and his subsequent trial and suffering by being reduced to the utmost depth of human misery, is accepted as the background; while its moral purpose, namely, glorification of the virtue of truth by a severe test of the king's character, supplies the motive-force of the plot. But keeping within this outline, the Jaina author does not hesitate to make strange alterations in the old Puräna legend. As a matter of fact, he invents a new story in which neither Visümitra nor Dharma figure at all, in which the queen is not Saibyä but Sutärā, and in which entirely new characters, twentyfour in number, and new incidents are introduced for giving effect to its bizarre and rather complicated plot. Rämacandra's story is

1 Ed. B. R. Arte and S. V. Puränik, 2nd. Ed., NSP, Bombay 1909. Trauslated into Italian by Mario Vallauri, Florence $19: 3$ (reviewed by Keith in IRAS, 1914, pp. 1804-5).

2 On Rämacandra, the one-eyed pupil of Hemacandra, see Bubler, Ueber das Leben des Jaina Monches Hemacandra, Wien 1889, p. 44; intro. editions of Rämacandra's Nalaviläsa and Nätyadarpaña (Gackwad Oriens. Series, Barod̀s 1926, 1929). An indefatigable polymath, he describer himself as the author of a hundred works (prabandhe-sata-kartr). No less than eleven of his plays are cited in his dramaturgic work Nä!yn-darpana. His other published dramas دre: the Nirbhaya-bbima, a one-Act. Vyäyoga on the story of the slaying of the Baka-demon, ed. Haragovinda Das in Yasovijaya Grantha-mäls No. 19, Benares 1911; Kawmudi-mitränanda in seven Acts, ed. Muni Puṇyavijaya, in Jaina Âtuānanda Granthamäla, Bhavnagar 1917. The drama Nala-viâsa mentioned above is also in seven Acts. For an account of these works sec Keith, Sanskrit Drama, Oxford 1924, pp. 266, 258-59; S. N. Dasgupta and S. K. De. History of Sanshrit Literature, Pp. 465, 475-76.
brietly as follows. During a hunt king Hariscandra unwittingly kills a pregnant deer belonging to a hermitage. The hermit (simply called Kulapati), at the instigation of his daughter, demands expiation of the sin by a gift of Hariscandra's encire kingdom. The king agrees, and rashly promises in addition to pay one lac of gold to the daughter. But since he had alteady made a gift of his kingdom with all its appurtenance, he could not find the additional amount of money. During the altercation with the hermit one of his hot-headed ministers, Vasubhürti, is cursed by the hermit to become a parro. Haríscandra goes to Värạnasi wich his wife Sutarā and son Rohitaísa, and earns the amount by selling his wife and son to a cruel Brahmin called Vajrahrdaya and himself to a Niṣàda named Käladanda. Cases of untimely death occur in the city. A magician, called in by king Candraśckhara of Värāạasi brings down by means of his charms a female demon who, in his opinion, is at the root of the mischicf. At this moment a man enters the king's court with a parrot in hand. The parrot is no other than the transformed Vasubhüti, who at once recognises the female demon to be Hariscandra's wife Sutariā and loudly declares it to be so. But king Candrascklara, disbelieving the parror, orders the Niṣäda's servant Hariscandra, who is now employed at the cremation ground, to kill her. The parrot again declares that the Niṣäda's servant is king Hariscandra himself reduced to this plightr, and proves the truth of his statements by means of a fre-ordeal arranged then and there. At last Sutāāà is released and turned our. Then follows a fantastic scene at the funcral ground at Varaạasis, in which Hariscandra substiuues himself as a victim for Candraśskhara's young son Mahasena, and assists a Vidyädhari in performing a magic rite by cutting of and offering, piece by piece, flesh from his own body'. The rite is strangely interrupted at the last moment when Hariscandra is about to cut off his own head as an offering. After a while Suteräa's son Rohitä́va is biteen by a snake and brought to the cremation ground. Harriscandra tecognises his wile and son; but compelled by his duty as a Canddala, he proceeds to collect the covering garment from the
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dead body. Showers of flowers fall from heaven in appreciation of the king's noble character; and two followers of Indra, named Candraciụa and Kundaprabha, appear. They explain that once Indra, who had gone to worship Näbhinandana Jina, was pleased with Hariscandra's offer of his own head as a rest of his fortitude. Indra, therefore, praised the king at an assembly in heaven. Impatient of this praise, Candracūda and Kundaprabha devised by their magic power all the episodes of the hermit, the magician, the bizarre rite of the Vidyädhari and such other incidents, all of which were not real, but merely created for putting Hariśsandra's virtue to a severe test.

It will be seen that che industrious Jaina author weaves out strange details, and works variations of an old Hindu legend deliberately with the didactic purpose of religious propaganda. This is in confornity with the well known practice of some pious Jaina authors. Rānacandra even makes (Act II) Hariścandra a worshipper of Näbhinandana Jinal The work is interesting from this point of view; but its literary pretensions are not worth much. ${ }^{1}$ It is a laboured composition of a learned pedane who was well versed in dramaturgic rules, himself having been author of a treatise on dramaturgy; but the work is hardly dramatic. Keith ${ }^{2}$ is undoubredly right in remarking that the play is "devoid of originality of conception or any marked beauty of diction."

## The Hariscandra-nrlya

The other semiddramatic work is entilled Hariscandra-n!lya.' It is an anonymous Nepali production ${ }^{4}$ of the popular Yârrä type, a Tanzspiel as its editor describes it, the whole action being carried on disjoincedly by means of songs interspersed with prose dialogues or monnlogues, as well as with Sanskrit verses. The Puràna legend of Hariscandra is followed only in its general outline, rather naively, and

[^12]wichout muclı embellishment; but the queen's name here is Madanävati and the prince is called Rohidàsa. The work has hardly and literary value, but is chiefly interesting as a linguistic document, giving specimens of what is regarded as old Nepali, which, however, appears strangely similar to Bengali, and is presumably a form of old Maithilí.

Oppert in his Lists ${ }^{1}$ mentions a Nātaka entitled Hariścandra-yas'ás-candrikā, but he gives us no further information about it, and the work is not otherwise known.

1 U. Uppert, Lists of Sanskrit Manmscripts in Privase Libraries of Somshern India, vol. i, Mıdras 1880 , No. 6704. p. 505.-A Kāvya called Hariscandracarrisa, without the name of the author, is noticed in Rajendra Lal Mitra's Notices of Sanskrt Manmscripts, vol. v, Calcutta 1880, p. 215-16. The Maithili Paper MS descibeal consists of 40 folios, but it breaks off at the end of the 5 th canto without completing the work. It describes in these extant cantos king Hariscandra's greatness, his marriage with Sasilekhi, bitch of a son, mecting with Visvàmitra, Närala's visit and advice to him to perform a sacrifice.

## LITERARY ESTIMATE

Whatever may have been the actual date of the Canda-kausiska, there cannot be much doubt that it was composed in the age of lesser achievement which began after the firse flush of creative energy had subsided with Bhaṭ̣a-Närāyaṇa and Bhavabhüti.' It is not surprising, therefore, that the recognised historians of Sanskric literature should dismiss it summarily as a decadeut play with just a few words of faint praise. M. Winternizz ${ }^{*}$, for instance, thinks that the daring scene of the burning ground, with its horror of Kätyäyani's bloody cult, is reminiscent of a similar scene in Mälati-màdbava (Act V); but Ksemisisvara's poor power of patlios and heavy kīvya-style, with its love of long compounds (!), do not enable him to attain the excellence of Blavabluuti. Sten Konows gives a summary of the theme, but does not offer any critical remarks on the play. Schuyler* briefly expresses lis opinion that though less known the drama is "admirable". A. B. $K^{\prime}$ ceith $^{5}$, however, is velhement in his condemnation of what is called "a scupid story" and thinks that "the plot is as poor as the exccution of the piece". S.K. De ${ }^{8}$ is moderate in his appraisement, but he is hardly more enthusiastic when he says: "There is some interest in the idea of erial of character by sufferng, but the piling of disasters as an atonement of what appears to be an imnocent offence prolongs the agony, and the divine intervention as the end is, as usual, too flat. The story itself, despite ies pathos, lacks dramatic quality, and improves very little by the poor execution and mediocre poetry of Kṣemiśvara." It will be thus seen thar the general opinon of the crtics is, on the whole, not favourable; but since the work is not without its impor-

[^13]tance as an outstanding specimen of later Sanskrit drama and therefore could not be ignored by its learned historians, its litetary worth cannot be sweepingly summed up in any such brief or disparaging dictum. The defects of the work are patent, but there is also some real merit which has to be taken into account. Without a detailed consideration of both these, therefore, it would not be possible to appreciate its value and understand its position in the history of Sanskrit drama.

## THE PLOT

Although Sanskrit dramaturgy lays down certain well-defined rules regarding plot-construction, to which most later dramatists conform, the question of the plot of a drama is much wider than that of mere carrying out of cut-and-dried formulas and devices. It would not be profitable, therefore, to consider the application of such more or less mechanical contrivances as can seldom feiter a really creative genus. But, apart from these, there are also some essential characteristics of Sanskrit drama which nust be taken into account because of their bearing on the question of plot and characterisation. Of these characteristics, one of the most fundamental is that in the opinion of Sanskrit theorists the chief object of a drama is not so much the direct mirroring of life by the portrayal of action and character as the delineation of a particular sentiment (Rasa), to which end everything else should be subordinated. Although the drama is described in theory as an imitation or representation of situations (Avasthānukrrii), in actual practice the plot as well as characterisation, becomes a secondary element; its complications are avoided so that they may not divert the mind of the audience from the appreciation of the sentiment to other interests. A well known theme towards which the mind of the audience would of itself be inclined was normally preferred; the poet's skill is concerned chiefly with the development of its emotional possibilities. It is no wonder, therefore, that the Sanskrit dramatists, with just a few honourable exceptions ${ }^{2}$, show litele fetrility in the construction of plot, invention of incident, conerivance of situation or the
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creation of striking dramatic effect through these means. In addtion to this peculiarity, there is also the long established tradition of a lingly cultured literary drama, as well as the widespread influence and concrinual temptation of narrative and lyric matter, detrimental to atton and characterisation. In considering the plot of a Sanskrte phay, especially of a later period, these limitations should be borne m mund.

In the choice of theme, however, there was no limitaton; and the gradual unfolding of the theme by a chain of incidents, which forms the plot of a play, was Ifft to the discretion of the dramnast, who could accept, omit, or modify detals of his sources, or even nenent them to suit his dramatic purpose. The unlimited diversity of life was cettainly open to the authors, but the tradtional themes yenerilly consisted of fictitious amourettes of court-life or the romantic legends so lirgely supplied by folkale, as well as by the Epics and cthe Purialas. Such themes naturally encouraged the traditional poetic predilection of Sanskrit drama and its more or less conscious inclination towards (xtravagances in sentiment and elegancles in expression, which was hardly conducive to terse and vivid dramatic presentation. But even where middle-class life forms the theme we find an excessive poetic or sentimental atmosphere. In course of time such common life was left to inferior talents; the heroic and the erotic drama alone survived with the thinnest surplus of other kinds.

In selecting an epic or narrative theme the task of a dramatist is not without its peculiar difficulties. What he recetves from his source is usually a large number of actions and incidents whach extend over a considerable period of time, and which have no metrinsic unty except in so far as they concern one or more persons. He can divide the narrative into well-arranged episodes, but that would be a panoramic procession, and not a teal drama. There must be an attempt to make the incidents appear inevitable and growing out of the main dramatic purpose. A mere deamatic form of dialogue and division of scenes will not do; there must be a dramatic unity given to the series of incidencs; a definite sequence must be established, but the sequence must be evolved by the motive force of a central demmatic confict. In other words, there should not only be unity of plot,
but the plot should move irresistibly to a definite end. In attaining this object it will be necessary for the dramatists to add, omit, alter or invent a great deal, unless the theme itself is ready-made as dramatic. . The main problem before him, therefore, is not the creation but the adequate motivation of an already accepted story.

In applying this test to Kṣemiśvara who deals with a narrative theme, we find that he is not unaware of his task as a dramatist. So far as we canı fix upon the Märkandeya-Puräna as his chief source, we find that he does not take great liberties with the original narrative; but accepting its general outline he does not hesitate to make such changes as would systematise the devious range of a mere narrative into a unified drama. His changes are not so extensive as those of Bhavabhūti or Bhaț̣a Närāyaṇa, but they are equally deliberate. They shew that he is not satisfied with a mere reproduction in dramaric form what is given by the Purāna as a narrative. He wants to establish, as far as possible, a necessary sequence of the various experiences through which his hero passes. To this end he selects incidents, omits a few, adds some and, where necessary, modifies them. His main purpose is to represent gtaphically by a series of well-ordered incidents and episodes the trial of character by suffering: and this becomes the motive force of the plot and characterisation of his play.

We have already given above a résumé of the theme and plot of the Canda-kansíka, as well as a summary of the Märkaṇdeya-Puräna narrative; ${ }^{1}$ but references to some details will be necessary now to explain and justify what we have stated. The first Act introduces the king wearied after a lonely night-vigil and apprehensive of the misunderstanding and displeasure of the queen. Then follows an ardent love-scene of propitiation, interrupted for a while by che entrance of a hermit carrying holy water, sent by the king's spiritual preceptor, for averting portents which foreshadow impending calam. ties. This, of course, gives a hint of the tragic course of incidents which happen in the following Acrs. The queen is now aware of her unjust resentment, and reconciliation becomes easy. The Act ends by the announcement of the appearance of a wild boat, and the

[^15]kng's resolve to go out on a hunting expedition. In this connexion it may be urged in criticism that the erotic scene is entitely out of place at a time when disasters are being foreboded by terrible. portents and elaborate religious rites and night-vigils are being undertaken for averting them. There is a similar scene, censured even by Sanskrit theorists as frivolous and ineffective, in the Veni-sambära between Duryodhana and his queen Bhānumati at a time when the menace of war was hovering on the horizon and a storm symbolical of the coming turnoil was breaking. A little reflection will, however, show thut the parallelism is misleading, and Kṣemiśvara's preientation is neither frivolous not out of place. This invented scene is made the occasion for the render and deep affection of Hariścandra and Saibyä to show itself; and it heightens by contrast the suffering which ummediately follows,-somewhat after the manner, it different set of cucumstances, of the great Citra-darśana scene of the Uttara-carita. It lends pathos to Saibyä's later outburst in Act III: ‘This your love is not yet in its last state' (avacchimo de dānim aam panao) as a fitting reminder of her husband's love for her. Ksemiśvara's king and queen are not the conventional frail hero and fragile heroine who udulge in irrelevant amorousness, but are meant to be grown-up man and woman of much sterner staff. The description of love-making, therefore, is restrained enough, and not presented in the usually luxuriant style of Sanskrit poets.

The second Act begins with the incident of the boar-hunt, which is already heralded at the close of Act I ; and its justufication is that it leads the king to Višvāmitra's hermitage. We learn that the boar is only an illusory form of Vighna-ràj, the terrible opponent of all undertaking, who is introduced as an allegorical figure. It is not clear, however, why Vighna-räj here, and Päpa-purusa later in Act III, should take so much gratuitious interest in the career of the king; for there is no suggestion that his trial and suffering were deliberately planned beforehand for a particular purpose. In the details of the liermitage episode, however, Kşemišvara does not keep strictly to his original source. In the Märhandeya-Puräna there is no boar-hunt, either real or imaginary, but the king in the course of an ordinary hunt pursues a deer in the forest; and possessed by Vighna-räj, who enters into him,
he is brought to the vicinity of the hermitage. In the much later $D_{\text {evi- }}$ bbägavala, however, which could not have been Ksemiśvara's source, there is no Vighna-räj, but a boar-shaped demon is sent by Viśvämitra himself to lure the king into the forest. The boar-motif perhaps occurred in some traditional form of the legend and is linked up here with Vighna-räj; but obviously Kṣemísvara could not dramatically represent the boar-shaped Vighna-räj entering into and possessing the king, and the slight change was necessary.

The episode of the Vidyäs and Viśvämitra closely follows the Märkandeya-Puräna narrative. The only exception is that in the Purāna the angry sage demands the gift of Hariścandra's kingdom as due to him on account of unpaid fees at a former Rājasūya sacrifice ${ }^{1}$; this being unsuitable in the present context, is naturally omitted and replaced by the different motive of a Dakṣinā, which would make the king's willing gift of his kingdom complete. The Purāṇa describes at this point the lamentation of the subjects on the humiliation and departure of the royal family; this incident is omitted in the drama, only to be more effectively alluded to later in another context. The undignified belabouring of the queen by the sage is also rightly omitted in the drama. The censure of the Viśve-devas, which occurs here in the Puranna, is mere dramatically removed in the play to the scene of the slave-market at Väränasi. It will be seen, therefore, that most of Ksemiśvara's departures from his source are necessitated by his dramatic purpose of attaining a unified plot.

The scene at Väraṇasi, presented in the third Act, generally accepts the Purāna narrative, except in one or two details. The entrance of Pāpn-puruṣa, another allegorical figure, as well as that of Bhringiriṭi, a mythological being, is invented; but, as we have said above, they serve to useful dramatic purpose'. The dramatist does not accept

4 This would refer to the Sunahsepa sacrifice. But the sequence of incidents in the legend is uncertain. At the time of that sacrifice, according to the 'Aisareya Br. Rohitasva is already grown up; but here he is a child. As he ascends the throne here and Hariscandra goes to heaven, when did the sacrifice occur?

2 It may be suid that Bhrigiriṭi is introduced to indicate that Siva and Siva are taking great interest in Hariscandra, but we are not cold why they are taking so much interest.
the Purāna account that it is Saibyä's advice which leads Hariscandra to the desperate act of selling his wife and son; more dramatically she herself rushes into the scene and takes the initiative. Kṣemiśvara rejects with considerable judgment the humiliating incident of the dragging of the queen by the hair by her purchaser, who in the drama is depicted as a kind and good Brahman. It should be remarked in this connexion that the episode of the slave-marker is really a finely conceived and executed scene; and it would not be an exaggeration to say that there is hardly any parallel to it in the whole range of Sanskrit literature. It is almost entirely in terse and straight prose. There is no over-claboration, the words are few and action rapid, which make the vivid picture truly and impressively pathetic.

The fourth Act, which brings us to the loathsome burning ground at Värānasĩ and gives a vivid picture of the suffering and humiliation of the king, logically follows. The action is projected on a weird background, with gruesome corpses lying about and mauled by nocturnal beasts, with ghost and goblins squeaking, with horrible fiends drinking human blood and devouring human fesh with relish, and with mystic Kāpālikas wandering about with their skulls and skeletons. The influence of Bhavabhūti's Mälati-mādbava (Act V) is suggested, but a comparison would show that the suggestion is hardly justifiable. It is possible that Kṣemiŝvara knew Bhavabhūti's presentation of a similar scene; but his own theme suggested the situation, and his picture is not weakly imitative. The horror is uncouth, and there is much in, the description of ghosts, fiends and goblins which is quaint and artificial; but making allowance for obvious conventionalities, we should admit that the dramatist tries his best to present a vivid and vigorous picture, and that the pathos is not unduly elaborate and ciresome. The metrical soliloquies of the king would appear lengthy, but they are employed not as mere emotional outbursts but chiefly for describing the hortors of the place. At the commencement of the next Act also we have a similar soliloguy in which the king bewails his lot; but it is perhaps not more lengthy than, for instance, the soliloquy of Räksasa on the failure of his high hopes, than some of the impassioned soliloquies of Hamlet. Very wisely. Kṣemišvara rejects the Purāṇa account of Hariścandra's vision
of future transmigration as dramatically unsuitable. There is some point, however, in the objection that since the king has been aried enough, a second trial of his magnanimity and truthfulness by the Käpalika, who is no other than the same Dharma himself, is hardly necessary. Nor is this device convincing as an occasion for making the Vidyäs reappear. All this is Kṣemiśvara's own invention, but it fails to impress us as dramatically inevitable or effective. The situation is already poignant, and nothing is gained by piling disaster upon disaster. Omitting the episode the dramatist could have shortened the fourch Act and made it a preliminary part of the fifth Act which continues the same scene.

The meeting, in the next Act, of the utterly humiliated and sorrowing king and queen, with the dead child before them on the cremation ground, and their mutual recognition under the most harrowing circumstances, is a delicately conceived scene, worked out with considerable skill and moderation. Written almost entirely in simple and vivid prose, it has no unnecessary elaboration, no sentimental prolixity characteristic of Sanskrit poets, no string of effusive verses, no lack of form and measure in the lamentations, no declamation to work up the pathos. As in the market-scens so also here, Ksemisivare does not favour that enlarged form of pathos, that muddle of the lachrymose and the rhetorical which is affected by Bhavabhūti and Räjasekhara. Very propetly the Purāna account of the suicidepact of the king and the queen to immolate chemselves together is modified, not only because it would be contrary to the injunction of the Sästras, but also because it would be undignified for the royal characters themselves and incompatible with their accepcance of the conditions of slavery. The king's stern sense of duty even in the most trying circumstances is finely brought out here, even as it is in the Käpalika-episode under a different situation.

The theme suggests a real tragedy but, as in the Nagananda so also here, we have a somewhat lame dénouement of divine intervention which brings immediate and complete reward of virtue. Neither in the logic of the situations, nor in the characters, there is any incrinsic indication of such a happy ending, alchough' outwardly the very appearance of Righteousness as an allegorical figure perhaps
foreshadows it. It is also not, as it is in the Mycchatatike and Uturar-arita, logically developed by a skilful handling of the course of incidents. The didactic Puräna narraive, of course, inculcates reward of vittue in the long run. It was also in conm formity with the established tradition of the Sanskrit drama, and Ksemiśvara's audience believed in it. In spite of his dramatic sense, therefore, the dramatist felt no uncasiness in accepting the position chat the ultimate result should be concord, and not discord. For chis purpose the intervention of unseen forces in human aftairs of the idea of incalculable destiny shaping human ends, to which many explicir references are made, could be accepted without incredulity or discomfort; and the marvellous and the supernatural, with eheir magic and miracle, could be incroduced freely. The attitude would think nothing of a curse or divine act as an artificial device for conteolling the action of a play or bringing about a solution of its complications. But it nust be admitted that the dramatist thereby ignores the motives of human action, the inherent complexity of inconsistency of human character, as well as the inexorable logic of human circumstances. The certainty of pre-destined happiness in the end makes the pathos appear unreal, because we already know that however obstacles may hinder the course of life, they will finally fade away. The grievous affiction never comes home, and the poignancy of a tragic climax is snoothly warded off.

This drawback Ksemiśvara's play shares with most other Sanskrit plays, as it was in accordance with the established cheory and practice. But barring this common deficiency, it will be clear from our deariled review that Kṣemisisuara's play as a play is impressive in other respects. The plot is not clumsily concrived; the situations are not incongruous; the scenes are well conceived and not haphazardly put togecher; the incidents are well arranged and grow inevitably out of one another; the prose dialogue is not neglected in favour of the poecical stanza; the Acts are not too long, and the action comparatively rapid. All this will be obvious if we compare, for instance, his short, easy and well-knit drama with the huge, stilted and deamatically formless Bühràmayynese of Rajiscekhara, who is presumed to have been his conternporary. In sheer inventiveness Ksemiśvara does not excel like his
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predecessor Bhavabhüti, but what he invents is generally well judged. There can be hardly any doubt that, comparatively speaking, Ksemisivara gives us a unified play, and not a mere panoramic procession of disjointed narrative incidents ${ }^{1}$.

Even admitting all this, there is one defect which takes away a great deal from the dramatic interest of his plot-construction. This is the absence of a real dramatic conflict as the ceneral motive-force of the plot. The plot-analysis of Sanskrit theorists in elaborating five elements of the Action (Avasthās) and five junctures of the Plot (Samdhis) recognises obstacles to be overcome and, in a certain measure, the need of dramatic conflict. This is necessary not only to give a real unity to a string of incidents but also to lead to a welldeveloped climax. In the Canda-kausika the series of incidents and episodes are, no doubt, well artanged to depict the main theme of crial of character by suffering; but in reality the attempt works out the effect of an implacable persecution of an upreght king by an irascible sage for what appears to be unwitting offence. There is no real conflict, no clash of opposing wills, no serious motive for the persecution except a rash promise on the one hand and an equally absurd anger on the other. We are told almose at the end that Viśsämitra did all this to test the king's character, but of this there is no indication anywhere else in the word or conduct of the sage, who drops out of the play at the end of Act III. After his disappearance, Dharma steps in and carries on the test, but here again no motive is assigned for his attitude. Although Siva and Sivā are said to take interest in Hariscandra's misfortune, and to have themselves taken part in the guise of the benevolent Brahman-purchaser and his wife, there is no indication that the whole affair was deliberacely planned by che high gods for a parcicular purpose. On the concrary, the Visve-devas openly condemn what appears to be meaningless cruelty. The persecution of Hariscandra looks like gratuitous
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playiulness of inscrutable fate or destiny, to which references are frequencly made. At the same time, it cannot be said that there is any dramatic conflict in the real sense here of a man at odds with fate; for we have nothing but righteous submissivenss on the part of the helpless king and an equally unrighteous aggressiveness on the patt of the relentess sage. This lack of a real dramatic motive or conflict renders meaningless the piling up of disasters as an atoncment of an innocent offence, and unnecessarily prolongs the agony; and the divine intervention at the end is also for this reason, if not for any other reason, a dramatically flat dénouement.

This criticism can be illustrated and justified not only by theory, but also by the practice of some of the great Sanskrit dramatists. We take, for instance, the plot of Bhavabhütt's Mabāvira carrita where an epic narrative is turned into a drania by a centrally conceived dramatic motive or confict. The series of epic incidents are unified by positing from the beginning a feud between Räma and Rävana by the lateer's discomfiture as a suitor at Sitä’s Svayaṃvara and her betrothal to Rāma. Raivana's injured pride, desire for revenge and misdirected passion become the prime motive of the encire action of the drama. It is carried on throughout the play by the diplomacy of Mälyavat, Rāvana's minister, which leads to the crafty instigation of Mantharā who is no other than Sürpanakhà in disguise; to the winning over of Paraśsurāma and Bālin against Räma and their consequent defeat and death; to the abduction of Sitä; and to the ultimate use of open force, on the failure of diplomacy; and the slaying of Rävana, rescue of Sitī and triumph of Räma. This may not be Ränàyana, but it is a drama. The epic incideuts are greatly altered; but the daring changes are justified by che dramatist's necessity of a consistent and properly motivated plot, which is unified and developed on the basis of a running conflict between strategy and straightforwardness.

## CHARACTERISATION

It is laid down by Sanskrit theorists that the characters in a drama, especially its hero and heroine, muse essentially be true to one or other of certain types, although there is nothing to show that within this limitation they did not permit expression of individuality. In general
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practice this injunction led to the creation of more or less conventional characters like the king, queen, lover, and jester; but it did not always mean that the ideal characters were all devoid of real humanity. Nevertheless, the sentimental and romantic bias of Sanskrit dramatic tradition preferred typical characters to individual figures. The dramatist became more inclined to the ideal and emotional possibilities of the theme and correspondingly indifferent to realities of characterisation.

In the epoch in which Ksemiśvara flourished this appears to have been the established convention. The didactic Puräna natrative, on which he drew, also presented ideal and typical characters. It was difficult for him to ignore these tendencies. We have, therefore, to see how far he achieves success even within this limitation.

There cannot be much doubt that Kṣemiśvara takes great pains to make Hariścandra an ideal of heroic suffering. As a Dhirodātta Näyaka he is high-minded and generous even to a faulc. His maguanimity, truthfulness and supreme sense of duty, even in most difficult circumstances, are vividly depicted. Given the setting of the time and place, the representation, even if too high, is normal and human. Hariścandra is indeed made a paragon of virtue, but he is also a victim of his own virtue. As in the case of Räkṣasa of Visäkhadatta's play, but in different circumstances, the pathos of Hariścandra's suffering lies not so much in an unequal fight as in the softer traits of his character. At the same time he is not a pallid and feeble hero of the conventional type. His submissiveness is due not to any weakness of character but is born of a high regard for uprightness and a rigid sense of duty. He flinches before the fury of the sage because he wants to be righteous, and he is restrained enough not to say anything derogatory. His shrinking from the loathsome slavery to the Canḍala is quite natural; but when he accepts it without much wasce of words, his duciful and complete acceptance is truly heroic. His suffering is made more pathetic by his deep and tender love for Saibyā, as well as for Rohitäsva; but he is not love-sick like the youthful Mädhava or extravagantly emocional like the elderly Rāma of Bhavabhūci. There is considerable restraint in Hariścandra's love-making in Act $\mathbf{I}_{\text {; }}$ and the lacer scenes at the market-place and the burning ground reveal the
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depth of his affection, as well as his fortitude and self-possession to a remarkable degree. There are bewailings, tears and faintings, but it cannot be said that they are overdone.

Saibyā is a worthy consort of the king, and in the scenes mencioned above she is equally forbearing, dignified and heroic in the terrible strain of sorrow through which she passes through no faule of her. own. The coy and loving girl of Act I is changed by strange circumstances into a courageous woman tossed on the stormy sea of suffering. She not only undertakes to relieve the king of half the burden of his debt by willingly selling herself into slavery, but she also reminds him frimly in his distress: 'My lord, why are you slackening in the task of the great sage by grieving over my wretched self?' The climax comes with the sudden death of her litte son by snake-bite and the equally sudden discovery of the miserable plight of her husband. Human endurance is put to the utmost test; and che utter helplessness of the situation is brought out by her last desperate ourburst: RRghteousness is utterly in vain. Everything is crying in the wilderness. All knowledge is dancing in the dark.' Both these characters, Hariscandra and Saibya, shine, as they are intended to do, in the white glory of a high ideal; but there is nothing in them that is not natural and human.

Vissuämitra, on the other hand, is the typical irascible sage, inordinately conscious of his own devastating power. Even if there is nothing striking in his character, he is not unconvincing in his own way. At the same time it nust be admitted that he is neither impressive nor dramatically adequate. The only trait of his character which is made prominent is his perpetual bad temper and unforgiving disposition. He is cratey enough to trap Hariscandra into a rash promise; but while there is some meaning or motive behind the aggressive and implacable hatred of Shylock, there is hardly any behind the aggressive and implacable anger of Visvämitra, which is perhaps as mean. Nothing is suggested as an adequate explantition of the king's prolonged punishment, which is incommensurate with his unwitting offence, except this motiveless anger of the sage, or the still more motiveless cruely of incalculable destiny. We are cold at the end of the play that Visyämitra wanted to test the king's upright
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character; but nothing is said as to why he wanted to do it. If Viśvämitra is the villain of the piece, he is not a proper villain but a mere instrument of meaningless torture. His role in the play, therefore, is dramatically ineffective.

More dramatically conceived by way of contrast is the Visvämitra of the Devi-bbägavata natrative, ${ }^{1}$ even if he is not more engaging. There the motive underlying Viśvämitra's heartless treatment springs from his ancient feud with Vasisṭtha; and because of this original hostility Visvàmitra himself acts as the cruel destiny of the king. Everything moves round this central pivor. It is Viśvāmiera's strategy which directs the whole course of action; and all the incidents are planned deliberately by him to punish the king. The meeting of the king and the sage is not accidental but contrived by the magic creation of a weeping woman, who replaces the Vidyās. The sending of the boar-shaped demon; the offer, in the disguise of a benevolent Brahman, to get the king out of the forest; the gift sought on the precext of an imaginary son's marriage; himself purchasing Saibyā and Rohita, again in the disguise of an old Brahman; accepting the king's offer to become his slave and making him over to a Candäla; sending of the deadly snake for slaying Rohita; refusal of permission to Saibyā to go to her dead son; his presence at the final dénouement, -all the episodes and incidents, brought about by Viśvämitra himself, are not detached but converge towards the same end. From the dramatic point of view this narrative would have supplied bettes material to our dramatist; but the Devī-bbägavata, presumably a later compilation, was perhaps not known to him.

Among the minor characters, the Vidüsaka is typical but not tedious. He may be colourless, but he is not a mere clumsy court-jester. Even the little Rohitäsva, who has a very small part to play, is not neglected but drawn vividly with just a few touches. The Caṇ̂alla or Kāpälika may not be very impressive, but he is not a shadowy personage. But for his own declaration, he makes us forget for the time being that he is a divine figure in disguise. The divine does intrude itself in the drama, but perhaps not so prominently as to dull our sympathies.

In this connexion it is necessary to mencion that the Canda-kousika is one of the few Sanskrit plays which not only invokes divine machinery but also makes use of allegorical (Dhatma, the Vidyās, Vighnaraj and Pāpa-puruṣa) and mychological (Bhṛigiritị) figures as a subsidiary contrivance. The appearance of divine, semi-divine and human characters all together is not unusual in a Sanskrit play; but purely allegorical characters rarely occur. By the side of his concrete characters Kṣemiśvara places abstract personifications of virtue or vice, somewhat in the manner of the Moba-paräjaya of the Jaina Yaśstlpaila of Gujarat ( $3^{\text {th }}$ century) or the Caitanya-candrodaya of the Vaiṣ̣ava Paramānanda Kavi-karṇapūra of Bengal ( 1572 A.D.); but of course his drama has no motive of religious propaganda for introducing allegorical characters. Nor is it in any sense a purely allegorical play of the type of Krṣnamiśsa's more well known Prabodba-candrodaya (irth century). This mixed type, however, is not an alcogether novel feature; for we have in early example in one of Aśvaghosà's dramatic tragments, which appears to contain some personifications of abstract virtues along with concrete dramatis personae. The only remark that can be made in this respect is that although allegorising is employed as a mere device with a definite moral purpose, our dramatist does not overtax our credulity, and is not unsuccessful in shaping abstract rdeas (especially the idea of Dharma) into living persons.

Making allowance for the ideas and ideals of the time, it should be said that Ksenniśvara shows considerable power of sustained and consistent characterisation. This is no mean praise when we consider the general dramatic achievement of the epoch in which he flourished. Leaving aside the large number of inferior and imitative playwrights and taking only those who have enjoyed traditional reputation, we find that neither plot-construction nor characterisation, for which they cared little, was a strong point with them. In Rajaséckhara's chaotically. monstrous Ràma-drama or sentimentally exuberant court-amourettes, the characterisation is slight and distinctly feeble; for Räjaśckhara was concerned more with sylistic exercise than with the writing of a real drama. The characters of Muräri's solitary play are well known and fixed types who appear as mouthpicces chiefly of elegant and sonorous vecses. The same lack of dramatic sense and propriety, as well as
sentimental prolixity, is seen in Jayadeva's single play which is more of less a conscious imitation of Murari's similar production. In this galaxy Kṣemiśsara's well-knit play stands out prominendy for its dramatic sense and stylistic moderation.

The only criticism that can be levelled against Kṣemiśsaara's characterisation is that there is no development of character in the proper sense of the term. From start to finish, for instance, Hariscandra is the same dignified, magnanimous and upright hero. There is hardly any revelation of other phases of his character; what we find is that the same character is placed in different situations and in relation to different persons. Saibyà's character perhaps reveals unexpected traits; but neither in her, nor in Hatiścandra, much less in Viśvämitra, we find a fine shading of character or blending of conflicting motives which would bave been dramatically more effective and interesting. Life is presented as an affair in which white is white and black is black, with no suspicion that black and white may often merge into a dubious grey. It has been truly remarked: "These sentimentally idealised writings hardly show any sense of the stress and contradiction from which both tragedy and comedy arise. The attitude is echically clear and regular; there is no situation of moral complexity, as well as no appreciation of the inhelent inconsistencies of human character." ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ But since this is a criticism which can be applied, with just a few notable exceptions, to most Sanskrit dramatic works, we need not stress it too much in the case of Ksemiśvara.

## STYLE AND DICTION

Since style and diction are the media through which the plot progresses and characters develop, it is necessary to take them into account in any literary estimate of a dramatic work. Without going into decails it can be said at once that there is throughout in Ksemisvara's drama a noteworthy simplicity of style and diction which stands in vivid contrast to those generally found in the writings of the period.

One remarkable feature in this respect is that prose is not neglected in favour of verse, vigorous dialogue in favour of effusive lytical stanzas. Ksemisisvara's prose is unadorned and direct; and the
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datologue is not unnecessarily elaborate but short and adequate for dramatic purpose. The Prakrit passages, which are written mosty in prose, are not loaded with abundant compound words (except in the specch of the forester in Act 1 ), such as we find them very often in Bhavabhūri and Bhaṭ̣a Näräyaṇa, but are comparatively simple in construction and sense. The metrical stanzas, which are che high lights of a Sauskrit play, are also not too numerous, and do not undaly hamper or disorganise the action of the play. They are moslly not mappropriate conments, reflective, emotional or descriptive, on particular dramatic situations and elerefore a necessary part of them. Barring narrative monostiches, we have among them erotic verses in the first two Acts, and stanzas of grief and lamentacion, as well as of gruesome description, in the remaining Acts. Mercifully Kṣeminšara is neither prolix in description and declumation, nor effusively sentimental, nor given to extravagance of graudiose expression as Blaṭ̣a Närayanạa, Räjaśskhara and Murāri uften arc. In Ksemišsara's stanzas there is not much of far-fecthed concecits and complexities of expression, hardly any straining after effect or unnecessary claboration regardless of proportion or propricty, little stylsctic display of skill and learning. Even the lamentations, in verse as well as in prose, do not lack, as we have suid above, a sense of form and measure; and in this respect Kṣemišvara's Hariśsandaa shows more moderation than Bhavabhưti's Mädhava or Räna.

The rapidity of action and clarity of characterisation are, therefore, ensured, inasmuch as Kṣenisisuara does not, as Räjáseklara and other dramatists do, mistake quantity for quality. The extraordinary prolixity of these dramatic writings, which probably began from the example of Bhavabhüti, will be clear if we take into account the inordinate length of some of them, reckoning it only by the nuumber of metrical stanzas in each of them. Kṣmisvara's Canda-kausiska has a cotal of 163 stanzas (barring repecition) in five Acts, with an average of about 33 stanzas in each Act. But Bhavabhūti's Mälatio mädboun (in ten Acts) and Utcarac-arita (in sevell Acts) have respectively 238 and 257 stanzas, while Bhaṭa Nârayana's Voniosambirs (in six Acts) conteains 207 stanzas. This is ruoderate enough when we compare the numbers with 780 of Rajazekhara's Bala-
rämäyana (in ten Acts), 540 of Murâri's Anargharrägbava (in seven Acts) and 392 of Jayadeva's Prasanna-rägbava (also in seven Acts). Some of the Acts of these later so-called dramas have the dimension almost of a small play. Thus, the ten Acts of the Bäla-rämäyana have an average of about seventy-eight stanzas, and one tuns up to a hundred. It has been calculated that 200 stanzas of this enormous work are in the long Särdüla-vikrịitita metre and about 90 in the still longer Sragdharā. One of the Acts of the Anargba-räghava contains well over 80 stanzas, while the largest and most actionless last Act is spread over more than 150 stanzas; and the last Act of the Prasanna. räghava includes 94 stanzas. In the length of their boastful Prologucs also these authors appear to vie with one another. Ksemisisvara's modest Prologue contains only 7 stanzas; but Rājaśekhara (Bäl.rä̀m.) has 20, Muräri 13 and Jayadeva 23. These extraordinary volleys of verse are, of course, meant not for any really dramatic purpose but for claborate stylistic display in ornate composition. Kṣemisisvara wisely restrains himself; for he appears to be aware of the futility of a laboured and highly embellished poetic diction for the strain of pathos, rapid action and vivid characterisation which his drama wants to attain.

It is, therefore, not necessary to consider in detail Kṣemišvara's employment of thetorical devices which is hardly conspicuous. The vatious rhetorical figures are, no doubt, in Sanskrit theory, regarded as a vital part of all literary effort, and Sanskrit authors as a rule are alive to them; Kṣemiśvara's achievement in this direction is elegant but in no way obtrusive. Alliteration and sound-reperition, of which the extraordinary fexibility and richness of the Sanskrit language is so capable, are to be naturally found, very often with a pleasing effect; but there is no special fondness for them, nor for paronomasia or double entente involving stupid torturing of the language. The clever use of Slesa, however, in the words bboga in II. $3^{\circ \mathrm{a}}$ and daiväbinä in V. 5d is not ineffective as an artistic device. Of other thetorical figures Upamà, Rūpaka and Ueprekṣà are, of course, to be expected; chere are also some pleasing instances of Vyatireka, Dipaka, Nidarłanāa and Atchäncara-nyāss; but on the whole Ksemisisvara does not appear specially inclined to any rectorical display.
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Simalarly, Ksemis'vara's metrical accomplishment is smooch and pleasing but in no way remarkable. Our mertical index would show that he employs altogether 19 different kinds of metres in a total number of 163 stanzas. Next to the largest use of Sloka, the number of which comes up to thirty-six, we have the Vasanta-rilaka employed me twenty-seven stanzas, Särdüla-vikridita in twenty-five, Sikharịịi in twenty; but Sragdharā and Mandäkräntā are each found respectively in eight, Harịịi in four and Pṛthvi in one stanza only. Of uneven or moric metres the Aryäa and the Puṣpitāgrā occur seven and six cimes respectively, and Aupacchandasika once only. Of shorter syllabic netres, we have twelve instances of Indravajrā-Upendravajiz̄Upajaiti metres, three of Sälinĩ, two of Druta-vilambita and one of Mälinī. Except onc unusual metre (Aparāntikā) in a Prakrit stanza'. these are commonly employed and well known metres; and there is nothing in Ksemiśsaata's use of them which shows any distinctive metrical skill or thythmic beauty.

It would seem, therefore, that Ksemišvara does not claim high rank as a mere stylist; and it is no wonder that he is as racely quoted by rhetoricians and anthologists as Rāaśskhara and Muräri are quoted copiously. Although a fair number of MSS, chicfly in Devanngari, Nevãri, Maithili and Grantha characters, is available, no commentary on this work is so far known. All this, however, need not prove that the phay is devoid of really poectical or enmotional content. Perthaps it lacked the poetry and sentiment which were normally preferted; but It certainly has its own easy and subdued elegance of poctry and sentiment. Soine of Kṣemisisara's verses undoubtedly show that he was not incapable of truly emotional or poetical outbursss; but in gencral he uses his images, siniles and embellishmentes with considerable moderation. Pecthaps he has paid the penalty of his moderation by being mediocre; but it cannot be said that among the authors of the period he does not possess the much more rare gifts of a dramatist to a remarkable degree. If he does not indulge profusely in claborate poetical and descriptive passages, it is perthaps not tor any want of power but because he had a greater sense of dramatic propriety.

[^18]
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He breaks away from the prevailing tradition of the erotic and pseudd. heroic drama, but in choosing a lofty theme of self-sacrifice he has perthaps also paid the penalty of originality in an unoriginal epoch.

At the same time it must be admitted that chere is one deficiency which could not be made up even by his dramatic sufficiency, and which had a serious bearing on che excellence of his composition. He has a gitt of serviceable rhetoric and pleasant prosody, but his diction has hardly any distiuction and his stanzas lack impressive melody. It is true that his style is not diffuse nor prolix, but his poetty is facile and his expression conventional. There is ease but no brilliance; grace and some polish, but no real gift of plrasing, no subtle beauty of word and rhythm. No doubt, he is not elaborately artificial like Räjaśekhara, nor has his fertile talent to produce an enormous mass of loosely strung verses. But compared with some of his predeces. sors, he had not the virtue even of their excesses. If he is not loosely sentimental like Bhavabhâti, he does not possess Bhavabhūti's palpitating vigour, nor even the declamatory energy and picturesqueness of Blaṭta Nārāyana. Kṣemiśvara's accomplishment is smooch and even; he is admirable, as Schuyler puts it, but not excellent. The Candakausika is a markedly simple and well-knit work of its kind and period, and deals with a theme not utilised properly by any other Sanskrit dramactist; but it lacks the finer touch of imagination and expression which would have lifted it from its unfortunate mediocrity to the level of a great and powerful drama.

## INFLUENCE OF OTHER SANSKRIT DRAMATISTS

We have alteady made many comparative references to the works of other dramatists; and from what we have said it would be clear that Ksecuiśsuara shows considerable independence in not accepting the stereotyped theme, method and model of the prevailing erotic and pseudo-heroic drama. Of earlier auchors we may find some echoes and reminiscences of particular passages or incidents, some of which have been mentioned in our notes co the English Translation; but these isolated instances are almost negligible and do not seriously diminish the originality of his conception and execution. Kṣemiśvara is nowhere slavishly imitative, as Rajaśćckhara, Murâari and Jayadeva
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ofen are; and the footmarks of earlier dramatists are not so clearly visible in his composition. Even if he does not attain a great height as a dramatist, he is not chaotically poetical but sensibly dramatic; and in this respect he redeems the decadent and imitative drama from the reproach of fatal elaboration and stereotyped affectation. More than most dramatists of the time he can withstand the traditional fascination of sonorous sound and sentimental sense, and can create living figures and not dressed-up mationettes. He does not reduce the prose and the dialogue to the minimum, nor does he allow the action to take care of itself in the leisurely progress of strings of stanzas. He offers not only the variation of a new theme, but the theme is also developed, as we have seen, with enough sense of dramatic unity and propriety.

The theme naturally suggests a comparison with that of Jimútavähana's lofty sactifice in Sriharesa's Nägänanda; bue there is nothing in Ksemiśsara's trearment which would indicate any conscious or unconscious imitation of his predecessor's somewhat loosely constructed play of a mixed erotic and heroic motif. The device of divine intervention is common to both; but since it is furnished by Kṣemisvara's own Purānic source the question of borrowing docs not arise. Of Bhaṭ̣a Närāyana and Bhavabhūti, in relation to Kṣemiśvara, we have spoken above; and there is nothing here to justify any direct mnfluence. Kṣemisisvara avoids Bhaţ̣a Nārāyanà's rant and rhetoric, but he loses thereby his predecessor's declamatory forcefulness. In the same way, in avoiding Bhavabhūti's prolix sentimentality, he sustains a greater loss of the rugged directness of his predecessor's great and poignant poetry. With Kälidàsa or Südraka Kṣennišara should not enter into a comparison. He cannot claim the wit and wisdom, the poetry and pathos, the kindliness and humanity of Südraka; and the gift of beautiful phrasing and the grace and melody of Kälidāsa's full-orbed poetry are certainly beyond his reach. At the same cime, if he cannot, like Südraka or Kälidāsa, condense a world of emotion in a few pregnane phrases of concentrated passion, Kṣenisívara does not, like Bhavabhūti, Rajaśckhara and a host of other dramatists, diluce the strength of the poetic nucleus by diffusing it into graceful and sonorous periods. Although the chemes were
different, the only earlier dramatist with whom Ksemiśvara mey, to a limited extent, be compared is Višäkhadatta, whose Mudräräkease eschews the erotic motif more severely and entircly. Like Viśảkhz. datta, Kṣemiśsuara does not make his work a convenient vehicle for the display of literary ingenuities, but aspires to a limpid and unaffected style and diction. In the mastery of dramatic rechnique, in the vigour and directuess of action and characterisation, in the dramatic quality of prose dialogues and metrical stanzas, and in the subdued but superior elegance of his poetry, Višảkhadatta is certainly much surpassing; but Ksemisvara's effort in these directions, especially in respect of dramatic adequacy, is of the same type and not negligible even by comparison.

## CONCLUSION

It will be seen from our review that, possessed of considerable ability, Kṣemiśsvara both gains and loses by coming at a period when much of the best work in Sanskrit drama was already accompllshed. He has a literary tradition, mode and diction prepared for him for easy employment, but he has not the genius to rise above them and strike out his own path. With inherited facility of execution he loses individualty and distinction. Here we have, not the older flame, but the last glow of the ashes. Nevertheless, with all his deficiencies, he could write a drama, and not produce a hybrid between a play and a poem. Even if he is not one of the great dramatists or poets of Sanskrit literature, it would be unjust to deny to him a fair measure of dramatic and poectic talent or consign his work to unmerited neglect. As an interesting specimen of the later drama, the Canda-kansiske for its dramatic, if not for its poetic, qualities, is in no way inferior, but in some respects superior, to the so-called dramatic writings of Rajaśekhara, Muräri, and Jayadeva, not to speak of a host of other initative playwrights. It is for this reason that we have thought it fit to prepare a critical edition of this less known play, and place it in its proper literary and historical perspective. Some special pleading is necessary; but we have tried to approach the work from the objective standard of critical scholarship. We speak, therefore, not as mere apologists but as appraisers who are anxious chat justice should be done
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to Ksemis'sara's much neglected work; for the author himself has requested us (V. 30);
kavibhir upahitā nija-prabandhe guna-kanikāpy anugṛhyatạ̄̀ gunajn̄aiḥ.
"Let those who appreciate merit favour even the particle of merit which poets put into their own composition."

# आर्यकेमीकरणरिसचितं 

## च्ठण्डौशिकम्

प्रयमोड्र:


बन्धो गास्पेति पस्थजिष बत्रणातः पानु पुष्याडलिर्ष:

अपि च
अछणनयत सम्यूमझं दर्दुरिताजर
चुतनु दराशित किप्ं कानिकं करोतु वषालनम्।
छरतमनुनैँः नोपोडयं ते म मलिखिि वर्धारा-

$N_{1}$ begins: नमो नार्यं*राय ; $N_{2}$ नमो लोकारापाय ; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ब्वमन

 भीजयेगाष नमः।

The passage from the beginning up to the end of ताने in St. 4. I. 3 is lost in D6 on a missing folio; but there is an additional folio at the beginning (marked fol. 1) in which Sanskrit Chäyä of some portions of the text of Act $I$ appear,


 देव्वाश्डियो by cransposicion.

## अपि च

आनन्द्वस्रधिताः समाधिष्डु भुक्षे गौर्या बिलासोह्रमाः
सभ्रान्ताः क्षणमन्दुताः क्षणमथ स्मेरा निजे बैँ्टो।
फूराः छछट्हारासने मनसिजे वृ्धे घूणादूरिता-

( नान्धन्ते )

घ्रलघारः। अलमतिविस्तरेण। आविष्ढेडसि लोलासमुच्दृताओषेकण्टोल समरसागरान्तर्भं मन्रुजअव्डमष्वराकष्ठछष्ष्मीलयंबरमणयिनो धीमहीपालेषेवेन। थस्पेमां पुराबिद्व श्रशास्तिगाथ-भुदाधर्ति-

L: $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. चिप $\begin{aligned} & \text {. }\end{aligned}$
St. 3-a) Ni. 2 शान्द्वग्लघिताः ; $D_{3}$ मपषिता:. $M_{1} D_{4} .5 S_{2}$. ${ }_{3} \mathrm{Pt} 2$ विलासोष्बधा: -b) $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{PrI}$ च्रणमुर्ताः: $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ (before corr.)
 - पूरतरसला:. Cited anonymously in Sadukti-karnāmpta (i. 14.3). v. l. सानन्पर्तिमिता:

L $6 \mathrm{D}_{7}$ adds gloss on margin: ऊद्रातूर्याया निषोषो नान्दी। तह्या अम्तो सूलापो बवति. -

L7 $D_{4}$-बिसारेण. After शादिध्रोरसि, $D_{4} \cdot 5 \cdot{ }_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2} .{ }_{3} \mathrm{Pr}$ I. 2
 शजुमरत्येन. $D_{2}$ drops all words from रोषकणटरेन up to अस्पेमो (1.9). $S_{2}$ drops from केन (in कर्टेतन) up to सागरा.

 प्रखिजियावा.

L $10 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ घर्गयन्त्ति (for उराइरन्ति). All words from हरान्ति





( 9 विश्य )
पारिपाइर्वकः। अज्ञा किं उण तेण राइणा समाबिद्हं।
सत्र। खमादिष्टं यथा किल विजयकोष्ठनफ्तु: कहेरार्यकेमीझबरस कृतिरमिनष चण्डकौरिक नाम नाटकं नाटयितब्यम्। स किल कविर्ताव्यवेद्विशारदाम्विधाकलाविदो लोककाम्सभासःः भ्रत्येष-

St. 4-a) $S_{1}$ संश्रुल्य.-b) $N_{1} .2 M_{1} D_{3}$ हखा (for fिला).-c) $M_{1}$
 v. l. St. 1). All wơrds from arनेव to दोर्द (iin d) lost in $S_{2}$, -d) $S_{1}$

$L_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1}, 2$ ins. वत: before प्रविएय.
L 5.6 Pt2 on. प्रविर्य पारपपार्वका.
L 6 Ni. 2 Pts qारिपारिक्ष. Ni. 2 कि पुण. $M_{1}$ राप्या; $D_{3}$ राएसिया; $\mathrm{D}_{5} .6$ राविएा. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ सदिएट्ं; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ समाबिषं; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ समादृं; $S_{2}$ समाबवं.








 एकं (for खid).

# हृं किमपि होषेसिस निर्यों न निम्युजम्। 

भाषृषुण्पमतो दोषाम्विवृणुर्ब गुणान्दुधाः ॥था तत्पारिपार्ष्वक किमिति नारम्मयसि फुरूीलैँ: सह संगीतम्।
पारि। (साइह्षमधोमुलं स्थिस्वा)। घुणाडु अख्षो। तदा गहोषरराए जस्स विभवरस्स अन्जेण दक्लिणा परिज्णादा सो दारि वण्णिमिस्ष परिकुविदो त्ति सभलो ज्जेब क्रसीलकजजो पक्ञाउलो।

सूत। (भयममिनोय बिन्तां नाटयित्ता सहर्बम्)। मारिष ซल्मता पर्याकुलतया। अयमषमिषानीम्


$L_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ ताः (for तथ ). The text reading पारिपार्शक in $\mathrm{D}_{1-6} \mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{t}}$
 संगीतकं ( for संगीवां).
 भभोगुल:- $D_{1}$ बदो ( For तथा). $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ गहोपाराये ; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ गहोपराए.
$L_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1,2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ षथिया; $\mathrm{D}_{4} S_{3} \mathrm{Pr}$ दस्तिया; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ षष्या. Nı. ${ }_{2} D_{1}$ षाषि.
 $S_{2}$ परिकीिये. $D_{6}$ fि (for fir) $D_{1.2 .5} \mathrm{St}_{1} 2$ जेंन्य. $\mathrm{D}_{2.4,6}$

 nती. $D_{1}$ wलमति (for ซसमल).

Si 6-d) $D_{5.6}$ gसापारारिभिकाब. Some letters and words lost in $S_{3}$.

## पदु 区दु विभिभस्सो।

 महोल्पातसूक्तिनामापदां क्रुघल विधिषिस्तरण पुरोषसा निमृतोपदिष्टनियमหजागराबसानतुर्मनाः प्रियबयस्यौौयनो पद्षक्यमानषर्मा चुन्सान्तममिप्रस्थितः। य पष निर्राविधेयाठणमझ्धराक्षः प्रजागरक्षाममुब्बम्बुजभीः। सधोवियोगब्यधितो विनान्तो
अЕ्ःः सयूथाठुषसीष नागः ॥ज॥
तेकेशि तबैब गषछाषः।
(रति निक्कान्ती)
प्रस्ताबना ॥
$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Di}_{1}$ (before corr.) इदो इतो, $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ भब्बो (for fिघबशसमो). $\mathrm{Nt}_{2} 2$ एक एड fिख्यक्सो एड.
$L_{3}$ Ni. $2 D_{7}$ ins. चयं after wषं.

$L_{4-5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ भारम्ष्वीयंकिलरेणा. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$-बिविषषितारेण.
 om. ; $D_{4} S_{1} P_{t 2}$.नियमो (for-नियम-). $\quad D_{2} .5 .6 S_{1} \mathrm{Pts}^{2}$ 2-बामरा-(lor -्रशागरा-). $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ निम्वोपदिषनिराजाणरा--

 -मार्ग: (for-यर्मा).
 व प्प.

St.7-b) जागर-lost in $S_{2}$. $N_{1.2}$-म्ताल-( for-बाम- ).-c) $D_{7}$
 मोब ; $N_{2}$ नूपत्वाः (sub-metric).

## ( ततः प्रविराति म्रजागरकें नाटयनूगजा बिकूषकमष्ब)

 क्रूम्मो उम्मेसणिमेसाएं करेम्तो खि मलां भणपेक्षम्मो अन्धमूसभो बिस दो तदो परिष्ममसि।
5 राजा। वयस्स निद्रा हि नाम ध्राणिती प्रथममिषं जारीरधारणनिमिष्रम्। क्रुत:

As there are considerable lacunae in $S_{3}$, due to damage to the Ms, they are not noted hereafter; only variant rcadings are given here.

LI Ptz आगररेदं. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$-लेषाभिनयं.



$L_{3} D_{t}$ on. कुम्मो; $D_{7}$ कुमो. $N_{2}$ उन्मेसयबेलाइं; $D_{1}$ डिम्मेलाईं;
 D6 करेतो fि; $S_{2}$ ररंदो fि. $D_{3}$ om. all words from fि to चण्वेक्षल्तो.
 $S_{2}$ चरयो क्संतो ; $S_{3}$ भएगेश्षन्तो.
L. 3.4 My केन्तो ++ घन्ष परि +++ इदो तादो.

 $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ परिप्रम्सि ; D 6 परिम्भमसि. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ वरोपषिभ्भमसि.

L $5 \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. बिहस्म after राबा. Ns. 2 Mi Di. 7 ins. बोषायन
 घरीर-).
L. 6 Di. 3 यत: (for जक्र:).

जिएँ प्रसाव्यति लाबवमाक्षाति
मल्यक्लुज्युन्यति प्रतिभाषिऐषष्म्।
दोषानुक्स्यति करोति चु धातुसाक्य－
मानन्द्मर्पयति योगषिशेषगम्बम् ॥द्
तन्ममापीदानीं पस्य
निद्रालसं महलमुपैति गाता
बेदातिभारसिमितं मनस्ब।
मुसमंर्र्वनूपुपैति जृम्भां
बलातपालोकसहा न हЕि：॥ा।
（ विचिम्म्य सखिस्मयम्）। क：पुन्र्ष निशाभजागरमाक्रितो मगबतः 14 कुलपतेरमिभायः। अथवा न बिच्रमर्षन्ति गुकरासनानि।
विद्यू। भो वभस्स भह उण चिन्तभक्तो बासभसज्ञाप वेषीप बण्डणा－ जरथादो क्षण्ण $\sigma$ किं पि पेक्लामि ।

St．8—b）$S_{3}$ प्रतिभाषिशेष：－－d）$D_{4}$ om，the entire line．Sa． 3 योगविशेषयोग्यं．

L． 5 NI． 2 SI， 2 मामपोदानों．
 दौ⿱㇒⿻二乚力灬：lost in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ ．

Lio Nz． 2 कषं ； $\mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ fिं（for क：），Ns． 2 Dr． 3 ins．मे alter पुनरए．Ns． 2 प्रजागरणं（ for निशाप्रजागरं）．
 मढानि．$D_{1-4 च न ा न ि ; ~}^{D_{3}}$－बखासि（for－थासनानि）．The word शासनानि lost in $\mathbf{S}_{2}$ ．






## राजा। क्यस्य，करं परिपासेल।

बिद्य । भो तव उल एसो परिहासो，मम उज भणाघबहुभस्स अणत्यो जेब।
राजा।（सोर्कण्ठमाइक्रां नाटयिस्वा）। बयस्प कथं पुनरषमच्छसि किं प्रतिपस्सते देवीति।
विदू। परिकुविदे ति तबनें।
ताजा। पवमेतल्का सम्दे़ः। नाल्पमिवं कोपकारण बेक्यक्। तथा हि किं कुस：सचिषैरण प्रणयिनां गोष्हीरसेनाहतः
किं वा कामपि घल्युभाममिस्तो धूतोंडध नायाल्यसौ। （त्थ कोपकषायलोचनगल घाष्पाम्डुषौताननं नि：श्घस्य प्रियया शाठे मयि तथा किं किं न संभाषितम् ॥ः०॥

L 1 The line is lost in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ ．Nr． 2 D1． 3 ins．सबिस्मयं as stage direction after राजा．Ni． 2 om ．बमस．Mi एष परिढासः．Di marg． भो बयस्स．

L 2 Nr． $2 \mathrm{M}_{1}$ om．भो and उया（after तष ）． $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ ins．वक्षस aftet भो．$S_{2}$ तुए（for तब ）．$D_{7}$ om．उए．$D_{2-6} S_{1-3} \mathrm{Pci}_{1} 2$ घ्रयाह－（ for
 ins．एसो before भर्याश्षो．
$\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ 硅 ； $\mathrm{D}_{1-7}$（except $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ as in text） $\mathrm{S}_{1 .} 2$ Ptr． 2 产品．
 कर्ष to गच्च lost in Sz．

 Pea for（for fir）．
 कोरस कारां．
 सतो，一d）N1．a Ms वस ；$D_{3}$ gुनः（for बता ）．

## थपि च

लीलासमृतमण्डलोस्सुक्नतया नीतः प्रदोष्त्तया
मम्मार्गार्षितगेलया ग्र गमितो यामसतथैवापरः।
नायातः शा हत्युस्मु विकलं क्याधूय मूकाषिf
शार्योपाम्तबिर्तीजैरथ निशादोषः करं यापितः ॥र?।।
( चिम्तां गाटघिस्बा )। अह्ड नूनमसी नतथः :
भभिपतति जो मषागमाइता-
विडितदृयोइमसंक्रमा तदानोम्।
फतनिभृतपर स्परसितासु
सगितमुणीतु सबीचु मक्रमासीर् "ใ?॥
बिद्रू। (सडासम् ) । मो बअस्स किं तुुम थरिक्लम्तमणुसोभक्तो भराणर्य

राजा। (सस्डाषम्)। सम्पगाए मषाए। सरेशि तौैब गज्डाबः।

 -d) $S_{2.3}$-विवरींतितः ( for -विषर्तने: ).

L 6 Nr. $2 \mathrm{D}_{1} .7$ Ptz ins. सेलें after नाउविस्ता.
St. 12-b) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ नि वित्विययाइ्रम०. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$-fिवित-(for-विदिए-) and नinया

 (for बत' $^{\circ}$ ).

 2 माणए.

 S2. 3 पषारेमि.
$\mathrm{L}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. ए4.
L. 14 Some Mss लिससे.

बसरस्य बेदकारणं गमनम्। तथा हि
विच्छिक्षामतुकघती मम कथां मत्मार्गवतेक्षण
मम्बाना सुमुखी चल्लस्यपि ृृणे मामागतं सर मया।
 घष्जास्या नवनोलनीरजनिमे कुले कराभ्यां करती ॥? द॥
विदू । (परिकम्य नेपष्याभिमुसम्वलोष्य सर्खाषम्)। मो बअस्स
 च्वाषमदीए सह किं पि मन्तथभ्ती उषबिट्रा चिट्टदि।
राजा। (हष्टा सहई्वम्)। अये कणम्
तम्क्नक्रा शरगौरयो: परिक्षता पकाबली गण्डयो:
कर्णाम्तायतयोर्ल वा वृगธ्रा वृ्ष हाोरखनम्।
क्यालोला कबरी निसर्गङुटिला निर्बाधरो धूलर-


LI $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{MI}_{1} \mathrm{Dr}_{\text {ins. }}$ मे after प्रष्टाषसरस. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ सेबकारणां मे देवोद्रानं गमनं. D 6 वागमनं. $\mathrm{Nt}_{1}$.2M1 om. गमनं.
 अपराई़्तेन.一d) $D_{4} S_{1} P_{t 2}$ यत्यत्या.

L6 6 Ni. $2 M_{1} D_{1.3 .7}$ oun. परिक्य. Ni. $2 M_{1} D_{3}$ om. सक्षाषं.

 $S_{2}$ drops ; $S_{3}$ बिट्ठ्र ( for बिट्ट्रिथ ).

L9 Ni. 2 Mi Di. 3 सक्षाषं ( for सहषं ).
St. 14-a) $N_{1} D_{1.4 .6 ~ त न ् म ा ष ा ः ~ ; ~}^{2} 2$ भबल्या. $D_{4}$ परिगोरयो:. Nr. 2

 words ध्वालोला कनरी lost in $S_{2}$. $D_{3}$-मझुत्रा (for -करिब्बा). $D_{7}$ नीरत:
 $D_{4}$ रेम्ही बया मखर्ने.
 चार। भहिजि पद पसाइओोषअरण"।
शैम्वा। (सबेवं)। इल्जे चाइमदि अवणेडि एवं। णिफ्फलम्पसाइणोबभरणासरहिमझाए कित्रिभ दारणि मए अक्पा परिभविदष्बो।
बिद्या अद्धो से गब्मो अधिणिकेसो।
राजा। साधु बेबि साजु। परिमवस्थनमेव ते प्रसाधनाभिलिखेशो निसर्गमधुराणामक्रानाम्। तथा हि वाम्बूलागोडधरलोडुपो य-
चवजलं लोचनधुम्बनोत्कम्।
हारक्ष कण्ठमहलालसो य- 10
स्वार्थः स तेषां न तु भूपणं ते ॥s५॥
$L_{1} D_{3} \mathrm{om}$. यथानिर्दिष्टा; $D_{5}$ तथानिर्दष्टा. $N_{1.2} M_{1}$ सेष्या (spelling throughout). ,All words from fिन्तो to रौं्या lost in $\mathbf{S}_{2}$.
$L_{2} D_{7}$ एएं ( for एदं ). $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ प्पसाइयां ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ पसाइएयंं ; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ पम母षाषअराएं; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ पसाइथोपभरयां.

 (for एवं). $D_{3}$ om.; $D_{5}$ चिक्फलो (for fिक्फल०).

 $M_{1}$ दाधि. $S_{1} P t I \mathrm{om}$. मए. $D_{3}$ परिरििमिदम्बो ; $D_{4}$ परिभाििद्यो.
$L_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. से. $\mathrm{D}_{3} .4 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ गुरुरो. M1 transp. से and गषसो.

 ( fr a à ). D6 om, all words from ai in figg up to the end of frox in Fक्र्रमं of I. 2 sb ( P. 16. 1. 3 ) on a missing folio.





बिद्इू। मो चभस्स उवसण्पन्डा।
राजा। बयस्य, हतोडन्तहिंतौ शृणुषस्ताखद्सा बिकम्मलरिपतानि। ( रति तथा स्रितौ )
रौष्या। (निश्ष्बस्य सास्मम्)। इन्जे चाक्मदि तथा समासाषिए अज्जड्तो मं विप्पलम्भगोधरं करेदि चि सब्बषा जमो कलो अहीससणीभाण अत्रणो भाअधेभाणं।

राजा। अयि मनखिनि अलघरपटलान्तरिते यद्वि मानी बण्डनं गता नलिनी। तसा न विमलम्भो नोपालम्भोडप्पर्य भानोः ॥ः६॥
बार। भहिणि अलं सन्ताबिं्रेण। बहुघद्धह्डा ख राभाणो।

L! $N_{1.2} D_{3-5}$ उप-( for उन.). Some Mss -सप्पक्ज; $S_{2}$ उपषष्म उपसम्ब.
$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3.4} \mathrm{om}$, वयल. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ transp. हतोडन्तfिती and श्युवः.
L $3 \mathrm{D}_{3.4} \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{Pt}$ तथावस्थिती.
L $4 S_{2}$ सैध्या throughour. Some Mss निश्वस्य. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ चारमविए. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. तं before तथा. $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{om}. ; \mathrm{MI}_{4}$ तथा ( for तषा). $\mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ समाससिश; $D_{3}$ समाडिफ ( for समाबासिक ).
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{om}$. में. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ विष्बलम्भ-. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Sa}_{2}$ करेंदे ति. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ सम्बया. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ does not repeat खुमो ; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ नमो ममो.

L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ घविससलीकाएां (?) : $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ घविस्ससधीज्रायं; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$
 भाजषेखाएां.

L $7 D_{3}$ ins. बगतं after राजा.
St. 16-b) $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ मानो यर्व ( for यदि मानो ).
 ar्ucter ; Di बन्दावेप. All Mss (except $D_{2.3}$ as in rext) Fis. $S_{2}$ राइल. $M_{1} D_{3}$ ins. होमि ; $D_{7}$ हुति after राभाय.
 अलिमोबालम्भगोषरं पिभबसस्सं करेति।

सता। ब्यस्य, अल्यक्ल कोषेत।
मालभ्रल्यो विजिसामिः स्यीकिएपह शिते।


शैप्या। (रेषिति)।
 ज्ञेब अदिभूमिं णीदो माडाराओ। ता जर मं पुछ्छसि. तदो पलोभम्तो बि $\sigma$ पलोर्वम्वो, पिस भालष्तो बि निसे उबालम्भेदि सेदछषो।

L 1 Pt2 om.; $M_{1}$ सक्रोषं (for सरोषं). $N_{1.2} D_{5}$ om. ; $M_{1}$ का

 भर्योस.
 $D_{3} S_{2}$ घलीश्रो- ; $D_{4}$ मालीशो- .
$L_{3} D_{3}$ ins. भो before वयस्य. $S_{2} \mathrm{om}$. घ्रत्र. $\mathrm{Ms}_{1}$ कोपेन (for कोषेन).
St. 17-c) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ प्रलायन्ते कन्यानां ( for प्रयामित कान्तानों).
$L_{7} N_{2}$ समास्सस twice; $D_{7}$ समस्ता समस्सा. $N_{1.2} D_{1.3 .4 .7} \mathrm{P}_{12}$ शदिमेलं. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ मातुनाश्रदाए. $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3} .7$ तए ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ त; $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{om}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ तु (for तुए).

 $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{om} . ; \mathrm{S}_{2}$ द्रं (for मं ).

L $9 D_{3}$ बस्रोघघम्तो. $D_{7}$ fि का, after which it ius. Sanskrit paraphrasing : प्रलोब्यमषि नालोध्तीी (sic) थ. $D_{4}$ gुलोबिंतो कि जा पलोद्धानो.


 अभस्त पद्विस्ल।

राजा । ( सत्वरुपद्यत्य)। प्रिये मयि यस्या प्रभाबसो ददे मत्पराये। स्वमास्मनो पिशालादि कथं न प्रमविष्यलि 11$\}<1$

बिद्र। सोरिथ मोदीए।
( डभे सलंधमसुपिष्डतः)
रीज्या। (सगतम्)। कधं अझ्जकतो। भोहु पर्ष दाष। (भकारामू)। जद्महु अभहु अज़तो।
10 चाह। (साराकमात्मगतम्)। कधं महाराओ। हती हची सुं
 $D_{4}$ fिं ते बक्येय. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ करइससं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ जदि; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ जनि. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ दिर्हे.
 ( for तुट्ठ-).

L 2 D2 पाइविस्सं.
L $3 \mathrm{D}_{5}$ उत्सृत्य ; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ उपेत्य (for उपसूल्य ). $\mathrm{D}_{3.4} \mathrm{om}$. त्रिये.
Se. 8-1) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ घये (for मयि ).
$L \in N_{2}$ लोश्नन्य (?) $D_{7}$ सतिष (for सोरिथ). $N_{1}$ ins. दाष after स्सिस्थि. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ भोषीये; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ होदिए ( for भोदीए).

 एवं, $D_{3}$ एवं (for एवं).
 (once only). $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ माराराओो ( for चैलताो).

L to Cärumati's speech is encirely om. in $\mathrm{S}_{2} . \mathrm{D}_{4}$ ins, \%संखयं
 om. ( hapl.) all words from बाई up to ame (p. 15.1. 2 ).
 उनहु जअड़ माइरायो। (अासममुपनीय)। सएं भासण, सस्थ उबष्सिड्ड मडाराओओ।
( हति सेँ उपविशान्ति)
राजा। (चिरं निर्षणर्य )। मिये गम्वियमिकालों तो
किमयाक्षवलिततारा निषर्तते मयि बराश्रि निपतन्री।
प्रातः सरोजगमें अमरीब पिपसिता vहि: ॥乡था।
अपि च तन्वा़्रि
यर्धपि स्रियमाधसें भूषणानादरस्तब।
तथाव्यम्तर्गातं मन्युमर्यं कथयतीब से ॥२०॥
शौम्या। (सासूलमबलोष्च)। सुटूर्ड सोसि अअजक्षो इमेडिं
 नादयति)
L \& Mitransp. मए and मन्तिषं. $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{St} \operatorname{Pct}$ एबं ( for पषं ). $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ $x$ only ( for घ्रकारो ). $D_{2}$ om. all words from बकाश' to उपविश्ति (l. 4).
 एi ( for एदं).
$L_{3} \mathrm{Nr} \mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{I}}$ उपबिसदु; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ उपविशड़.

L 5 N1.2 नन्वयमिषानी'.
St. 19-ab) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ बलितबरें निवर्तते. $S_{2.3}$ निराय ( for बराश्रि).一c) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ सरोजस्षें (for बारें).

St. 20-a) $D_{3}$ प्रियं (for fi्रियं).-b) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ भूपयापाषर:.-c) The letters तष्षाप्य lost in $S_{2}$. Nr. 2 सम्मक्त ( for मन्यु ).
L. It $N_{1.2} D_{1} S_{3}$ चालोष्य; $D_{3}$ भालोकते (for क्रक्षोक्य ). $N_{1.2}$



 $N_{1,2} D_{5}$ केषें ( for करयं ).
 परितुठति ल्लगटे मझुरा अूलूता सैं मद्नजयपताफाबिभ्रमं षिभ्रतीषम्। सुरुति चि किमकाण्डे चर्डि विम्याधरोडयं
( अर्जलिए वन्द्या)
चण्डि प्रसीद परिताग्यसि किं दुष्षण
नाहं तथा ननु यथा परिशाक्रसे माम्।
द्णं बरात्रि मयि घारप घस्दमं ते भभिर्णाये कुरुपतिर्मेगवान्भमाणम ॥श्था
( प्रविश्य )
पतीदारारी। जबनु जभनु महाराओ। पसो कुलबविसभासादो ताबसो पडतो।

L I $D_{4} \mathrm{om}$. प्रिये प्रसीद.
St. 21-a) $D_{3} S_{2.3}$ transp. म書रा and מूलता. Some letters damaged and lost in $S_{2}-$ b) D6 resumes from मं बिभ्रहीयं ( see $v . l$. to line 6, p. 11) -c) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ बसा (for चरिष ).-d) $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ मुषवनलविषूतोलिस-. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ मदुपपनष्तापोमििस-(contrary to metre).

L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. भजलिं बहृा.
St. 22-4) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ बएर ( for घरिए ). The leters चरिए 9 lost in $S_{2}$. $D_{2}$ परिसुप्यद्यस; $D_{3}$ परिताप्यसि; $D_{4} S_{1.3}$ Per. 2 परिक्प्यसि (for परि-

 $D_{1}$ बिरिर्याये $S_{3}$ समिर्षेये.
 (Tतfï̀: (I. 23d).
 - एको. $D_{1.3}$ Fबबह. All Mss except $N_{1} D_{1,3}$ तापदो.


## राज्ञा। हेमपभे साब्रमबिलग्मं मवेशाय।

 प्रती। गै महाराभो आणवेदि। (इति निक्कान्ता)।( तथः पविशति शान्त्युककहस्तस्तापसः)
तापसः। (सबिस्सयम्)। अहो तु बलु भोः
अपर्षण्येषेन्दो: किमयमुप्रागः कथमय
दिशां दाहो घोरः किमिति बसुधेये प्रचलिता।
छिनच्युल्कादण्डः किमिति सबितुर्मण्डलमदो
महोत्पातोवर्क: का प्व परिणामो हतविधेः ॥₹३। भथवा गुर्भिरनुनिस्ट्यमाने सर्षममैवैतक्कुरालपरिणामम्।

श्रान्ते: स्स्ययनाहानाद्विघाणां खस्तिवाचनात्।
ठुःसमोत्पातरामनं सतां चैषानुकीर्तनात्व ॥₹ध\| अतएवासि कुलपतिता भगवता पारष्धस्सस्ययनकर्मणः कोषभूत

 ins. fि ; $M_{1} S_{2.3}$ ins. fित after घार्बादेदि. $N_{1.2} D_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{2.3}$ om. रति.

$L_{4} D_{3}$ om. तापसः: $D_{1}$ ins, प्रतिहारी व after तापष:. $\mathrm{Pta}_{2}$ om. सविस्ममयं : MI places it after भो:-

St. 23-d) $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ षममहो.—c) $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ मिन्स्युल्का. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ न्बत्र: (for -षएवi). $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ om. (hapl) महो.-d) $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ माोेपावोद्वम:. $\mathrm{D}_{1,4} 4$ हह (for (丁).

 $M_{1}$ तब (for एवत ). $N_{1.2} \mathrm{Ms}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ ins. कुतः ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. यतः before the verse 24 .
 $S_{3}$ घनुक्षिर्वमे.



 घร ता ता
(प्रविएय)


- तापसः। (उपसुस्य)। राजम्स्ति भबते।

त्राजा। (संंभमसुत्थाय)। भगबभ्षभिवाष्ये।
घंघ्या। भมषं पणमामि।
वापसः। राजलिखिजयी मष। मबति घीरपरस्मूयूया।
राजा। (संंभमम्)। भासनमासनम्।
10 भरी। (มासनमुपनयति)।
राजा। ६मासतमझोपविशाुु मबान्।
(।ति सबें उपबिशान्ति)
ताजा। हेमयभे दार्यषहिता भव।


$L_{3} \mathrm{Ma}$ om. प्रीिरु.

 $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tl}, 2}$ उससमपृ:-
$L_{5} D_{4} S_{3}$ on. घुसल. $S_{3}$ om. राजन्.


Lg $D_{3}$ बलरं ( for ससंघ्रमं ).



Lis $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{Ms}_{8} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{l}, 3}$ om. \&for

प्रती। समहा धाबने़ि। ( दति जिकाम्ता)।
 भ्रभाषं निशाम जागरान्ने सकलगस्प भषतोडमियेषगाष सस्स्यनदोष मगषता पहितं श्रास्युक्कम्।
रता। (सहर्षमझलिं बहाए)। महान्मसादः।
 प्रारष्धस्थ मरामनविधेरापदामुन्मुबीकाम्। एतत्पुण्य किमपि परर्म ते कियाोष्यम्मो
 ( इल्यमिषिं्रति )।
राजा। (स्पर्शममिनीय)। अये
पं तरक्षली जस्य प्रसबाहुरारणम्।




L $3 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$-Яजागरणान्ते. $\mathrm{Ni}_{1}$ transp. सकलम्रह्य and भषतः. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ ॠकलस्य
 शभिषेचनाय ).

L 3.4 N1. 2 घमिषे चनीयख्बहलयन-
$L_{4} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. खस्लयनयोषं. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ ins. marg. क्लपविना after भगषता.
 N1. 2 शान्स्युदक्षाजन".
$L_{5}$ Ni. $2 \mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{I}}$ घहान्.
St. 25-4) Mt चयित-; D2. 4-6 $S_{1} P_{t 1.2}$ शामितन ; $S_{3}$ घघानित-
 $D_{4}$ बिरमझु. $D_{3}$ झुदो. $D_{2}$ हन्त.

L 10 S2 om. इल्यकिषित्वित





तापसः। भबति घौन्ये ख्वयापि भगबतः कुल्कोेराड़ेशास्सबिशेजमझ प्रर्तयितक्यो गृ६डेखतानां प्राभ्झणनां ब पूलासमारः।
घौर्या। (अअलिं बन्दू )। उं मधर्ब भाणवेखि।
तापसः। राजन्लस्ति भघते। भहमपि शार्बविविधविधिबित्तर' मगषमं। कुलपपतिमेय संभाषयामि। (इति निफान्तः)।
 समाबिट्ठो अज़त्चरस णिसापआाअरो। ता ठुलणीकवमि

 after चष.
$L_{2} D_{3}$ भ्र्र्यता ; $D_{4}$ प्र्वर्तितम्यः. The letterl गुदे lost in $S_{3}$.


 चबचेधि fि.

 fिewre: The letters fofffu lost in $S_{2}$.
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{om}$. ए\%

 $\mathrm{D}_{1.3}$ क्यमझबा.
$L_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{Ptz}$ समाधिसे; M 1 चारिदों; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ चारिद्ये. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ transp.





साता। (सानुरागम्)।
भ्राछः मिये यद्वि मयानुनयस्तबार्य
मिध्यापराधकडुषीक्ळतचेतसापि।
भारोपयामि तब हारलतां सकण्डे
पनार्वालं विर्वयामि कपोलबेोो ॥३ง॥
\$ौन्या। ( लखां नाटयति)।
राजा। (नाट्वेन तथा कुर्वन्त )। मिये
तब सपुल्रकः स्बेदो गण्टे करे मम केपदु-
स्तुुुयविधिद्यप्रारम्मो मुधैष मम भमः।
अपि विनिहितः कण्ठे हारः स्तनाप्रतरक्रितो

$\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ पदिया (for \{मिणा). $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ दुव्शएण. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ उदा; $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{St}_{1}$




L. 3 Dis घानुयं.


 बोलोलोटते.

L $9 D_{3}$ बरोfa ( for क्रिंत ).





 गमिस्सं।

राझा। देषि, परं क्रियताम्। .
(इल्युके निष्कान्ते )।
राजा। ख्यस्य कर्थ पुनरिदानीं सोत्कण्डमारमां विनोद्यामि।
6 विएू। मो चभस्स तुरं देवीसंबज्राए कधाए कीस ण अच्ताणभं किले केसि। अद्द पि मोभणकधाए अत्ताणभ विणोद्ट्स्स।
( पविएय )
 त्थली- लग्न परिमलुगार- हुरहि-णीसास-मारक्- परिक्जिए.


 $D_{1}$ हवि निष्कान्ता शैब्या बारमती च ; $D_{3}$ शैब्या निधकान्ता.
L. $4 D_{5}$ विनोष्यमि.
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ns}$-संबन्धाए; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-संवहाए. $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{om}$. ; $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ कहाए (for
 $\mathrm{D}_{1.7}$ transp. या and श्रक्षरारं. $\mathrm{MI}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2.3}$ श्रत्तायां; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ च। सायां. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. fितिए after this word.
 $D_{3} S_{2.3}$ चताएां. $D_{2}$ वियोदिस्सं.
$L 8 D_{7}$ उमदि उप्रिि ; $S_{2}$ जेढ़ जेडु. $D_{4.5}$ do not repeat बम्रहु. $D_{3}$


 pasage, some words and letters are damaged and lost in $\mathrm{Sa}_{2}$.


$L_{9} D_{4}$-परिम्नुग्गर-; $D_{5}$-परिनब्बर-; $S_{3}$-परिकब्नार-. $D_{7}$.


 चरिसन्त-सिलासारो विस णवजलडहो, गखम-गब्स-गम्मर-












L 3 Nt. 2 -सिसिराधारो (for -fिलासारों). $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins, षण before ष्णा--
 ( for .गामीfर-).











सित्दा-संकेतिजन्त-वरलतर-जोहा-सदा-विस्थारो, णिरन्तर-परि.
 कडार-केसर- सडा- कड्पो, णिसिद्- करबाल- विमलेन्द्रीक्र-फजल-तमाल-सामलो, परिफ्कुरन्त-पिक्नछ्छि- विष्धोहो, बह⿸्न मसीमंसलो, फुलिक्रूसेसो विभ दाषाजलो, द्तम्तर्कर्डण-मझ-कुण्डलिखन्त- बिसश्ज्ड- मासुर-विश्ड- वाढा-कराल-मुए-
 ग्गा-बिर्नण्डिद-चन्वमण्डलुष्रान्त-कलाजुभल-सोहा-सणादो
$L_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. -संदेहिएन्तन्तरलतरजीहा-; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ संदेशिन्तिजोगीहा-. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ -राल-( for -वरलतर- ). $\mathrm{S}_{2}$-बिद्धा-. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$-बिल्यरो.
 -परिप्फुर्त- ).
 विशलन( for विभच- ).

 -बिमलिन्द्- ; $D_{4}$-विमलेन्द्र.
$L_{4} N_{1,2}$ परिफुर्बत-. $D_{4}$-fिनच्न. $N_{1.2}$-विच्छोहा; $M_{1}$-fिच्वितो ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$-विष्बाश्रो; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ विक्षेतो.
$L_{5} N_{1}, 2$-मंस-( for -मझी- ). $S_{2}$-मार्लो (for -मंसलो ). $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ फुलिन्होेो; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ करसमेसो.






 नी



 मधब्वयूंमिं। ता पद हुणिम भहा पारण। सहा पि ती जेष गष्डामि। ( रति निस्काम्तः )।
साता। (सर्षम् )। हन्त हह्धमिषामीं बिनोषसानम्।
 समबिसमल्य ण्र बुभुक्षा-पिभासा- दोस-सकुलं बहुपषानाय
 भविस्सषि।
 3 -संदातो (for संधाषों). $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ घोदाविए-; $\mathrm{D}_{1} 7$ घोषारिए- ; ocher Mss योदाशिद-. D2. 4 -बलय- (for -धल
 उहिवाई ; $D_{1}$-बीसामुत्षिदो; $D_{3}$-ौीसामोलिपदो ( for -लीलासझत्थियो).











 * चरटघस्स
$L_{7} D_{2-4} S_{1} P_{t 1}$. 2- सिपासा- (for - जिभासाता).
$L_{7-8} \quad D_{3}$-पष्यार्मनिमित" मश्रष्बं, omitting जा.




L 9 Ms ins. fि atter भfिस्पदि.

राजा। चयस्स मृणया हि नाम घृहामुपकारिणी तागमा। प्रस्य
किज्ं विलोद्यति मालसमावलोति
स्थैर्य घले बुुषि लाघवमावधाति।
उस्साहुुख्जिजनलीं रणकर्मयोम्यां
राषां भुधैंच मृगयां ब्यसर्क बदृन्ति ॥२२॥ वఫेद्धि तलैब गष्ठावः।
( घति निफ्कान्ती )॥
पति प्रथमोक₹ं: समातः ॥
 $P_{t 1 .} 2$ तथा हि; $D_{4}$ ता हि (for पर्य).

St. 29-a) $M_{1}$ भाद्याति ( for घ्यातनोति )-b) Nt. 2 भमे ; $M_{1}$

 Ni. 2 चुपा.



 यकि उलमेड़ा.

## सितीरोग्र:

## ( नेपथ्ये)

## मो भो बराहाग्वेषिणः

 नाराम्मुस्तास्थलानि स्युुटयति जलान्युक्कसेकणि याति। भातः श्रासः प्रविष्टो घनगइनमय्य याति यातीति संम्चिः
 तव्वघ्यम्वां समन्ताइनानि। तथा दि

भास्तीर्यन्तामुपान्तो बनवृतिनिपुणैर्जालिकैज्ञालबन्था
मुष्यन्तां श्यूलग्रम्य; भ्वर्गणिमिरटवोगबरे लारमेयाः।
Some letters and words are lost through danage in $S_{2}$; they are generally ignored in the critical notes here ; only variant readings are noted.
$\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ हीगयोगाय नम:-
$L_{2} S_{2}$ om. भो मो बराहान्वेषिएा: $S_{s} P_{t r}, 2$ do not repeat भो. $D_{1}$ ins. सेनिकः after बराएान्वेषिएः.
 $\mathrm{Ptz}_{t 2}$ [पा] सारान्मुला०. $\mathrm{Mt}_{5}$ बनाति (for जलानि). $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t} .2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ उसकमेषेष ;



 न fिटी: छान्वयं यानि तानि ।

 om. ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ बमन्ताषपषोक्य ( for वथा fí).


 र्य्याधूयग्तां क्रतान्तैरिश महिषचर्र्वण्डिमि: काननानि ॥२॥
( ततः भ्रविशाति गौद्रोज्ञललषेष: सभ्रान्तो विमराट् )।
विमराए्। (सारांकम्त्)।
इॉमोः समाधिरपि येन छृतान्तरायो
उक्षस चास्वरविधिः रिषयोश्र केलिः।
सोड़ जगत्रयहितथ्यषसायसिधि:
विज्यंसबिभ्रमपर: परमोडसि विमः ॥३॥
तबहमिदानीम्
विधात्र्यं हरिह्हरालमभुषामसाष्य-
द्युप्स्तयोमिरिह साधयतो महबेंः।
कीजाषराइबपुरघ बमुद्धरामि
ोोकसं हरिरिवादियराषरूपः ॥ध
(पष्लाब्बलोकम समयमे। अदो मे जगल्कल्याणपरिंग्थिनः परपौख्यान्तराय-


 f(umi,
 $\mathrm{D}_{3}$-बैपषर: ( for -केष:).

L 4 Da.3. 6 ons, ศिमराट्, $M_{3}$ ins. घार्मगता; $D_{3}$ ins. सगतं after क्षायाषं.

St. 3 The first two pädas are lost in $S_{2}$-b) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ qixx. -6) $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{om}$. दित. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$-fित्ब्बसनाय fिद्यो.
L. $9 D_{5}$ वषां बदानों.
 in $\mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{a}}$.
 (for Tr.).


 यतसलेल किस तीर्रतपसा अप्रियम्राहलेन सर्गान्तर्रयमयजापतिना
 सिबये किमपि दुफ्करमारण्ध बर्तते। तथा ही

बतो धाता विश्ल' स्जति न हरर्नाषि च हरो हरियोता लोकालवति न विरिर्यिर्म च हर:। थतः संहर्तासी ररति हर पक्रिभुषलं



$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ घमन्यमंiनेत. $\mathrm{D}_{1-3.7} \mathrm{~S}_{8} \mathrm{PtI}, 2^{\mathrm{om}}$. घया leere and place




 माडाएल-).



 गणबनममीयी. D6 om. किषाना.



St. 5-4) Much of first two pädas lost in $S_{2}$. $D_{5}$ 暗 (for


(विबिस्य)। अयवा उसमनैंधिकेडसिनिक ज समाम्पले। सित्रु

चृथिमाधिस्येद्मुपनान्तम्। तक्ष जाने किमल फलिम्यकीति। ( तेषथ्ये)
गहनतरबनाम्तर्थानगर्बित विह रे फोटाधम तिब्ड।
नूं कुत्वूलबराताक्षणहध्रब मायामिषाभ्रितबतापहतस स्वयाइम्। पफ्थानमेषि यदि मेड्ध छ्रोस्तदानीं मृदासि उुष्ट न पुर्नल्लिनीवनालि ॥ध॥
10 विश। (धुर्वा साईर्णम्)। अये कथमासक्ल पषायम्। तधाबविको निर्गल्य तामेब मायामास्थाय द्रायाग्यास्मानम्। (इति सत्रं परिकम्य निकास्तः )।
( वतः श्रविराति गुदीतारासनोडन्नुसरण नाटयनूघस्थो राजा सारचिस्)।

 न संभाप्यते ).
$L_{2} M_{1}$ कुलभयोपतया; $D_{3}$ बुबमझोषतपा. $S_{3}$ शुनिखभाषवगा. $D_{2}$


 (for कलिम्यित ). $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}, 2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. इति.

 $N_{2} M_{1} D_{3}$ क्षेापष, $D_{4}$ om. ( second ) fity.



 $D_{1}$ ins wew before mixnान.
$L_{12} D_{5}$ परिस ( for परिएन्त्र ).



राज्ञा। (पूर्बोक पठिस्ताप्रतोडबसोक्य हर्र्र्म्)। आर्य धार्य कातिदूर्वर्तिगत तोल भवित्ध्यम्। तथा हि पस्य

मृणालीमिः कीर्णाः फबलगगलिताभिश्वंन्युब:
बरसीरोे धाराः भ्षुमितजलनिस्पन्दिपयसाम्।
शमोःीरणं" फेनेः शाषलनघहाष्पाः स्ललभुषो
घनास्तम्रि:्बवसैमैर्त रह मुस्तासुर्भयः ॥ज॥
( निपुणमवलोक्य सहर्षम् )। आर्य भयमसी पष्य पष्य
हेलावक्कितकन्धरः सरमसमोस्सातकम्बाहुर-
व्यासक्ताकुललोलनालनखिनं बक्रान्तराले घह्व्।


 $D_{3}$ घये ; $N_{1,2} M_{5} D_{1} S_{2.3}$ भार्य ouly once. $D_{5}$ ins. घघ altee second $\begin{aligned} & \text { pru } \\ & \text {. }\end{aligned}$
$L_{2} D_{4}$-दूरे वर्तिंना. $S_{3}$ om. ; $D_{4.5}$ repeat परय.
St. 7 Parts of first two pädas lost in $S_{2}$,-4) $N_{1,2} M_{1}$ कोष्ष .



 $D_{3}$ बनान्तर्मिस्वासे:.
 repeat शार्य. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ do not repeat परय. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ आार्य परसायमसी.

St. 8 Part of the second pàda lost in $S_{2}$,-a) $S_{8}$ Ptr. 2 iemirfinat-
 transp. बोध and गाब ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupt. $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ om, -mात. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}-7$ वक्रान्तराल.

## अन्तरूं बविळबनामिनलिनम्रात्तासनाम्मोखः


(सानक्वम्)। कर्यं (अघलोक्य) माममिमुब्यमापतितः। (गिक शारसंधानं नाटयति)।
6 स्तात। (सकौतुकमवलोक्य)। आयुष्मन्पष्य पष्य गर्वापेत्य पुर्नर्निबृत्य तरसा लक्षीछतस्तर्क्षणं बासाकुझ्चितमायताम्रचरणः पष्रार्धमाकर्षयन्। श्वासोप्रे कविदोरीं एकविचरभ्भ्यन्नृणालाहुतु घंध्द्राम्पर्यतीव ते व्यपगतमीडाविलक्षानतः ॥थ॥
10 राजा। (बाणमोक्षं नाटयन्नुपपसंख्य समन्तादषलोक्य साइसर्वय्)। कथमनबसर एबैतत्क्षणतिरोहितेडसिम्बाणमोक्ष: कृतः। तथा ीि

St. 8 (coutd)-c) Mi Di. 2 -rाfितलिन:; $D_{4}$ नामिनलिनो.




L. $3 \mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ सानन्दमबलोक्य कथमग़ं ( Ms कपसीी) मयावि ( M t
 $M_{1}$ घ्वापरति ; $D_{1}$ घायाति (for घापलतः).
$L_{4} \mathrm{Min}_{1} 7$ संधानं (for शरसंघान').
$L_{5} D_{1}$ ins. बोर्माहं after शघलोक्य. $D_{3}$ docs not repeat पस्य.




 $M_{1} D_{2} 4.6 \mathrm{~S}_{1.2} \mathrm{Ptr}_{2} 2$ उपहल (for उपसंहल). $\mathrm{N}_{1 .} 2 \mathrm{D}_{3.7}$ ins,
 चघलोक्ष. $M_{1} \mathrm{om}, ~ घ ा$ पर्षं.

 सी


क्रापाइल्तर्ते क्षणमध इ्योरतति निसं

पुर: पार्बं पालानूमति परितसकफयममें
सकुरार्विणुलोलं फलुयति जु लस्यं मस सnः ॥1\%

 पार्यति।
स्रतः। (तथा करख्या र्यक्तममझिनीय )। भायुपन्प्पर्य पर्य

पुरो लभ्भासस्तित्वरितमतुजाबकम मनः।
10
घर्य तो निक्तम्णव्वजपटपरामृष्खलदो




 में (submerric) (for मп).
 दरसो ). Mi om. दूरतो हम्इू सानच्वं.

 ins. wightand ater wiाn. $\mathrm{Ms}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ om. .n.





 $S_{2}$ रापदी.

राजा। (सविसयम्)। तथा हि
दिखि द्याबस्लक्रिर्षिजितपवलैः स्सन्द्वाडये-
जबादुक्रामश्रिर्जलनिषिमिबाषाय प्रतत।


( घमवोडबहोष्य संबदम् )। कथमिमामरण्यानीमतिकम्य संघल्यस्ता
 मरण्यलेबां विघितोमि। (घति तथा कुर्षेम्तानम्बम्)। हस हम वरोबनोपकण्डेनानेत भषितत्यम्। तथा हि

भामूलं कखिन्दुदृता बचिद्यि चिछ्हा सली वर्षिशामानम्रा कुषसमोब्याष्ब स६याह्हष्टार्रशाबा लता।





 $M_{1} D_{3}$ कोलो (for कोरो ). $N_{2}$ दिनकर ; $D 6$ दिनश्रत ( for दिक्क्ष ).
 घमलोक्य ). Mr घये ( for बलें ). $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. संशति.


 रमयीया.


L. $9 D_{3}$ ब्रोल्लोष्टए एत तेच.



( समश्ताष्यलोक्य श्रुतिमभिफ़ीय सकौतुकम् )। अार्य पर्य पर्य नीपस्कन्बे हुरहीजि छुकाः सागतं ब्याइरम्ति
 पता मृन्यः सरिलपुलिनोपान्तसंसक्रवर्म पस्यम्खोडसान्सबकितहरो निर्मां राम्भः पिथस्ति ॥ห४॥ तदलमिदानीमाभमोपशब्यचारिणाओेनात्विष्टे।। घूत सूत समति
 प्रविश्य मुनीनमिबादये। अतिकान्तान्यई्यणीयसभाजनानि किल अ्येयलां परिपन्योनि भवस्ति। ( दति रथाबतरणं नाटयति)। घतः। यधाविर्राति सामी। (रति निक्काम्तः )।
$\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ आालोक्य ; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ बल्लोष्ष ( for भबलोक्य). $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ घये (for घार्य). $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ does not repeat पर्य. $\mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ \#मर्थर्यं पर्य ( for आर्यं परय परस ).
 corrupt. $D_{5}$ क्यागतं (for खागतं ).-b) $N_{1.2} D_{2.4,6}$ षघयानिः; ; $S_{3}$



L6 $6 \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ भनेनासिन् भवितक्वम्; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupt; $\mathrm{M}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{2} 4.6$ तेनानिमेन
 Mi om. संяfa.
$\mathrm{L}_{7}$ The portion of the texc from बसहां up to वतः प्रविर्यात (before Act iii. 4 ) is lost on missing folios in Ds. N2 षनुःघटीरमाल. - हाग:-
 शर्षयोगानि घमाबनानि; $D_{7}$ घर्षबीयलनसभाजनानि.

L 8-9 $D_{7}$ घेय: वरिषन्बोलि.





##  निरतिरायाकि तथोबनबाधिनां सुखानि। कुतः मनः समोगेम्वः स्वृध्यति न सकर्पषिरत वियोगेनु स्नेहाम्विद्धति क का निर्ममतया। छहंकारस्यागणिभिपरवियागष्ध्युपरमे परां शार्ंि्ति प्राप्ताः किमपि सुक्षिज: संयमधनाः ॥३प॥

( लबिलय परिक्रम्य साज्बसममिनीय साएक्षम्) )। अये करें
 बनाणि। अथवा सर्बमिभावि किमष्यकमिभबतीय तेजसामुपरि तथोमय 10 प्रासतेजः। कुतः

L : $D_{3}$ om. the stage direction. $D_{7}$ om. निन्वा नारयिय्वा. $N_{t, 2}$ $M_{1} D_{3.7}$ निर्देन्द्र ( for निर्षान्व-). $D_{4}$-रमयीयता (for -रमयीयतया).
$L_{2} \quad D_{4}$ निरतिशयितानि. $D_{7}$ तवोषनानां (tor तरोषनवारिना). $D 6$ om, wai.

St. 15-a) $S_{3}$ संभोगाय (for संभोगेम्य:). $D_{4}$ संभोग- ( for संकले-).



 $S_{3}$-fिभागे म्युपरये. $-d$ ) $D_{5}$ घुलितः; $\mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ घुधियः: $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ लंयमिभनाः.

 बनयन्ते ( for बनयन्यत्व ). $D_{4}$-घन्य- ( for -पश्रय-). $D_{2}$ om. all words


 \#मिभाएं ( for all words from बर्यामि- to -मघनीयं ). $\mathrm{D}_{4}$-मापनीब ( for - अल्वीय ). D6 सपोसष-.
 $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ repeate sax.

# पो परे सांख्यसमाषघंस्ति 

घशाम्तरम्याण्यपि मे वनानि।
सर्वाणि तेजांसि मृद्वूमन्ति
स्सयोजिमासाध यथाम्विरम्भः ॥३६॥
( सति ससाज्बसं परिकामति) ।

परित्ताभध अज्वा परित्तासघ। ददाधो अणवराधमाणाओो अणाधाओो असरणाओ हुचवहे परिकिर्सिखियोमो मम्वभाईणीभो। ता परित्ताभध अज्ञा परित्तासष।
राजा। ( श्रुतिममिनीय सबभरमम्)। अह्ह रतो नातिदूरे योषितामि- 10 बार्तः प्रलापः क्षूयते। (साक्षर्गम्)। अये तपोषनमिक

 श्रानाष ). $D_{5}$ यषापममंमृ:.
$L_{5} \quad D_{3}$ om, the stage direction.
$L_{7} D_{2}$ परिताध ; $S_{2}$ परितांश्शः ; $S_{3}$ परित्राय all both times. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ घm.
 ou P. 38, v.l. to line 3) ; $D_{3.4 .5 .7} \mathrm{Pt2}$ भणवरण ( $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{Pt2}$ रफ्फ ; $\mathrm{D}_{5.7}$




 $S_{2}$ वरस्ताइए once ouly.



 before גोणिता.



Lis $M_{1} D_{4}$ घषषा ; $S_{2}$ श्रघ (for कवे).

क पुनरलेछशामामखिनीतालां संमकः। अब्तु उससर्पां। ( तथा करोति )।
( जेषथ्ये तथैब पव्यते)
( भुत्वा सावष्वम्मम् )। अभयमसय मयार्तानाम् । (सनोधम् )। 5 आः

कोडयं तपोषनविक्स्समनारमनीनं फूरो नुरांसमनुतिष्ठति कर्म घोरम्।
तस्थैष बाणपरिलूनहिरोधरस्य
प्रत्यक्रमुख्यतशिके ज्बलने जुरोमि ॥?
10 (घति परिकमष्य नेपष्यामिमुख्यमबलोक्य सविसयम्)। अये कोडं मज्येग्मुपासीनेन विलपता भयातेंन द्विक्यरूपिणा नारीकयेण सह संकिधितहोमसाधनो डम्रिशालामष्यास्ते। नूनं तापसाकस्पधारिणा पाषण्डेनानेल मवितल्यम्।

 उपसर्षाम ).
L. 3 MI ins, दfत before तथा.
 (for तथैष). $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{Mi}_{1}$ om. पब्यते. After तेqueqे $\mathrm{MI}_{1}$ ins. the entire speech of the Vidyäs with v. 1. as noted before ( $\mathrm{P}, 37$, v. 1. to line 7-9).
$\mathrm{L}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om, श्रुला. M 1 om. भयार्तानले.



$L_{10} N_{1.2} M_{1} D_{3}$ om. घfत्र. $S_{2}$ घिि परिक्रामति. $D_{5}$ transp.
 atter घघलोक्ष. $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{om}$, कोडयं.
$L_{11} N_{1} D_{3}$ मघ्येड
 $D_{3}$ fिम्यसेण.



( वतः घविशाति होमं नाट्यन्मिश्षामितो बधारिर्दिहाग्र वियाः )
विद्याः। (संभर्रम नटटयम्सः पूर्योंक पहन्ति ) ।
विर्वामित्षः। ( सास्र्यम्) । अद्धो जु बलु मो:
बहति हबिक्पांहुयमन्बवूतं
भबति तथापि न वक्षिणार्चिरमिः। .
किमिबमुपगताः कियाप्रभाखा-
ब मम बरित्वमिमाः प्रयास्ति विद्या: ॥१८॥
( पति समार्धि नाटयति )।
विद्याः। (पूर्बोंक पठन्ति)।
राजा। (सत्वरमुपसूस्य)। अभयममयं भयार्तानाम्। तिष्ड रे 10 दुरात्मन् पासण्डाधम विष्ट। क पष ते प्रच्छजराक्षसस्य भाया-


बासो वक्कलमक्षसूक्तलयो पणिर्जाटालं हिरः
कोऽयं हैपपरिप्हो गुरुतपोदाम्तस्य शान्ताएमतः।
केये ते शाठ ठुर्मतेरककषणा बीभस्सनारीषध-
कीडापातकिनी मतिर्मंज फलं स्खसाधुना कर्मणः ॥९९
 the reading of $D_{3}$ of the name throughout. Mr om?. यषानिन्किए। $M_{1}$ बियाय ; $D_{7}$ नार्यः (for विबाः ).
$L_{2} \quad M_{1} D_{4.7}$ खसंभ्रमं. $D_{3}$ qूर्षीकिं.
 ( for fियान ). -d ) Ms D. 4.6 घराखं.

L 8 Ni. 2 om. द्रति.

$L_{10} N_{1.2} M_{1} D_{3.7}$ उपगार्य (for उपहल ).
$L_{11} M_{1} D_{3}$ оm. डुरात्मश्. $N_{1.2} D_{3} S_{3}$ qाषयराषम, which $N_{1.2}$





विश्वामित्रः। (संबरणं नाटयन्सकोषम्)।
प्रा प्राप्तेन्धनश्रीः श्रषणक्डुतराफोरासंघह्रान्मा क्षोभाद्वन्तःसमाषिब्पयगमपबनोछीप्यमानोखदूसिः। लीलामासाघ्य सघः क्षयपवनसमुन्दूतकल्पान्तवक्षसं लोक्यप्रासबष्णामपनयत्तु मम कोधजो जातेवेदाः॥ ॥\|
बिब्याः। (सहर्वम्) ) प्रियं नः प्रियम्। विजयतां विजयतां मह्वाराः हरिस्रन्द्र। ( द्रि निफ्कान्ताः)।
विश्वा। (हष्टा सकोधम्)। अये कथमसी दुरात्मा घरिख्रन्द्रोऽसांक श्रेयसामन्तरायः संबृत्तः। तिप्ष रे क्षबियापसद तिष्ड

कामं द्ररिर्भिब बिमूढ मवाथ चन्र्र-
अन्द्रार्धमौलिरध वा हर पब भूयाः।
विद्यापणाइापरिवर्वितघोरदीचे:
फोधानलस्य मम नेन्धनतां घयासि ॥२?॥
L, $D_{2}$ om.; $D_{3}$ कीशिकः (for विषामिबं ). $N_{1.2}$ घंचेंग (for संबररणं ). $D_{4}$ transp. संबरांं and नाटयन्. $D_{3}$ नाटयति.

St. 20-a) $S_{2}$ श्रबगाबरतरा-. $M_{1}$ कोष for [श्रा] कोश.-b) $D_{2}$ om. (hapl.) त्रोप्यमानो. $D_{3}$ दौc्ते:. -bcd) $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ om. all words from समाधि up to बैलोक्षय.-c) $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ पननरय- ( for त्रयपषन-). $\mathrm{Mr}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2.3 \text {-समुदूतक्तल्वान्त- }}$

L $6 D_{4}$ repeats न:. $D_{2} .5 .6$ transp. F: and secund fáxi. $D_{7}$ $S_{3}$ पियं न: पियंयं नः. $S_{2}$ om. second प्रियं. $N_{1,2} D_{4} S_{2}$ do not repeat विजयता. $S_{3}$ जयता (for निजयता). D2. 6 महाराजा.

L6-7 $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{Sa}_{2}$ महाराजो ( Mr मदाराज-) हरिबन्र:.
 हषं; $N_{2}$ सकोषं सहर्ष: $M_{1}$ om.; $D_{3}$ भाः ( for घये ). $S_{3}$ om.; $M_{1}$ $\mathrm{D}_{4} \cdot 5.7 \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ शयं ( for घसी ).



 MI बयागि ( for प्रयासि).

अपि चे हो मूं
काम्काकेलिमयोडपि भूतकरणाशान्तोड्यसो हंयमो कीडारुबसमाधिम प्रविकटहु भूक्रनीमानःः।


b
राजा। (संभ्रममात्यगतम्)। कथं स भाषान्कौरिकोड्यं ताक्ष भगबल्यो विद्धाः। यासां सिदयेऽइमघय जापोइन्तरायः संघृत्। नूनमसमीष्ष्यकारिणा मयद्बेन्यनेनेव सुरुरच्छिक्षाफलापो ज्वलन एव पद्रतामाकान्तः।
ज्रोशिकः। ( समोधम्)।
प्ररणु्धसाधनविघातविवृद्धम्न्योः
शापाय धावति करो मम दक्षिणोड्यम्।
जातित सरर्बपि चिराय समुज्द्यातां तां
सव्येतरस्तु मस चापमुपैति पाणिः ॥न्य॥
( स्युक्षित्हति)।

 मामा- ).-d) $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ व्वाभासाबय ( for त्वामप्यद्य). $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ तोे़े कर्म कहते (tor द्वशा तदेव कुरते ).
L. $6 \mathrm{D}_{2}$ om. all words from राजा to संत्रः: ( 1.8 ). $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. ;
 Ms on, क्यषं.












राजा। (समयमुपद्सल्य )। भगवर्नभिबाद्ये।
कौशिकः। ( मोधं नाटयति)।
राजा। (पाद्योर्निपत्य)। भगषन् मर्षय मर्षय। खीजनार्तल्डाप. मायाइन्धितस्याविजानतो मे क्षन्तुमहेसि।
5 कौशिकः। हुरात्मन् कि नामाविजानतो मे क्षन्तुमईंसि। अरे रे भुद्ध न किल नाम भवतोऽहं विदितः। जातिस्यय्रहणनुर्धलितैकविप'
च्वयूसिप्ठदुतकाननधूमकेतुम्।
सर्गान्तराहरणमीतजगत्ह⿱तान्तं चण्डालयाजिनमबँषि न कौशिकं माम्॥२४॥
$L_{1} D_{4}$ सत्वरं ( for सभयं ). $\mathrm{Nr}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ उपाग््य (for उपसल ).
$L_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. the whole line. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ सक्रोषं ( for कोषं).
$L_{3} D_{3}$ does not repeat मर्षय. $S_{2.3}$ बोजनार्तिभ्राप-.
$\mathrm{L}_{3-5} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I} .2}$ arcange the dialoguc (from राजा पादयोर्जिष्य to चन्दुर्शंस on I .5 ) thus:

राजा। पादयोनिंपल । भगवन्मषंय मर्श्य।
फीरिक्। । उुराए्मन् किं नाम ।
राजा। राजा। भो में बन्वुपर्हीि).
$L_{4} S_{2}$-बविषस्पाधि आनतो मे.
$L_{5}$ Ms om. दुराहमझ्: $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ घघनतामझानतो मे. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ च्नन्दुमईईसीत भवानाह. $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{om}$. t .
$\mathrm{L}_{5}$-6 $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ om. (hapl.) all words from मे to भबतो.
 $M_{1} D_{7}$ uransp. भबतो and fिदिव:. $D_{3}$ om. भबतो. $S_{2}$ transp. घहो and विवित:-
 $D_{4.5}$ -


ताजा। भगबन् प्सीद प्रसीप नैबमवगन्तुमर्हसि।
अम्नक्षयादिज्डु तथाविछ्तिताइमषृतिं
राजर्मतिप्पपराए्मुसमानसं त्वाम्। आड्डीबकमपधनकम्पितजीवलोकं
कस्तेजसां च तपसां च निधिं न बेत्ति ॥२ध्या
किं तु मीक्जनार्त्रलापमुपधुत्ये वमुपकान्तम्। खधर्माक्षिमषेतसस्वा-
मविजानतो मे क्षन्तुमई्हसीति विक्षापयामि।
कौरिकः। दुराल्मन् कथ्य फथय कर्ष तो धर्म रति।
राजा। भगबव्
दातबर्य रक्षितवर्यं च योस्वर्यं क्ष्ष्रियैरिति।
गीतः पुरणึैर्मुनिभि ेेष धर्मः सनातनः ॥२६॥
कौशिक्रः नि नाम ( दातव्यमित्याहि पठति) ।
राजा। अथ किम्।
$L_{\text {: }} \mathrm{MI}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ do not repeat प्रीीद. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ om. all words from निषं



St. 25-a) $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3.7}$ अ श्रन्तयापदि ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ घमुण्ययापद्रि (corrupt). $\mathrm{D}_{4.7}$-दिधिता- (for -द्विद्धा००) ) - c) MI घालीवक-; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ आाकीषक-; $5_{2}$ नाडीबकー.

 $\mathrm{D}_{3.7}$-बेतसर्तल ; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$-देत्सस्लं ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$-चेतमम वं.
$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ भप्पआनतो ( for शविजानतो ). M विसापयति.
L 8 M om. वुर्याम्मन्. $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ do not repeat कथय. Pt2 repeats


L 9 Mi $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. मगबतू.
St. 26-b) D7 चึि (for \{保).
$L_{12} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ ins. सम्र०म्वं atter कीजाए:. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. नाम. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ घीि (forgenta). Mi om. both lines 12 and 13 .

कौरिकः। यघ्चेव कशय करमै दातब्यं कम्ष रक्षणीयः केन सहा योद्वन्यम्।
राजा। भगबन् श्रूयताम्।
कौरिकः। कथ्यताम्।
राजा। गुणवद्रगे द्विजातिय्यो ोे यं रक्ष्या भग्रार्दिताः।

कौशिकः। दुराटमन् यद्यों्र मन्यसे तन्का दीयतामसमभ्य विद्यातपोऽनुरूपं निन्रित् 1
राजा। (सहर्घम्)। नन्वनुगृदीतस्तर्हि भगवता वैवस्तो बंशः। तर्यसीन्र भगान्मसीन

सर्वस्खदानविनिबेद्दनकुणठर्शात्तिः।
पूर्णंँ धनै: कुरिकनन्श्रन तुभ्यमच
कृत्न्नामिमां बसुमतीं विनिषेद्ययामि ॥२८॥
 ins. ते after क\%. $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ ins. इरि after योद्वर्यं.
$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ om. भगबन् ध्रयवा.
$L_{3} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ om. the whole line. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}, 2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. दुरांत्मत् before कम्यता.

St. 27-a) $D_{5}$ द्विजाद्धिम्यो.—b) $D_{3}$ मयत्तराः ( for भयार्दिताः ).—c) $D_{4}$ चरालिम्म्यध.




L $8 \quad \mathrm{D}_{5}$ om. भगषता.
 and second я尺ीq.



क्रौशिकः। (सास्र्र्यमार्मगतम्)। मघट्बेबं ताबस्। (भकाशम्)। राजन् खस्ति। कि तु नाद्धक्षिणं दानमामनन्ति। तद्वर्हसि दक्षिणां दातुमिश्ञनीम्।
गता। (सत्रीडमात्मगनम्) । किमत्र भनिणत्तब्यम्। (निरं विन्निन्त्य सहुर्णम्)। भवत्बेंब ताबत्। ( प्रकाशम्)। भगवन् 5 समुपाहत्य दास्यामि हेस्मां लभं च दक्षिणाम्। अधभभृति मे मासमवधिं क्षन्तुमईसि ॥₹९॥
कौशिकःः अनुमतोऽयमबधिः। किं तु परिह्हत्य बसुमनीमन्यतो समुणा-

## हल्य दातन्यम्।

राजा। (साशक्कमाहमगतम्)। कणमन्र प्रतिविधेयम। (विनिन्स 10
 faरं निबिन्ल सहषं before भवव्वें ताबत, repeating these words below, lanes. $4.5 . \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{om}$. भवत्वेंत्र ताबत्. Mr om. all words from सार्भर्यं to भारारां.
$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{D} 6 \mathrm{om}$. fित तु. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ ins. मनीजिणt after श्रामनन्ति. $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ omı. ; 15,6 अर्हाति ( for श्रहंकि ).


$L_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{1,2}$ om, इरानी". $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ins. उवितं after दानानीं.
 ( (or आ्याममगतं) .


L8 8 N. $2 \mathrm{D}_{3.7}$ ins. मे after परिहल.

 गहर्प" भबर्देष नाबत् bur deletes the words by means of enclosed lrackers ( see v. I. to line 1).
L. io $S_{2}$ om. सारां. $S_{3}$ on. माशः
 ffनिन्ल.

सर्षम्) । हन्त हन्त लर्धं पतिविधानम्। यतोडस्ति किल भागतः शिबस्स परिप्रहपरमं क्षेबम्।

बाराणसीति घसुघातलमोगमिश्रां
यामन्तरिक्षनगरीं मुनयो बद्नि ।
धछ्ठे यमागमदरो विदुुर्तरालं बालाप्रभागपरिपरिसह्हम्नसूए्मम् ॥३०॥
तश्राहल्य वास्ये। (पकाशम्)। भगसन् यदृ़्विशसि। (आभरणान्यवतार्य)। भगवन्

एताः श्रियो भगवती वडुधा तथेयं
अस्वाण्यमूनि नृपलाइ्छनमेष मौलिः।
तर्द्शानावनुगृहाण मयोपनीत-
मेत्वुनः कुरिाकनन्द्ध पाद्योस्ते "३१॥
( रति पाद्योर्निपस्योल्थाय सहर्षमारमगतम् )। दिष्ट्या फलितमिझानीमायासभूयिष्ठेनापि मे राज्यभारेण। (सानन्दम्)।
 $D_{3}$ ins. इदानों after लब्षं. $D_{3}$ om. किल भगबत:
 PtI. 2 परिपदः परं क्षेबें ; $D_{5}$ परिपहःः त्वेनं; $D 6$ परिपदपरः द्षेत्रं.

St. 30—a) $\mathrm{D}_{3.4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$-मागभिषा.—b) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ शन्तरीश्न.,-c) $\mathrm{N}_{1}$

 भगवन् and ins. रति after धादिशिसि.

L $8 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ उतार्य ( for अवार्य ). $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. भगषन्.
St. 31-ه) $D_{5}$ भबती ( submetric ) ( for भगॠती ). $D_{4.7}$ तबवेयं,-b)
 -d) $D_{3}$ उुम्ब्रब ( for पावयोस्ते ).




मया मुनेरयं मभ्युर्यों वप्र इति शाक्कितः।
स पष कुसुमापीडः पातितो मम मूर्धनि ॥३२॥
भगवति बसुधरे तदियमापृष्टासि।
बैब्सतैन्ल्ट पतिभिः किल लोकधात्रि
श्वं ऐेंि बीरग्रासा सह रक्षितासि।
त्यक्ता मया यन्कि तुल्भमाबलोभा-
देकं क्षमस मम वुर्जयमेनमेब ॥३३॥
तचाबद्योोज्यां गत्वा भगबतः प्रतिभुतुं संपाच्य दक्षिणोपार्जनाय वाराणसीमेब गच्छामि। (पकाराम्)। भगवन् इतोडयोध्यां गस्वा कृतकल्यं निर्षर्त्य बक्षिणोपार्जनाय ममाश्रापयितुमर्दसि।


St. $\left.3^{2}-6\right) 1 D_{5}$ on. यो. - 6 ) $N_{1.2} D_{3}$ एव (tor पप).
 $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ बदिदमापृत्रासि ; $\mathrm{S}_{2} .3$ तदियमापृच्छचझे.

St. 33-a) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ चाषाताति ; $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ लोकषात्रो.-b) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ रालिघ बीरयण्गा $(\mathrm{stc})$ वजितासि.-c) $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$-लाभात ( for -सोमात्र).-d) $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ एवं


 $N_{1,2}$ शतिपाय ( for संपाय ).

L 9 MI संत्रीभवामि ( for बाराएसीमेव गहच्धांम ).
 fनर्बर्य ). $N_{1.2}$ मामनुक्वां ; $D_{3.5}$ मामासापयिदें.
 चनुमाषिता ( for महांतुभाबता).

च। दुरार्मन् अचिराइूक्ष्यामि ते रौण्डोर्यम्। तथा हि पइ्यामि यावथलितं न सत्याद्राज्याद्यि सावृच्चिराम्द्रन्तम् । च्चुुन्नयोदीपितितीवतेजास्तावन्न मे शान्तिमुपैति मन्युः ॥३४॥
( प्रकाशम्)। राजन् पवमस्तु को दोषः। ( इति निष्कान्तौ ) ॥

रति छितीयोऽऊं: समात्रः ॥



St. 34-a) $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ पतितं ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ बिनतं (for चलितं).-c) $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t} .2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$



L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}, 2} \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ins. भववृेषें ताबत् before प्रकाशं. $\quad \mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{om}$, राजन्.
$L_{7} N_{1,2}$ निष्कान्ताः ; $D_{3} S_{2}$ निष्षान्ताः सें ( for निष्कान्ती ).
Colophon. Ni. 2 नितीयोक्ष: समात्तः; $D_{1}$ missing; $D_{2} S_{3}$ द्धा
 $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ चए


## बतीयोर्ड:

## ( ततः भविशति बीमस्सवेषः पापपुक्षः )

## भापुणनः। (विकहं परिक्रम्योण्बैंबिहल) ।

नुछमेत्रमुलमहुले शोभबिओोभाहिबाहिकुुमप्टे।


 ( for पापgकृ:).
 (for विकटं ). $S_{3}$ ins. समययुपुप्य after fिद्सय.

St. : Mägadhi appears to be the Prakrit of this verse and the following passage (Cf. Pischel, Grammatik \$23). But Sauraseni having been the more tamiliar Prakrit, its forms are confused with those of Mägadhī. As far as possible, we have restored the recognised Mägadhi forms, but the Mss variants are also noted in each case.-This verse is lost in $S_{3}-a$ ) $D_{2}$ महमेष-; $D_{4}$ मुल० ; $D_{5}$ घु ${ }^{\circ}$;
 ${ }_{11} S_{2}$. All Mss ( $D_{1}$ missing ) and $P_{t}$ सोश्र- ( for शोश्र- ). $D_{2} .6 S_{1} P_{t I}$





 For the Mägadhi form in the text ( sk for ṣk ), Hemacandra 4 . 289 ; Pischel, Grammatik § 302.

The Sanskrit Chäyä of the verse would be:
पुबमाबमपर

(पुरतोऽवलोक्य सभयमपसृत्य)। हा मादिए उष्छादिके मि वाबाविदे नि इमाए कुग्गेग्म्हणामधेभाए दुँस्टणभलीए। चिप्रुद्रु वाव घ प्पदेोो, वेसिकुुं कि $\sigma$ पालेमि पवं। ता किं जु स्बु पत्थ कलिम्धा। (संपधार्य)। भोतु दाब पसन्ते भविभ 5 चिष्हिशां। येग पविशन्तेशिं यणेशिं यम्मन्तलघंचिदे पलिख्ये़

Lit $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om.; $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ पुरः (for पुरतः ). D 6 उपसूल. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ मादिके मादिक ; $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{Pta}_{1}$ मादोए ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ भादिके. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ उच्छादिदम्टि; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ उबसादिद्नि्हि ; $\mathrm{P}_{t 2}$ उच्छोदिदन्द्ह.
$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{om} . ; \mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3} .7$ बाबादिद fिहि (for वावादिदे मिहि). $D_{2.3} S_{1} P_{t 1,2}$ दुगोबन ; $D_{4}$ दुगोफ फ्म-; $D_{5}$ दुगोग्मन-; $D_{7}$ दुगोज्भ-; $S_{2}$ दुग्गोच- ; other Mss ( $D_{1}$ missing) दुग्रोज्मक. $D_{3} S_{2}$-सामहहेश्राए. Ni. $2 D_{7}$
 the Mägadhī form in the text, Pischel $\$ 303$. All Mss (Di missing) fिछछs ; for the Mägadhi form in the text, Pischel \& $185,303,323$.
 $M_{1} D_{3}$ पववैदो ; $D_{7}$ पवेषो. $D_{3}$ वेक्ष्ब्रदुं ; the remaining Mss ( $D_{1}$ missing ) पेच्च̄g̉ं ; for the Mägadhit form, Pischel as above. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ om.
 एदं. $S_{2}$ बालेम ( for पालेसि ). $D_{3}$ om. एदं. $S_{2}$ की या (for किं गु ).

$L_{4}$ All Mss (Ditmissing; lost in Mr ) © $D_{4} S_{2}$ 观 (for
 कलाइस्मं; SI PtI. 2 कलईस्सं ; $\mathrm{D}_{3.7}$ कालस्षं. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ भोदु भोदु; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ होदु होदु ; $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ होडु (for भोड़ु). दाव lost in M1. D7 इर्वो पख्यें ; $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ खदो श्रन्ते ; Pra दो एभन्ते ( for दाव एभन्ते ).
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ fिद्सिं ; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ बिट्ट्सं; the remaining Mss ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ missing ) and $\mathrm{Pr}_{t}$ चिहिस्सं. $\mathrm{Ni}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2} 6 \mathrm{~S}_{2.3}$ जेहिं; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ येदिं ; other Mss जेण. For Mägadhi a for $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{B}}$ Pischel § 236. All Mss ( $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ missing ) and Pt
 $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{2} .6 .7 \mathrm{~S}_{1.2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tr} .2}$ जम्मकालन ; $\mathrm{D}_{3.5}$ उस्मन्तरा; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ बम्मन्तरे.
 $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ परिजिति; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ पfिनिसे ; $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ परिबन्वे.

नाणं पुणो वि णिक्कमन्ताणं पक्षा अणुलनिग़श्रां।
(नेषथ्ये)

## रांभोः पाद्वाइजमुद्रा शिरसि भगवतस्तस्य ताद्धश्साइं

पुल्दमीतिर्भवान्याः ध्रुतमतनु तथा नैप्ठिक तत्तपो मे।

यस्सत्यं दुर्विलन्रु्रा भवति परिणतिः कर्मणां प्रक्षतानाम् ॥₹॥
पुरुव:। (सावष्टम्भम्)। आः अस्ति च्येव पदं यदि पद़ाप तुस्टणअलीए हो लगए बुलाआले हलिघन्दे $\sigma$ होषि।
$L_{1} S_{2}$ तारायां तारिं ; $S_{3}$ तायां तायां (for ताएं). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3.7}$

 म्रगुलनिगझशं, $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t} .2}$ read : विमृष्य। श्रा श्रहिथ न्जेषे जदि ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ श्रमि) एमाए


S. 2-a) $D_{3}$-मुर्दान ; $D_{7}$-माला ; $S_{2}$-मुप: —b) $N_{1.2}$ tranlsp.
 पानकनां ( for प्राक्तानों).


 (tor एदं ) and एशा ( for एदाए ).
L. 7-8 $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} .2}$ om. all words from झा: श्रित to या तोfि herc and mins. them as above ( 1.1 ) ; $D_{3}$ corrupe for this passage.
$L 8$ All Mss (except $\mathrm{D}_{5}$; M1 om. ; D1 missing ) and $\mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{t}}^{\mathrm{z}}$ -

 passages from चामलीए up to नागचर्बति ( p .55 .16 ) lost on missung
 $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. शसाखां before होदि.
(सविसयम्)। के पझे मन्तेशि। (नेपथ्याभिमुखमघलोक्य)। णि कहं अभवदो विशमलोभणझश आशणण्पलिचलके मिस्री इदो पदु ख्येव आभः्रदि। ता तुलिदंध अवक्कमामि। (इति निफ्कान्तः)। णु ( ततः प्रविशाति भृक्रिरिटिः )
 हैरिक्तन्व्रस्यापि द्राविपर्ययमध बेवो बेन्यै निकेवितबान्। यस्यान्दुतं कथ्यतस्थरिते भवस्य रोमाश्रमिन्रकणभस्मघनाइ्रय्ये:। व्यावलितम्रू नयनबयमाबिरासीछेल्बन्छरात्कराकलश्सपलक्ष मौलिः ॥झ॥

LI N1. 2 ins. भ्रुला before बfिसयं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ श्रलें के उच ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ons. उच्य) पसे ( for के एरो ). All Mss (D1 $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ missing ) एसे (for एरी) .
 समयं ; $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ ins. च atter अवलोष्व.
$L_{2} D_{3} \mathrm{om}_{1} ; \mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ करं ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ कथं (for कहं). $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{om}$. भअवदो. The dental स in विशम and श्राशरया is in all Mss ( $\mathrm{Dr}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ missing ) and $P_{t} . D_{2.4 \cdot 7} S_{1} P_{t 1,2}$-पन्चालके ; $D_{3}$-पाहचालए. $M_{1}$
 $S_{3}$ हnt.





L. $6 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3.7}$ ins. भाबितं before व्रा-. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ वर्शानिधिषिपर्ययं;


St. 3-4) $N_{1} D_{3}$ तल (for बस ).-c) $D_{4}$ ब्वार्वलितम्रु वयन-; $S_{3}$
 प्रवलक्या.

अधासाविह प्रेक्ष्यतीति समं देव्या देबो शाराह्कमौलिरपि पर्युस्तुक एव।


मदेराकः।

## ( ततः भविराति सचिन्तो राजा )

गजा। द्वैत्वां द्विजलत्तमाय बसुधां मील्या प्नक्नं मनः स्मृत्वा ताम्यति वक्षिणां विधिवशाप्र, र्वीमनिर्यातिताम्। कर्तन्यो न धनागमोडस्य विषये स्थानं भवानीपतेराहुर्यन्न बसुंधरेति तदहं वाराणर्सीं प्रस्थितः ॥४॥ ( न्रित्तां नाटयिस्वा दीर्घं नि:श्र्य )। कहैं मोः कण्म्। द्वाराः सू नुरिदें रारीरकमिति ल्यागावरिएँ कयं
संपासोडघधिरध्य सत्यमपरित्याज्यं भुनिः कोपनः।
 किंकर्तब्यविचारमूबमनसः सर्षत्र शून्या क्रिशाः ॥ध।


 $\square$ ( tor एव)
 नर्ध्रनाय after fिवर्दयं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ मबो भवाfि.
$L_{4} \mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{r}}$ resumes trom सिचन्तो राजा ( sec v. I. on p. $35, \mathrm{I}_{7}$ ).
$L_{5} \quad D_{3.7} \mathrm{om}$. रुजा.
St.4-a) $D_{5}$ दस्वेम (for दर्वैतो ).—b) $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ faधिवशा. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ गुर्भ
 -c) Ms transp. न and ॠह्य.-d) Dr भाहुर्र्भ.

L 9 MI om. दोर्घं. $D_{3}$ ins. च after दोर्षं and om. the first कn $n^{\circ}$. $i_{3} \mathrm{om}$. the second कह'.




(अग्रतोऽवलोष्य सहर्षम् )। कथमियं वाराणसी। भगवति बाराणमि नमस्ते। (विच्चिन्य साश्र्र्यम्)।

यद्वाब्छन्ति क्षपिततमसो घ्रह्यन्चर्यैस्तपोभिः
पअ्रज्याभिः श्रुतरामदमानाशक्रूर्घ हनिप्ठाः।
तदेढान्ते कथयति हरस्तारक ग़नमस्मि-
न्र्रणल्यागाभ्भवति न पुनर्जन्मने येन जन्तु: ॥६॥
अपि च
विमुन्य्यन्ते जन्तोरिह निविडसंसारनिगड्ड:
रिारस्तद्वैरिश्र्वं न्यपतद्विद्ह हस्तात्पघुपतेः।
विमुक्तस्तपापादमवद्विमुक्तः स भगवा-

- मुक्तँ तेनैनत्सह्द दयितया क्षेश्रमसमम् । ॥

तत्केनोपायेन मुनेरानुण्यमुपगच्छामि। (सविन्तम्)।
किं जित्वा धनमाहरामि धनदं ल्यक्तश्रियः कित जगैयाच्वाఫैन्यमपि द्विजातिसुलमं न श्षत्रिघाः कुर्वते । बाणिज्यं धनमूलमस्ति न धनं निक्किश्ननस्य!्य मे सर्घं कालमपेक्षते विधिवशान्नैवास्ति कालक्षयः ॥८।
$\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ unl. all words from कर्थमियं to साधर्थरं $(12), \mathrm{D}_{5} 7 \mathrm{~m}$. .



 D6 भाबती (hypermectic) ( for भवर्वति ).

 मुक्न.: $D_{1.3}$ च्चेत्रममलं.



St. 8-a) $\mathrm{D}_{+}$त्यकाः न्रिया, 一b) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ शिक्तिताः ( for कुष्वते ).
 $M_{1} P_{t s}$ नैकालि कालब्क्म:-
 मिद्दानीम्

आत्मानमेव विकीय सत्यं रक्षामि शाश्वतम्।
तस्मिक्ररक्षिते नूनं लोकद्वयमरक्षितम् ॥शः
(सावण्रम्भम्)। तद्यावदेव वस्सं रोहिताश्वमनुपालयन्ती दीर्घाज्च. 5 विश्रान्ता बेवी नागच्छति ताबदेवादं सत्वरमुपगम्यात्मनः समीहितं मंगद्दयाम। (ऊर्ध्रमवल्रोक्य)। कधं मश्यमह्नः समारूढो भास्वान्। नथा हि

तपनि तपनस्तोक्ष्णं चण्डः सुरुन्निच कौरिको वद्धति परितस्तापं पन्धा यधा मम मानसम्।

L: Miom. सहर्ष". $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t} .2}$ भबतु भबतु (for हन्न हन्त्त ). S $\mathrm{S}_{1.3} \mathrm{Pts}$ do not repeat द्न. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{om}$, तद巨ं.
l. $2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ om. द्दानीं.

St. 9—c) $D_{3}$ नृषां ( for नूनं )-d) $D_{7}$ शरिक्तितं ( for अरानितं ).
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{om}$. सावृम्म'. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. एव. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ बत्मरोहिताशवं.
$\mathrm{L}_{5-6} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ देवो दीर्घाध्वमुनुभान्ता ( for दीर्घार्वाधरान्ता देवी ).
L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$-परिश्रान्ता; $\mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{L}}$-परिभ्रमा (for -विर्रान्ता). $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ resumes from ताबदेवाहं (see v. I. to line. 8. p. 51). $\mathrm{Mi} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. श्राहं. $D_{3}$ उपषमम्म; $D_{4}$ उपागम्य (for उपाम्य ). Ms om. संवर्वपुगम्य. DI ॠात्मसमीइितें ( for श्रात्मनः समीदितं ).


$L 8 \mathrm{D}_{4.5} \mathrm{om}$. तथा हि.
St. 10—a) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ तीन्या: ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ तीव: ( for तोद्षणं ). Ptz transp. तोक्ष्ण
 $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ न बौद्वति ( for निषीदति) .



हतोडसि मन्द्रभाग्यः। ( ख्यात्मानं पातयित्वा सहसोत्थाय सनिनेंदम् )।

## דुरात्मन् हरिशन्द्रहतक

प्रतिश्रुतां संभति दक्ष्षिणां भा-
गपूरयिय्वा द्विजसरत्रमाय ।
म्रह्लस्वव्धक्षलितक्ष सस्या-
वूमिष्यसि खं राठ कान्यदेशान् । १\}॥
त्याषदूणिग्वीधीमवतीर्य पकृतमनुतिप्हामि। आगतभायेण तेन मुनिना भवितन्यम्। ( हति सत्वरं परिकम्यैकान्ते स्थितः )।
( ततः प्रविशाति कोपं नटययन्कौरिफः )
10 कौशिकः। पणाशाद्वि्यानां करतलगतानामुपचितो
निर्दो डुर्दुद्दोर्विनगयमस्णैस्तस्य चरितेः।
रिाबी वेगादन्तर्ज्षलितघनझुष्केन्धनगतो
बदिर्धारासिक्त विपिन्ममिब मन्युर्द्धति माम् ॥शः॥
( सामर्षम् )। टुरात्मम् हरिश्र्न्द्रहतक
L: D6 हाोडसीति मन्दभाग्योइछं. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ मन्द्धभाग्यं ( for मन्दभाग्यः). D6 onn. रहि. $D_{3}$ ins. च after उत्थाय.

St. 1 1-a) $D_{5}$ drops संध्रति.—c) -दुग्धं चललतं च. -d) $S_{3}$ कमिष्यसि (for
 (for प्रदेशाए ). $\mathrm{MI}_{1}$ त्वं शठान्त हि लोक्रान (unmetrical).
$L_{7}$ 7. $D_{1}$ तथा भबड (for तथाक्त्). $D_{1}$ घघलोक्य; $D_{3}$ गत्वा (for शबतीर्य ). $D_{1}$ वाक्वृतमनुसरामि ; $S_{2}$ घซृतं कार्यमजुतिषामि. $N_{2}$ om. तेन. $M_{1}$ transp. तेन and गुनिना.

L $8 \mathrm{~N}_{1,2}$ शोधं ( for स्त्वरं ).
L $9 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ om. कोषे नाट्यन्. Pt2 om.; M1 DI. 3.4 कोषं (for कोपं).
L $10 \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. ; Mr विश्ष्रमिनः ( for कीशिक: ). $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. सकोधं after कीशिक:.

St. 12 Some words and letters of this verse are lost in $\mathrm{S}_{2} .-$ d) $D_{4}$ विश्यानां ( for कियाना).-b) Mr विबहै: (for निहद्ध:).—c) $D_{4}$ रिसा.
 हििर्षारासिक्ं ; $S_{3}$ ₹रिर्षारासिक्जे.

L 14 Ni.2 om. सामर्ष." $D_{5} \mathrm{om}$, all words from सामष्ष to पविस्नयं (12 P 57 ).

पद्यामि यावथलित न ( शर्ध) (हल्यादि पठति)। (हष्टू संविसयम् )। अये कथमसौ प्रात्ते पव तुरात्मा अधबा मढ़ासमैंब। मझतूगदरींमि। (तथा कृत्वा सकोधम् )। अः कvमधापि न संभृतानि मे द्वक्षिणापुवर्णानि।
गजा। (ससंभ्रमम्)। कथं भगवान्कौशिकः। भगजन् असिवार्ये। कौरिकः। धिगनार्य किमधाव्यल्वीकवाध्याधुर्यै रस्सान्वश्चयित्तुमिच्छसि। गजा। (कर्णौ पिधाय)। भगवन् मर्षय मर्षय। कौरिकः। (कोधं नाटयित्वा )। तुरात्मन् अलीक्कानसमावनाभ्रस्यापितमिष्यापौ₹वमगण्ध तिष्न तिष्ठ
$L_{1} D_{2}$ चलितं त सलादि पठनिः $D_{4}$ चलितं जेलादि वूर्वॉंकमेशे पठनि. $N_{1,2} M_{1} D_{1.5 .7}$ read the entire verse and therefore omit the stage drection ( $v .1$. in $N_{1,2} M_{1} D_{1}$ नितिमेतेका: ). $D_{1}$ ins. राजानं ; $D_{7}^{7}$ ins.

$L_{2} N_{1}, 2$ om. मिविसयं घवे. Ms घये प्राप्त एवासौ हfिध:रहनक: ; $D_{1}$


 "ords from एव to मे (14).

 ग्राशि ( for करमघबापि न संध्तारानि).
$L_{3-4} D_{7}$ transp. संपृतान and मे.




$L_{7} D_{2}$ does not repeat дर्षय.




पूर्डवधधाषपि दकासि न दक्षिणां मे
वाग्भिस्तु शुष्कमध्रुराभिरपस्थितोडसि।
वक्ताप्रद्वनकुपितेत मया विमुक्तः
शापानलस्त्वर्वय पतत्वयमध्य घोरः ॥ध३॥

राजा। (ससंभ्रमं पाद्वयोर्निएल्य )। भगवन् प्रसीद मर्षय मर्षय।
अस्तं रवावसंपाप्ते यदि नामोषि दक्षिणाम्।
श्रापाद्हों वा वधाहों वा खाधीनोऽयं जनस्तव। ? ? ॥
तब्रसीद। बणिग्बीथीमवतरावः।
10 कौरिकः। (शापजलमुपसंह्य )। भबतु तबैव गत्वा पयच्छ।
तद्याबवद्धमपि द्वितीयं सवनं निर्घर्स्यागच्छामि। (इति निष्कान्तः )।

राजा। (सनिर्वेद्वमाזमगतम्)।


$L_{5} \mathrm{MI}$ om. इति. $\mathrm{DI}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ins. तृ after इति. NI शापर्लिं.
L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ पादी़ी गुदीवा (for पादयोगिष्य ). Dı om.; Nı M: $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ $S_{1} \mathrm{Pts}_{1}$ repeat प्रोद. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ om. मर्षंय मषंगय. Digives मषंय thrice. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ does not repeat मर्ष्य.

St. 14-d) N1. 2 D 1.7 तक्ष ( for तब).
$L_{9} S_{2}$ om. तब. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ शबतराभ: ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ श्नुसरावः.
$L_{10} N_{1}$ शापजलिं. $D_{1}$ ॠपाकुल ; $D_{3}$ संक्ल ; $D_{5}$ (before corr.) उस्त्वन्प ( for उपशं हल ). $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ on. शापजलमुपसंहल.

 (for fिर्वर्य भ्यागच्चामि).


लोकूूपयतिभयैकनिदानमेत-
स्विभघणिनामृणमछे परिणामघोरम्।
एकः स पव हि पुमान्परमस्रिलोके
कुद्दस्य येन धनिकस्स मुखं न हृ्टम् ॥१५॥
 द्ञ्वा साबप्भम् )। भो भोः साधवः

केनापि खलु कार्येग गल्यन्तरमपइ्यता।
लक्षेणायं हुवर्णानामात्मा विकीयते मया ॥ई६॥
 द़ाहणं कर्म प्रारध्धमिति। किमनेनातिनिर्बन्धेन, विचिलः खल्वयं जीव- 10

St. 15 -a) $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ लोकद्वये क्रतिभरैक- (hypermetric) ; D 6 लोकर्मूं प्रति भग्येका.-6) $D_{3}$ परिपांक- (for परिखाम-).—c) Ns. $2 D_{4}$ परमसित लोक; $\mathrm{I}_{3}$ चरमसि लोके ; $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ परमेडरित लोके.
 Mi om. कथमियं वरिग्वीधी. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ om. the stage direction शिरुमि శृगं etc.
L. $6 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ घृत्वा (for दष्वा ). $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. हन्त हन्त before भो. $\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ do not repeat भो:. D5 om. साधवः.

St. 16 -a) $M_{1}$ चा+न ( for कमयंग्या).



 ( for किमर्थमिदं ख्वया).

L 9-10 $\mathrm{J}_{2.4} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ transp. त्वया and दरणां करम.
L so $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ places इदं after कमे. Mi समारत्धं (for g्रारत्धमिfति).
 $\mathrm{MI} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ निर्षन्धेन ( for शविनिर्षन्बेन ).

होक:। (पुनरन्यतो गत्वा क्कतापीस्यादि पठित्वा आकाझो)। नि पथ। का राक्तिः किं च ते कर्म कीहरां च आनमिति। (सिख्वा )।

यद्यदाद्शिशति सामी तत्ररोम्यविचारितम्।
5 शासनास्खलनं भर्तुर्शृ त्यस्य परमो गुणः ॥ः॥
(आकणर्य)। कि ज्रूथ। भूरितरं मूल्यमुक्तवानसि तत्पुनस्ताबचमिधीयतामिति। (सलेद्वम्)। मो भोः साधबः क्षक्निया चयम्, न पुनः पुनरभिधानुं जानीमः। तदम्यताम्। (पुनरन्यतो गत्वा केलापीत्यादि पठति )।

$L_{2} D_{2.4} \mathrm{~S}_{1.2}$ Ptr. 2 ins. ते before शक्कि.. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. समारवषं after कमें. $D_{3}$ om. second ₹. $M_{1} D_{1.7} S_{2} P_{t 2}$ us. ते after कोधशं च. Ni. 2 $\mathrm{Ma}_{1} \mathrm{Di}_{\mathrm{l}} 7 \mathrm{Pt2}$ बिक्तानं ( for जानें).
$L_{3} N_{1} D_{3}$ on. ; $M_{1}$ भ्रुबा; $D_{7}$ राजा (for सिएवा).
St. 17-b) $^{2} D_{1}$ श्रीिारित:- -c) $D_{3}$ शासनालंघं ; $S_{2}$ शामनान्स्बलनं.—d)

 भूरितरमूल्यं ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ "हुतरं मूल्यं ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ भूरितर' मील्यं.
$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{Mr}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. इति. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ ins. राजा before सबेदे. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{~mm}$;
 (for चृबिया बयं ).
$\mathrm{L}_{7}-8 \mathrm{D}_{3}$ पुनररभिधानेन जानामि तद गम्यते (for त्वत्विया बयं न तुनः etc . up to अद्रम्पतां ).
L. 8 D1 पुनरसिषाबुं न अानीमः ( for न पुनः पुनरमिधानुं जानोमः ). S2.3 gुaः ( for gुनः gृa: ). Ns. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ जानामि (for जानीमः ). $S_{3}$ fuरम्यता (for गम्यता ). $D_{1}$ om. gुतः: $N_{1,2}$ om, गत्वा.

Lg The passage from क्रनापील्यादि up to राजा सहेलक्ष्यं (p. 6/, $\mathrm{I}_{5}$ ) is parcly lost in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$.

## ( नेपथये)

 भाईणिं कहुभ संपदे का दे सविभाभपरम्मुछता। ता पसीद में जेब प्मस्सि कुजें भारोवेहि। अवच्छिमो दे दारिण अअं पणओ। तजा। (सबैलक्ष्यम्)। कथमागतैब देवी। तस्भ संप्रममिलबितम्। है ( ततः प्रविशाति बालकेनान्रुगम्यमाना क्षैठयं नाटयन्ती हैघ्या) रैब्या। (सकछणं त्बेब पठित्वा मन्द्व परिकम्य)। किणध मं अज्ञा इदो अद्बमुल्लेण समधनासिं।
 (tor बु both times ). $D_{3}$ does not repeat मा खा. $D_{3}$ तुम्दरो ; $M_{1} D_{7}$


$L_{2-3} D_{4}$ om. मं मन्दभाइएगि. $N_{1.2} D_{I}$ मन्दमाइएां.
$L_{3} M_{1}$ संभाश्रा.-; $D_{1}$ दुस्संबिदाश्भ-; $D_{7}$ संबिहाएाभ-; $P_{t 2}$ संविदाश(tor संलिभाश्र-). $\mathrm{D}_{6}$-परमुहता. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ प्पसीद. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ om. ; $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{Ms}_{1}$ उुजव ; $V_{1}$ तु ; $D_{2-7} S_{1.3} P_{t 1.2}$ जेष्व ( for जें ).
$\mathrm{L}_{3-4} \mathrm{MI}$ transp. मं उजेव and इमीसितं करजें.


 $\mathrm{O}_{4}$ transp. दे and दाणिं. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ orin. दे, and reads दाराय for दाfmi. $\mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{t}}$ om.;




L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1.7}$ onl. कूंब्यं नाटयन्ती. $\mathrm{D}_{3} 5 \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Ptz}_{\mathrm{t}}$ केलब्बं ; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ अ्लन्यं. $D_{4}$ नाटयती.





## बालकः अं अज्ञा मं पि किणध।

 धारासिक्तवृणाप्रबिन्दुतरलाः कामं निरस्ताः श्रियस्यक्यास्ते सुद्धदोर्रुद्रानवदना नाश्वासितास्ताः घजाः। द्रारणां तनयस्स विक्रयमहो हृष्टापि यच्चेतसा फूरेण सकुटितं न मेऽन्न द्वायं बर्ज्र"ण मन्ये कृतम् ॥श८॥ रैब्या। (आकाझो कर्णं द्वश्वा)। अज्ञा कि भणाध। कोदिसो है समओ त्ति। पन्पुरिसपज्जुखासणं परुिघ्यद्योअणं परिदरिः सवककम्मकारिणी त्ति ईंदिसो मे समओ ( पुनः कर्णं दत्त्वा)। किं भणाध । को तुम घमिणा समएण किणिस्सदि ति। ना
 $S_{2.3}$ onn. fq. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ repeat किषभ.
 $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{~S}_{2.3}$ खगतं ( for आघ्मगतं ). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. कष्टं भो: कृष्".

St. 18—a) $D_{3}$ धारासक्र--c) $D 6$ बिकममहो. $D_{1}$ कृच्चेतना.—d) $M_{s}$ सफरितं. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ नदिदं ( for हैदयं ).
$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ (bef re corr.) देवी. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ oml. श्राकाशे. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ कृत्वा (for दर्वा ). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ oni. श्रा. $\mathrm{D}_{1.5} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ भगाध ; $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ भराथ; $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ भरादद. $S_{2}$ om. (hapl.) all words from कीदिसो up to भागाष ( $1: 0$ ) $P_{t 2}$ on. दे.




$\mathrm{L}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ सम्बकम्माणुसारियो; $\mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ सव्वकारिशि ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ सष्वकम्मझारियी.
 $D_{1}$ कलत्वा ( for सस्वा).
 डुमं ). $\mathrm{D}_{4.5} \mathrm{Pcz}$ समयेतन. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ किपास्सदि. $\mathrm{Dis}_{1}$ om, ता.

गान्ध पसीद्ध किं तुम्बाणं इमिणा पओअणं। विभवरो दीणन जणाणुकमपी वा अण्णो वा को वि साधू मं किणिस्सदि।
( ततः पविशात्युपाध्यायो वडुध्र )
उपाध्यागः। बत्स कौण्डिन्य सत्यमेवापणे दासी विकीयते ।
वरुः। किं अलिभं उवज्साओ विणणवोअदि।
उपा। तेन हि तनैच मच्छाकः।
बटु। जं उबन्माधो भाणवेदि ।
उपा। (परिकम्य हष्टूर सात्रर्यम् )। अहो रामणीयके वणिग्बीध्याः।
 पमांद्रा. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ ins. सैन्या before पसीदष. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ पसीवद ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ पसीद ; $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ पसीदह. $M_{1}$ नुम्मानं. $D_{1}$ पश्रोश्रएय. $D_{3}$ ins. fि after पश्रोश्रयां. $M_{8}$ ins. श्रूगयां before


$L_{2} D_{1} S_{3}$ oun. first aा. $M_{1}$ om. वा श्माएयो. $D_{1}$ om.; $N_{1,2}$ $S_{3}$ माधु: $D_{3}$ साहु ( for साध् ). $\mathrm{Ptz}_{2}$ कीरिास्सदि.

L4 Ni. 2 सलमेषे पयेन दासते.

 fिग़तिश्रदि ; D6 विगाबी श्रदि.

L6 $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. एf after गचन्छाव:-


 $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ उवमाश्यो) एदु एदु (D6 does not repeat ; $\mathrm{Pt2}$ om.) ; $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~ms}$. एदे एदु उवज्ञाश्रो. The passage is lost in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$.
 रमगारयक; $D_{5}$ रामयीयें. After रामयोयकं, $S_{3}$ ins. नथा 后; $D_{7}$ ins. but strikes off the passage beginning with fari fanind (Act ii, before 21)
 $S_{1,3} \mathrm{PtI}$ ीीज्या: ( for बरिग्रोश्या: ).

हेम्ना मेखवसुंधरेब जलध्रें्रेत्रेव रन्निरियं नागैर्बालपयोदविभ्रमधर्रिन्ध्यस्थलीबोन्मद्धः।
इल्थं प्रािथतर्मर्थनां विद्धती विव्यांशुकोत्पह्धवा

## सेयं कबपलतेव कस्य विपणिलोलं न धते मनः ॥९९॥

6 बटुः। उवञ्भाभ जहिं पसो गरुओ जणसंमछो दीसदि तहिं तीए होद्वंत्वं ति तक्केमि। (उपसूत्य)। अज्ञा अन्तरं अन्तरं।
उपा। अहो अतिबलवाखनसंमर्वः।
शैम्या। (सबैक्रुव्यम्) । किणध मं अज्ञा किणध। (हल्यादि पुनः प्रति)। उणा। (हष्टा सTश्धर्यम )। कथमियं सा। मृति कीछइस्ते समयः।
 $\mathrm{D}_{2} .6 \mathrm{St} \mathrm{PtI}^{\text {बिषोे ( }}$ for न घते ). Parts of the verse are lost in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$.
$L_{5} \mathrm{D}_{2} .6 \mathrm{SI} \mathrm{PtI}$ उश्रग्माय; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ श्राज्त ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ उजाब; $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ श्राज; $P_{t 2}$ उवम्माश्र. $D_{1}$ om. एसो. $D_{3}$ om. ; $D_{4} S_{2}$ गुठश्रो (for गकशां). After गб叉ो, $S_{2}$ is fragmentary up to करमियं सा ( $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{g}}$ ). M1 संमऐ ( for
 $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ ताए ( for नोए ).
$\mathrm{L}_{5}-6 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ जास्स संमोो दीसदि ता तथक्केम तहिं ताए होर्बं.
L $6 M_{1}$ होदष्ब ति ; $D_{3}$ होष्वर्बमिति ( for होदठवं कि). $N_{t .2}$ repeat भाल्बा. $S_{3}$ does not repeat श्रन्तरं.
$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{Driom}_{1}$ घहो. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3.4}$ घहो बलबान् $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{Pta}_{1}$ संमर्ष: ( for जनसंमईं: ).
L. 8 Ni. 2 om . सवैक्रव्यं. DI किणष्ष किएाध भाला मं ₹ति पुनः पर्ठति: $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ कीएाष मं भाज स्यादि पठति. $\mathrm{S}_{3} \mathrm{om} . ; \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{ins}$. मं after second किजाष.
 and पठति. After Saibyä's speech, $\mathrm{D}_{5-7}$ Sı Ptr. 2 ins. घालः। म मि (Pt2 मं पीति) ; $S_{3}$ ins. था 1 मं किएयाष.
 उस्सल as stage direction before भयति. $D_{3}$ भर्षती कीर्शः समय ईडि.

## 

 सब्गृहे विभम्यताम्। पली ममानिपरिच्वयापराषीनतथा ॥ सम्यग्गूर्वाेक्षाक्षमा। तव्यूलतां धुर्णण्ण्।
शैष्या। (सहार्षम्)। अणुगद्धिद्हि। से अर्जो भाणषेदि।
उपा। ( चिरमबलोक्य सबिस्सयमात्मगतम् )।
शिरो यद्वग्रुण्ठित सहजरूढलज्ञानन
गते च परिमन्यरं चरणकोटिलष्ये हैरी।
बबः परिमितं च यन्मधुरमन्वमन्दाक्षरं
निजं तवियमक्रना घक्षति नूनमुच्चै: फुलम् ॥२०॥ 10
L: $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1}$-ुरुस- ( for -gरिस-). Di $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ परुुसेल्यादि पठति;



$L_{2} \quad S_{2.3}$ ins. चिरमबलोक्य before सहांें. MI $D_{5}$ om.; $N_{1,1}$
 (for सर्यंयं ते क्षमयः ). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D} 3$ भनेमेष ( fot घयुनैष).
$L_{3} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{2.3}$ विभाम्यता. $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ श्रिपिरिब्याष्षोरनया; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$
 om. $\boldsymbol{\text { ®. }}$


$L_{5} N_{1.2}$ सपरितोष (for सर्वं), $N_{3}$ om. ; $N_{1} M_{1} D_{1.3 .5 .6}$





(सखिन्तम्) । न गुक्रमस्यकृतिविशोषस्पेषमवस्थान्तरम्। व्करयमिमों क्तामनुपाश्ता। मवत्वेष्णं ताबत्। ( पकाशाम् )। अयि जीवति ते मरां। झौच्या। ( शिरिसि संख्षां क्वाति)।
राजा। (निस्श्स्यात्मगतम्)। कर्य जीवति। जीवतः फिल कलन्न स्येव्वमसान्तरम्।
उपा। भपि संनिद्वितः स्यात्।
शौध्या। (सान्नं राजातमवलोकयति)।
उपा। ( छ्टा सबिस्सयम् )। अये फथमयमस्या भर्ता। (चिरं निर्षेण्ण सब्येवम् )।

चृष्र्कन्ध मर्षािरदकरणीनायतभुजं
वपुर्ब्यूठोरसकं नुु भुव्वरक्षाक्षममिषम्।
छृर्ण मौली धूरामणिसमुचिते कि स्विद्रमदो
नरं धामारम्भः कमिब न विधाता घहरति ॥र?॥

 $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ cransp. घं and श्रवस्पान्तरं. $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ins. इयं after कषं.

 भर्बा ( for जीवति ते मराई ).
$L_{3} S_{3}$ रिरसा. $D_{5}$ गाटयति ( for दवाति ).
$\mathrm{L}_{4}$ Some Mss fिषस. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ सगवृं ( for घात्मगतं ). $\mathrm{Mi}_{1}$ om. fिल.
$\mathrm{L} 6 \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{om}$. घापे. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ संनिएती:
$L_{7} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{L}, 3}$ घबलोफते ; D 6 श्रषोक्ष.

L $9 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ om. सालें.
 $D_{1.2 .6} S_{3} P_{t 1}$ बामारम्भ. $N_{1,2}$ कानिए ; $D_{2} S_{3}$ fिfिष. This päda ${ }^{2}$ appears worm-eaten on upper margin in $D_{7}$.
 कर्तुमर्हसि। तक्कध्यतां किमर्थमेक्ष स्वयारण्थमिति।
राजा। (विचिन्त्य सबैकृष्यमाल्मगतम्)। न युक्तमस साधोषेचलमम्यथा कर्तुम् । ( प्रकाइम् ) । मोः साधो न विस्तरस्पेदालीं बेराकालौ। तबः समासतः कथयामि क्षूयताम्। धहसखीडितेनेदे मया ० प्रारण्धम्। अतः परं न मामतिनिर्बन्धयित्रुम्धसि।

## उपा। तेल हि प्रतिगृद्यां नो धनम्।

L. Ni. 2 उपम्प्य राजानं हसते तहीत्वा (for उपहल साब्र'). MI om. माबं. The passage from उपष्ल up to म ( in महाममन ) lost in $D_{7} . D_{3}$

 $D_{1}$ मों बबल ( for मi).
 (for कितर्षंमें ). $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2$ ल्वया दाक्यं कमं प्रारवषं; $\mathrm{Mi}_{1} \mathrm{DI}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ल्वया प्रारक्षनिति; $D_{3}$ व्यवसितनिदमिति (for र्वयारव्र्थमिति). A part of this line is lost in $\mathrm{D}_{7}$.
$L_{3} N_{1,2} \mathrm{om}$. सवक्षमल्यं. $D_{4}$ त्वगतं ( for भात्मगतं ).
 (for इवानो'). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1,7} 7$ देशकालः-

$L_{5-6} D_{3}$ भारतणं ( for मया श्रारषषं).





 ins. दá before तो. $D_{5} \mathrm{om}$. नो.

 पडुर्मर्बसं।

 सकणजता।
उपा। (साम्नम्)। भबति
 परस्परान्रोंजेन यघुक्तं तद्विधीयताम् ॥२₹॥ ( शति धनर्मर्पयति )।
$\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. भो: साधो. $\mathrm{D}_{2.3} .6 \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{Pr}$ repeat भो:. $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$

$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ मन्यते भवान् तदा (for मन्यसे तरा). $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ins. एव before मूल्यसंबन्धेन.

L 3 Mi मामजुगन्तु ( for दानुं ).
$L_{4} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ उपदूल (for उपगस्य). Mi ins. श्रा before यारिहाद.
 यावहाव ; $S_{2}$ एासहदि.

L $4.5 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ transp. मं and पउमोवाषं.
$L_{5} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ वबमोगतं ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ पठमोपातं ; D 6 पछमोबवादां ( sic ). Nt. 2 $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ om. भम्बो here and place it after यारिएदि(14). $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ घfिकमिमुं.






Lio $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~mm}$. शfo.
 अजजरो। ता करृल्यमि।
 निर्गान्नुमिच्छति) ।
शैंघ्या। मुहुत्तकं पडिबालेकु अज्जो जाव अज्जडत्तं हुसंपिद्धं करेमि।
उपा। भवति रे कौण्डिन्यस्तिप्ठति। (इति निष्कान्तः)।
गैध्या। (रात्ध: पटे धलं बन्द्धा)। अणुमणणनु में पदस्स विभवरस्स दासिक्तणे अल्यक्तो।
तजा। (सबैक्हष्यम् )। नल्वनुमतमेष्व श्रभबतो विजेः। (सोपालम्ममाल्मगतम्)। नडु सो ह्रतििधे

LI $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{~ms}$. शीघं before एहोत्रा. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ om. गृहोत्वा. DI. 3.4



 Dı ms. मे after दाखिं.

$L 3 D_{4}$ खगतः ; $D_{5}$ खागतं. $N_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ om. इदानों. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ घालीकfगुं. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{L}, 2}$ ins. भबत्तु गच्छ्धामि after शबलोकरितुं.
$L 4 N_{1,2} D_{1}$ गन्तुं, $D_{5}$ निर्गच्छतु (for fिर्गन्नु) ).
$L_{5} \mathrm{MI}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ भुरुषं. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. मं before पfिवालेदु; $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ मं before


L. $6 \mathrm{D}_{2.4 .6 \mathrm{Si}} \mathrm{Per} \mathrm{om}^{2} ; \mathrm{N}_{2}$ भबतु (for भर्षति).
$L_{7}$ ivin. Mr- $D_{1}$ पटान्ते ; $D_{3}$ पटाबले. $N_{2}$ transp. धनं and बद्या.

 $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{om}$. म्बलततो here and place it before एदस्स ( 17 ).
 पत्तर्; $M_{1}$ ins. एब बत after एक. $D_{3}$ घहरतो (for प्रभबतो). Ns. 2 DI. 3 हतबिषे: ( for विषे: ).
L. 9-10 $\quad \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ छोपालम्भमाकार्ते.


## ఫेवीभार्ष नीबा परणूषपरिकारिका क्रता थद्यियम ।

तदिक च्डूारत्लं चरणाभरणत्वमुपनीतम् ॥२₹॥
( सविधोषकषणग् )। कहं मोः कष्म् ।
मम विधिलिएतस्य मन्दुकुष-
र्धंबमधुना घुतदारविक्रये।
निजझुलुलपरिबाबनम्भमूत्तें-
रपि सबितुर्मंखिनीक्ठता मुसभीः ॥२४॥
( भात्माम संस्तम्य पकाइाम् )। प्रिये
आराज्योडयं घ्रालणस्तो सशिष्यः
10
पजी चास्य भीतिद्धायोप्चर्या।
रक्याः श्राणा बालकः पालनीयो
यघ६ैं शास्ति तर्षद्विधेयम् ॥R'था.
 पैप्लव्यं नाटयति )।

St. 23-b) Mi drops ซृषा.


S2. 3 Ptr. 2 -परिपाइनम्भूतें:
L 8 Nx. 2 दोप (?) (for fिवरे ).


-d) Fragmentary in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$.

 $N_{s .2} D_{3}$ समेक्जणं ( $D_{3}$ om. this word) राजानमएब्षोष्मस्ती.


Act III ］
बनु।（ समोघम ）। भाभस्ठ भोदि शाभच्छ। दूरं गदो उबज्साओो।
 चुद्धिं करेमि।
त्तान।（बैकूर्यं भाटयिस्वा）। पिये विरम्पताम्। डुः्बमासते क्राह्यणः।
औौष्या।（ राआनमबलोकयन्ती शन्तः परिकामति ）। बालकः। भावुक，कािं अम्बा गष्ड़ि।
राजा।（ सबेवम् ）। यन्र ते पित्तुः कलबं भूत्वा गम्यते।

 योदी ；$S_{3}$ होदि（for भोदि）． $\mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ om．second अाशच्छ． $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ दरगगदो ； $D_{3}$ शतिरूरं गदो．$M_{1}$ उग्रग्मास ；$D_{4} S_{3}$ उवफ्रमायो ；$D_{5}$ उप्तादो ；$P_{t 2}$ उश्रफ्फाषो．
$L_{2} D_{3}$ om．；$D_{4}$ साबं सानुनयं．$M_{1} D_{3.7}$ ins．घं before


 करोमि ；Mx जाब हुद⿸厂⿱二⿺卜丿口


 （ for faरम्मता ）．
 रालाभमष्बोष्स लेरे सेंरें गणनिति．
$L_{7} N_{1.2} D_{1}$ थालुत ；$D_{5}$ था




 पटाणतं धारथति)।
वटुः। (सकोपम्)। अवेहि गक्भवास। ( रीि किन्दूरा पातर्यति)।
बालकः। (साधररमक्र पितरौौ पष्यति )।
8 उभौ। ( सास्ममलोफयतः)।
 कतुंम्। (बालकमुत्याय शिएस्यातायाहिक्र च सबैकन्ग्यम्)।

कि वस्स मन्युभरबिन्कुरिताधरोष्ठ:
पापस्य पछ्यसि भुखं मम निर्धृ णस्य। येर्वा प्रिया न शिशाबः पिशितारानानां
तेखामपि मियतमा वनिता तिरश्षाम् ।२३॥
L. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ सकोपं। घरे कमिलमष्रहा; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ श्रोले हे व ++ ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ घले

 बेड़ुं ( for बोटुं).

L2 $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ वटान्तरं.
 $D_{7}$ गच्देधि ; $S_{3}$ घ्रये (for श्रवेदि ). $M_{1} D_{1.3}$ गचस्ह दास ; $D_{2}$ गयदास ;

 पात्ं before fuतरौ.
$L_{5} \mathrm{DI}_{1} \mathrm{Pta}_{\text {घालोष्ययतः ( for श्यवसोक्षतः ). }}$




 सिवात्रा (for पनिष्षा).
 वैघघण्य वाट्यति ) ।
सैम्या। अज्ञउत्ष कि मं मन्द्धभारणि अणुसोभन्तो महेसिणो कज सिढिलेसि। (दति बालक गृद्धीत्वा परिक्रामति)।
बालकः। परिकाहि परिसाडि। ( दति निष्काण्तः )।
ताजा। (चिरमालोक्य )। हा हतोरसि। (हल्यासमनं पातयति)।
( तलः श्रविराति कौरिकः )

L. $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ बराजाहं. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ उपगच्छनि ( for घमु०).

L 2 NI. 2 सवैस्तमष्य (?).
 $M_{1} D_{3}$ oni. ; $D_{1}$ मन्द्दभाइलों (for मन्दभादलिएं). $D_{3}$ ins. एस्बं before अ्यगुमोश्रन्तो. $D_{1}$ क्राूसंचचयन्तो. $N_{2}$ मधिसियो.

L 4 D6 सिब्निलेसि. N2 om. दिि. S2.3 परिकम्य fिष्कामति (for आद्रिकाम्मति).


 For the stage direction, $N_{1.2}$ शैब्या सलाल्लषट्रका निष्कान्ता; $M_{1}$ ईति faterma:. D6 St. 3 Pta otrt. this whole line, but Per gives it as päthăıtara.

L6 $6 \mathrm{D}_{2-5} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{Pt2}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ अषलोक्र ( for घाबोक्य ). Nt. $2 \mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. मन्द्भाग्यः after हतोsf्मि. $D_{1}$ चिरमाह्मान० ( for ॠार्मानं ). $P_{t 2}$ transp. बास्मानं and पraयवि. D6 SI Per om. this whole line, but Pta gives it as pāṭhāntara.

L 7 S2 विश्वामिस्ष: (for कीरिष्व: ).
L $8 \quad \mathrm{D}_{3.7} \mathrm{om}$. कीरिक:. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{MI}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. सक्तेषं; $\mathrm{D}_{1.7} \mathrm{ins}$. सक्षेपं before का:, which $M_{1} \mathrm{om}$. $\mathrm{D}_{2} S_{1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tr}, 2} \mathrm{ins}$. सभुना after कưं.


# राजा। ( श्रुल्वा ससंभ्रममुत्थाय )। भगवन्, गुसरां ताबदर्धम्। कौशिकः। आः कृतमधेन। यदि प्रतिश्रुतमवश्यं देयं मन्यो मवांस्तशि: होषमेख प्रयच्छ। 

## ( नेपथ्ये)

धिस्तपो धिग्रतमिवं घिग्रानं चिग्बहुधुतुम्। नीतबानसि थद्द्व हन्हुरिक्न्द्रमियां क्राम् ।२२॥
कौरिकः। (श्रुस्वा सकोधम्)। आः के पुनरमी धिक्राबेतन मां गर्हयन्ति। (ऊर्ण्वमवल्डोक्य)। अये कथममी विमानन्चरिणो विशवेदेवाः। ( कोधं नाटयित्वा कमण्डलुबारिणोपस्पृल शापजलं गुहीत्वा)। धिगनात्मक्षा अनेन क्षुप्रक्षनियपक्षपतेन।

पश्वानार्माप बो जन्म क्षब्रयोनौ भविष्यति।
तथापि घ्राह्टणो दौणिः कुमारान्वो हनिष्यति ॥२द॥
 $D_{1.3}$ om. भाबवन्, Ni. 2 Mit $\mathrm{D}_{1.3}$ ins. दं after ताबत्.
$L_{2} N_{1,2} M_{1} D_{3}$ om. भाः. $D_{1}$ मन्यसे. $D_{1}$ om. भवान.
L 2-3 Ni $D_{1.3 .7}$ तदरोषमेव ; $M_{1}$ तदाशरीषमेष.
$L_{3} D_{3}$ प्रयच्बतु. $N_{1}$ ins. ताबत् after प्रयच्छ ; $N_{2}$ corrupt.
L 4 Ni. 2 transp. all words from नेपथ्ये up to स्ताने सलू किरियति परिषन्तः (p. 75, 16-7) and place them after का गतिरिदानी' on p. 80, 16.

St. 27 This verse is lost in $S_{2}$-b) Nt. 2 तब श्रुतं; $D_{2}$ बहुुर्त.
$L_{7} N_{1.2} D_{1}$ om. श्रुस्वा. $D_{3} S_{2}$ om. श्रा:. $D_{2}$ om. के. $M_{1}$ $D_{3}$ transp. बिक्याब्देन and मों.
 कर्षमरलोष्य ). Nx. 2 om. घये and घमी.
L. $9 D_{3} S_{3}$ नाट्यति ( for नाटसिस्षा ). $D_{3}$ समुपस्तृर्य (for उपस्शृर्य). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ सकोषं गापजलवादाय for all words from कोषं नार्यित्वा to गृहीत्वा ( 1 ro ).
 चतियपषातिन:-

St. 28 Parts of this verse lost in $\left.S_{2},-c\right) N_{1.2} M_{1} D_{1,6.7}$ वरापापि ( for ब्वापि) .
( पुनरुज्वम्बख्योक्य सहार्षम् )। असे कथममी
महृЕ्पिपातमयकम्पितलोलघण्टा-
टंकारपूरितवियत्स्खलतो विमानात्।
वेल्लय्मनांखुकविवप्टकिरीटकोटि
प्रश्रद्धुण्डलमवाब्तु समापतन्ति ॥₹थ॥
राजा। (ऊण्चमवलोक्य समयम्)। अहो प्रभावस्तपसां। साने खलु क्विस्यति हरिक्षन्द्रः। भगषन्, अलमन्यथा शक्कितेन। गुसतार्मर्जितमिंदें भार्यातनयविक्रयात्। ोोषस्यार्ये करिप्यामि चाण्डालेप्यात्मविक्रयम् ॥३०॥
औौराशः। (सनोधम्)। छृतमर्घेन। नन्वझोषमेव दीयताम् । 10 राजा। भोः साधचः ( केनापील्यावि (अ1ध६) पराति )।

L: $N_{1.2} D_{3}$ om. पुन:. $\quad N_{1.2} D_{1.3} \mathrm{om} . ; D_{7}$ प्रिये (1) (for श्रमे ).

St. 29-b) $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ सखलितो.-c) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ वेछदूधू जाशुकविदृ-; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ बला ++ ध्वजाशुक० ; $D_{1}$ बल्गद्या अंश्रुक्रवषष्ट-: $D_{7} P_{t 2}$ बलादू़्राधुक्रविष्ट-. After the verse, N1. 2 continuc Kausika's speech by inserting सर्षथा

 NI. 2 ins. तस्सर्वया before स्थाने.
$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mi}_{3}$ किर्यते. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. द्वात after हरिर्वन्दः. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ins. राजा। सविनयं ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ins. राजा before मगबन्. $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} .5 \mathrm{Pt} 2$ संभावितेन ( for शृ्टितेन).

St. $30-$ b) $D_{4.5}$-विकियात्.-d) $D_{5} .6 S_{3}$ चराबाले. $D_{4.5}$-विकियिं. Much of this verse is lost in $\mathbf{S}_{2}$. Quoted anonymously in Säbityt darpana ( ad vi 95a).
 om. all words from कीरिक्ष: up to पठति (lin).

L 11 D 2.4 . 5 repeat भो:.

धर्मः। ( सगतम् )।
मया प्रियन्ते भुवनाष्यमूनि
सत्यं च मां तस्सहित विभर्ति।
परीक्षितुं सस्यमतोऽस्य राकः
करो मया जातिपरिपहोडयम् ॥ह?॥
(चिंट ध्यात्वा साक्षर्यम्) ) प्रणिधानतोऽव्यहं पर्यस्तस्य राजर्बेंकरिक्न्द्यस्य तुल्य न पश्यामि। भवतु तस्सकाइामेब गच्छामि। (परिक्रम्य प्रकाइाम्)। अले शालमेभका गहिदे तए 10 अस्तपेट्हालके।
$L_{1} D_{3}$ तदः प्रविशति सानुचरधापबालवेषधरो धर्मारा्: $S_{3}$ चरहालवेचः. $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{om}$. सानुबरे.

L2 $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. धर्म:.
St. 31 The passage from St. 3 ic to परिकामित ( $\mathrm{p} \cdot 77,12$ ) are fragmentary in $\mathbf{S}_{2}$. This verse is repeated later in IV. 28 ( with a variant ).
$L_{7} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ शार्बर्यं ( for साधर्धं). $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ श्रस्य ( for श्रहं पर्यंत्तस ).
 प्रगिधानतोरीि नास राशे हरिध्रन्दय कुल्यं परयामि.

L 8 MI सौरां (for तुल्यं).
L. 9 N गच्बामः ( for गच्छामि). D1 ins. हति before परिकम्प. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ om . परिकम्य. The Prakrit appears to be Mägadhī. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ घले हो ; $\mathrm{Ptz}_{2}$
 सालयमेश्य. $D_{2}$ तय ; $D_{4} S_{3} P_{t 2}$ तुए ; $D_{7}$ पस (for वए).

 om. all words from भस्वप्टालके up to ஏठति ज ( $\mathrm{P} .77,13$ ). For rthaoMg sta, see Pischel $\$ 290$.

धर्म।
राजा। (केनापील्याखि पठति। सुक्षाँचनेस्पादि पठति च ( इ१६)। सर्षतोऽबल्रोक्य सलेदप् )। कर्य मया मंब्वभाग्येन न कम्षिवर्थी। हा इतोडसि। ( दति मूर्छितः पतति)।
$L_{1} N_{3} D_{1.3 .5 .6} S_{3}$ महबलश ; $D_{4.7}$ महबलाम $M_{1}$ fि
 $D_{4} S_{3} P_{t 2}$ खुवरणा- ; $D_{5}$ बुणान-. $D_{3}$-बोले ; $D_{5}$-ाले (for -ाले ). $N_{1}$ किं लाभउलाए परणागाले ; $D_{1}$ किं राउले घुगयागाले ; $D_{7}$ कि लाउत्रेपु खुवयागाष्का
 (for चानु). $\mathrm{N}_{1} S_{3} P_{t 2}$ मूले ; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ घुराए; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ घुले ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ कला
 पाद्वे्ने.
$L_{2} P_{t 2}$ श्रहे (for घले ). $D_{1}$ तुश्श ; $D_{2.3}$ वुए; $M_{1}$ ता (for तथ ).
 $P_{t}$ gुच्छिदेश. $D_{7} P_{t 2}$ om. पथोभयां. $M_{1} D_{1}$ onl. all words from gबिब्देया to परिकामति. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ परिकामत: .
 the words in their proper place ) before केनापोत्याधि. Fior all words from क्नेनापोल्यािि to पठfि च, Ns. 2 repeat the entire verse III. 16 ( with
 पठित्बा ( for the first qठfar ) and om. च (ater the second qठनि ). M1

$L_{4} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ भाल्यणषं ( for बेंखं ) and om. करणे.

 $D_{5}$ भूर्बित: पवितः ( for वृर्षिव: पवरि ).
 स्तिप्टति। भवत्बेवं ताषत्। (सरंभ्रममुपगम्य भकाइाम्)। अले उचिक्ष। होे तुद्ध अस्ति। ता गेण्टे पदाप्पस्तिकं घुवण्णम्।
5 राजा। (सबर्वमुत्थाय)। भोः साधो उपनीयताम्। (ष्ट्टा सविषादम्)। भद्र भवानर्थी।
धर्मः। वाढं होे तुछ अस्ति।
राजा। तत्को भवान्।
$L_{1} N_{\text {t. } 2} D_{3}$ om, ॠाकार्य. $D_{3.4}$ om, हष्टा, $N_{2}$ om. खातते. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ om. क्षं. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ कपमयमसी. $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ श्रं ( for श्रीौ ). $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ महासलः.

$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ उपहलल ( for ससंभ्रममुपाम्य श्राशं ). $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ transp. ससंभ्रमुपगम्य and श्रकाशं.


 $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ से $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ तुए ; the remaining Mss and $\mathrm{Pt}_{t 1}$ तए. All Mss


$L_{4} M_{1} D_{1.4 .5 .7} S_{2.3} P_{t 2}$ बुवएयां.
$L_{5} D_{4}$ मानुपनोयतामुपनोषता; $S_{2}$ उपानीयतां (for उपनीयता). $N_{1.2}$ सबिसयं ( for सविषपद्ध ).

L 5-6 For all words from भो: to भवानथी, Dı reads भो: साधो भवानरी। उपनीयताम् ॥ उपसर्षति ॥ चारहालः। घले कुलं पसल़ पसल ॥ राजा।


$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. ख्याषं before and प्रकायां after वाह. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ भारे. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$
 $P_{t 2}$ तुए मuपी ( $S_{2}$ चरिथ ); remaining Mss तए wत्यो.

L 8 Diom. สб.

धर्मः। श्रु्नमशणणाधिवदी गुम्मस्ताणाधियालप करेख। वर्पूस्ताणणिउत्ष चण्डालमद्धले क्सु हगे।.३२।
राजा। (सावेग्रमुपस्स्य कौरिकस्य पावृयोर्निपस्य)। भगषन् प्रसीद पसीच।
तबैव दासतां गत्वा वरमानुण्यमस्तु मे। न हष्टा न थता बेय घ्रहं स्राण्डालकासता ॥३३॥
कौरिशः। चिड्मूर्य खयंदासास्तपखिनः। तक्कि ल्वया दासेत मे कियोे।
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 St. 33-b) $D_{1}$ ते (for मे ), 一c) $S_{3}$ न्यूलना (for ₹ भूरा) ). $-d$ )

 ताद. $D_{1}$ मया ( for ल्वया). $N_{1,2} D_{1.7} \mathrm{om}$. मे. $\mathrm{S}_{2.3} \mathrm{om}$ all words from उसित to fिलते.

राजा। (सानुनयम्)। भगवन् यदादिशबि तर्करिष्ये।
कौरिकः। शृणवन्तु शृण्बन्तु विखिे षेबाः यवाद्शिशालि तल्करिज्यसि।
राजा। बाढ़ करोमि।
कौरिकः। यधेवमसिन्नेवार्थिनि विफीयार्मानं प्रयष्ञ मे दभ्भिणा-

## सुबर्णानि ।

राजा। (सबवक्लव्यमाटमगतम् )। अद्ध का गतिरिवानोम् । (सकाशम )। भगवन् यन्दिक्शिसि। (चाण्डालमुपगम्य)। मोः खजातिमह्तर समयेन मां फ्रेतुमर्क्षसि।
वाण्डालः। अध कीदिको दे शमए।
$L_{1} S_{2}$ om. सातुनयं. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ om. भगवन्, $\mathrm{Mi}_{1}$ घघत ( for गत). $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ श्रादिशति ; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ श्रादिशसे. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ तस्षर्वं ( for तर ).

 $\mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{t}}$ करोण ( for करिष्पfि ). MI onn. lines 2-3.
$L_{3} N_{1,2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ राजा। भगवन् वाठं करोमि। च्चलिया बयं न पुनरमिधाज़ं जानोम: ( for the whole line).
 श्रात्मानं. $\mathrm{Dr}_{\mathrm{g}}^{\mathrm{om}}$. मे.

L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. सकैक्रष्यमात्मगतं. $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ख्वातं ( for आादमगतं ). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$
 line, $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ ins. passage transposed from न्रेप्ये fित्रोे ( $p .74,14$ ) to ररिद्धन्त्र (P. 75, 17).
$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{om}$. (hapl.) all words from यवाबिशसि up to रप्वाध्बरपरिण्धदः ( $p .8_{1}, 1_{2}$ ). M1 $D_{3}$ यबदादिराषि ; D6 घदादिर्शति. $N_{1} M_{1}$ $D_{3}$ बमें ; $D_{7}$ चरागालं ( for चायउतलं ). $D_{1.3}$ प्रति (for उपगर्ब ).




श्रयताम् 1
मैक्ष्याइी दूरतस्तिप्ठनूध्याम्बरपरिष्छदः।
यधदाविशति स्वामी तरकरोम्यबिचारितम् ॥ःध।
उमी। (सपरितोषम्)। अले चुस्टु दाओ हे शामर। तेण्ड एदं घुकण्ण। ( रति दूरार्वर्पयति)।
राजा। ( चृहीस्ता सहर्धम्)।
अनृणस्य ममेदानीमराषस्प द्विजन्मना।
अपरिभ्ष्टसत्यस्प स्याष्या चाण्डालदासता ॥द्या
( कौरिकं प्रति सानुनयम् )। भगबन् प्रतिगृष्षतामिदमरोषधनम् ।
L: Ni Di om. भूयता.


 भ्रबिनारतः ; $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{Pt2}$ श्रविबारयन् ( for अवियारितं ).一 $-d$ ) $=11117 \mathrm{ab}$.
$L_{4} D_{1}$ बराबाल उभौ ; $D_{3}$ धरं: (for उभी). $N_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. सपरितिषषं. $D_{1}$ ins. धम̆ं: before घक्षे. $P_{t 2}$ घुर्डे. $N_{1,2} M_{1} D_{155.6 .7}$

 (for à ). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1.4 .5 .7} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ समये. $\mathrm{D}_{1.3 .7} \mathrm{ins}$. ता before गेश़. $S_{3}$ शब. $N_{1.2}$ ins. उधाप्रुपृं after एवं.
 in rex. $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2$ अर्पयत:

L $6 \mathrm{D}_{1}$ ins. श्रामम तृं after साई్".
St. 35 Di om. this verse here but transposes it after ग्याता on $p$.







## कौरिाकः। (सबैङस्स्वम्)। दास्यसि।

हाजा। (सानुनयम्)। भाबन् गृहलताम्।
 ( सबैल लक्ये तथा करोति )।
 प्रति मर्षणीयः।
कीशिकः। आन्लम्। (खति निकान्नः)।
L. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} .2}$ om. the whole line. $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}$ om. सबैल़्संयं. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ repeats दास्थसि.
$L_{2} N_{x .2}$ om, the whole line, $D_{3}$ om. सातुनयं; $D_{1}$ साविशयानुनयं. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ repeats गृसता; $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ प्रतिग्षतां. After गूसतां, $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ins. दरि पठति राजा खमतं followed by St. 35. [ v.l. (before corr.) राजन् ( for चाप्या ) ].
$L_{3} D_{3}$ om. परिगृत्ब. $D_{1}$ सबैलन्ष्तं (for परिगृए सगतं ). $D_{7}$ om. लगतं. Mr DI. 3 transp. किं and श्रतः परं. DI श्रतिनिर्ब्न्धेन. MI ins. चृदील्ता before गच्छाभि. DI गृषामि ( for गच्छाभि ).

L 3-4 $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} .2}$ om. lines 3 and 4 (see below ).
$\mathrm{L}_{4} \mathrm{Di}$ om. ; $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{D}_{3.4}$ घति निक्कान्तः ( for सबैलस्लं तथा करोति). After $1_{4}, D_{1}$ ins. प्रकाशं। देहि । इति गृहीत्वा किषिद्यस्थितरोषसंबन्धे चलंकार-
 न भूतो न भविष्यकि।
 om. Il. 5-7. $\mathrm{Ni}_{1.2}$ om. भगषल.

 कम युगान्तपषनाहताः। हण्टे Sपि न शलतेकें घीरायात निषसं मनः ॥ (IV. 35 ).

$L_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. this line. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ मर्बित: ( for जान्तं).
 मुब्बमाबृणोति)। भोः र्वामिन्, भाष्तापय कि मया दासेल करिंब्यम्।
धर्मः। (सपरितोषमात्मगतम्)। यक्ष छ छपूर्वं न वा भ्रुत्वर्षं कर्मं। (पकाशाम्)। असे द्रिकणमराणं गदुअ मलअचीलढ़ालकेण भचिभ अद्दोलस्षं थरिगद्वं। होे वि सूराभवण्ण य्येव गक्षामि।

LI Some Mss चराहालं. Nr. 2 Mr Dr. 3 प्रति (lor उपाम्प).

$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ लबा नाटिित्वा (for भुसमाहीयोति). $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{Mr}_{\mathrm{om}}$ ont:-

$L_{3} D_{1}$ कर्वम्यमिति.
L $4 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. सपरितोषं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3.4}$ सगतं (for घार्मगतं). D6
 $M_{1} D_{3}$ on. कम्म. After कम which is omutted, $N_{1.2}$ ins, चषषा हरिध्रन्दाधते खार्मिणिति को वृति.
$L_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ द्धतीयः। प्रकाशं ; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ अपर: ( for प्रकाषं ). $\mathrm{D}_{2}$ om. all words from श्रले to गदुश्र. $\mathrm{P}_{t 2}$ भर्डे ( for श्रले ), $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{P}_{t 2}$ वकिणा-;
 Mg form दॅकिण (dahkina ) or दर्काण, see Hemacandra 4. 296; Pischel § 324. Nı. $\mathrm{D}_{4.5 .7} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ - मसारां ; $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}$-मसाषे ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$


 केश. $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{D}_{1.3}$ ins. तए after भविश्र.

L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ घहोलखं ( for भहोषां) ). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$



 Mss गच्बामि ; $D_{4}$ वच्ध्रामीवि.

## राजा। यथाष्वापयति सामी।

> ( रीति निक्षान्ताः स्बै ) ॥

इति घृतीयोऽक्षः समात्तः ॥

Li $N_{1,2} D_{3}$ om. this line.
$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ om. इति.
 वृतीयोड्र्; $D_{1}$ विकमयो नाम वृतीयोइः; $D_{4}$ एवि घयक्षोपिएकाटके हृतीयोः ; $D 6$



## बतुर्यो5:

## ( ताः श्रविराति घाप्डलाभ्याम्मुगम्पमानः सबिन्तो राजा)

 चाण्डलै। बोरालध अट्या ओोरालध। $\sigma$ होए पदो बस्द्हे। ता किं के पदो करिं बा णीसदि कि। पो किल त्वरशूो घामिणो
 परिवणे। ता लर्रुणणिमिषं दस्तिणमझाणएय णीयकि।

Before this Act, $S_{2}$ ins. some Namaskriyā in prose and verse to Sri-Rämacandra-obviously a scribal addition. As $S_{2}$ is extremely fragmentary and contains numerous lacuna, large and small, they are ignored, and the Ms is sparingly used.

LI $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ तस: प्रविशति चएएालानुगतो राजा. D2.3.6 SI Pu सषिन्यो, $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ transp, संचन्तो and राजा.

L 2 Some Mss क्राडालाम्यां and चसकासी ; Di चार्उालः. Ns. 2 Ms $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ घ्रोस्रष (both times). All Mss and $\mathrm{P}^{\prime} \mathrm{Y}$ श्ना. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ घोशस्ल भोरालष श्यक्जा. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ होवि (for होह). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5.7}$ एसे ; $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ एरो.




$L_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mi}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4.7}$ एसे. $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2.4} 6 \mathrm{Si} \mathrm{Pta}_{\mathrm{om},} ; \mathrm{Pt}_{2}$ ति (for fir). $D_{1}$ ins. कुणाध before एरो. $N_{1,2} \mathrm{Ms}_{1}$ पसे; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ एस. $\mathrm{Ns}_{1,2}$

$L_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1}$ माइदलश्स ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupt; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ मझालभ-; $\mathrm{D}_{2} .5 .6 \mathrm{P}_{12}$
 $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ बहुतरं ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ बहुषलं ( for षहुदलं ). $\mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{8} \mathrm{P}_{1}$ बहुदलधुरुपगा. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$


 All Mss (except $D_{7}$ as in text) and $P_{t}$ लक्राणन-. $D_{1}$-fिमिसं; $D_{2} .6$




राजा। (निश्वस्सार्मगतम्)। कष्टमनध्धिंय बथोप्रंकाइणो मे घ्यसतपरंपरापातः। तथा हि
צद्वमच मम श्रपाकास्य बसतिर्घोरतरं महाइमशानम्।
मृतकम्बलहारिता च्च कर्म परिशान्त ब्यसनेष्बहों न क्वैंम् ॥!़ी
(सहोषम्)। घुष्नु लब्विझमुच्यते कु:बं कुंख्बैसितोधीयत इति। यतो दक्षिणानुण्यनिसृत्तं मामिरानीम् आ शोको बाधते। ( वैक्षंय्यं नाटयित्वा)।

किं शोचामि मदेक्कबान्धवतया संभल्यनाथाः भ्रजाः
कि ब््यूनतिषस्सलानइरणानेतोक्ष भृत्यानहम्।
किं दासीं क्विजसभनि म्रियतमां वस्सं च किं वा रिएायुं
किं चाण्डालभुजिष्यतामुपगतः पापो निजं जीवितम् ॥श॥
$L_{1} N_{1.2} D_{3.5 .7}$ fि:яबस as in text; $D_{4}$ निखर्य ; remaining


St. I -a) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ यदन्वन्मम ; $\mathrm{P}_{12}$ ददमस्स मम.—b) $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ बाधोो ( for बसतिः ). $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ षासो घोरतरे. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ माइरमशाने.—c) $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ कृत्यं (for कमें ).—d) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupt) $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ श्रान्तं मे; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ सुुु शान्तं ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ विगतं मे ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ शान्वं मे ( for परिशान्तं ).
$L_{5} D_{1}$ सोष्करठं ; $D_{7} P_{2}$ सीत्रुकं (for सरोकं ). $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ द्रो न ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$


 योजे; $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ योषो; $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{Ptz}$ वास्यसोको ; $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ भात्मलादिरोोषो ना (for चा गोषो ). $D_{4}$ พमिषषघटे.
$\mathrm{L}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2.3}$ नाटयवि.
St. 2-b) $N_{s .2} D_{3}$ किं मौलैभल्यान् ; $M 1$ निं मौलि॰ ; $P_{t 2}$ किं ताष

 $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ ग्रारूं.

## ( स्टृतिमभिनीय सबेषम् )।

तुराराज्ये तसिन्परमतपसां धामति त्रा
पुनौ विश्वामिके कथमपि यदातृण्यमभक्ष।
तवाक्षित' हष्या परदितमुष्ं पालतनय
तवम्तःःर्यल्य मां क्रणमिव विनड ग्हपयति ॥३॥
चाण्डाली। ( पुनस्तेषे पठतः )।
राजा। ( विचिन्ल सबेఫमात्मगतम् )। अह्ट हैं पीइ्यति मां संघति
तद् यक्तवा
त्वर्यति गुरोर्मकगा तसिम्नि्डिज्मनि रोषणे उसति च तदाभिषेते बाले पटार्म्तमिरोधिनि।

कथमपि तया लूरे चटिकिराम्मयि सहता ॥\%
( सबैल्लग्यम्)। हा देवि
यदि तर्पनकुलोचिता बधूस्स
यदि विमले राशिनः कुले प्रसता।
10
मधि विनिपतितासि भर्मराशौ
घुतनु घृताहुतिवक्षत्रा कण स्वम् \|झ\|
St. 3-a) $D_{1.3}$ नथा ( for तदा ).一c) $D_{2}$ प्रुfितनुलं. -d) $S_{3}$ fिसकं.
L6 $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ चारणललः ; Some Mss चर्रालों. N1. 2 ins. बोमलब ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ भोलबह ) इल्याfि before gनसदेव.





 सदा ). $N_{1} D_{2}$ परान्तबिरोषिजि ; $D_{1}$ ०ितरोभिजि; $D_{5}$ पदान्ररविरोषिज
 $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ संशका ( for संख्ता)



## अपि च राजपुण्य

## उपवनन्वमालिकाप्रसूलै:

स्नजमपि या परिब्दियसे स्तन्ती।
परिजनबनितोचितानि कर्मा-
5
ण्यपरिचितानि कघं विधास्यसि त्वम् "६ा"
चाण्डाली। (अयतोऽब्लोक्य)। अले णाविदले द्रस्किणमझाणे। ता तुलिएं आसक्ष।
राजा। ( हष्टा सावषम्भम्)। अये कथमिदं महाइमशानमू। तथा है
बिदूराद्वम्पस्तैर्वियति बहुशो मण्डलरातै-
छकश्रत्पुण्छाप्रस्तिमितबिततैः पक्षतिपुटैं।
पतन्येते गृर्पाः शबणिशितलोलाननगुद्धा-
गलह्छाल्काष्लेक्स्थगितनिजन्न्चूभयपुटाः ॥ज॥

St. 6-a) Pt 2 उपनत- (for उपवन-). $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$-मृ्लिका- (for
 $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ पर्रनवनितोवितानि.

 $\mathrm{S}_{1} \mathrm{PtI}_{12}$ याविदूले ; $\mathrm{Ptz}_{2}$ याविदरे. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ ins, एसे ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ins. एयो after यादिदूले•






$\mathrm{L}_{9} \mathrm{D}_{2.4-7} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{Ptr}$ om. तारा fि.




## ( नेपथये फलकलः )

राजा। (कर्णं दच्वाबलोक्य च)। भहो बीभस्सरीद्रता मातासमानस्य। तथा दि

इमा मूर्छन्ल्खन्तःभतरिखश्भृतः कर्णकटवः
रिवा: कूराकन्वैरशिवपटहाउम्बरसकाः।
ज्वलन्येते तापस्फुटितनृकरोटीपुटदरी-
लसन्मस्तिक्काक्ता स्तिमितजटिलाग्रा डुतभुजः ॥थ॥ ( अप्रतोऽवलोक्य सभ्राधम् )। अहो बीभत्समपि स्वृष्रणीयमिब वर्तंते। भद्ध कुणप सर्वस्स्राहिमिः प्रणयिमिक्ष श्रापझगणेयंथेष्णुपभुज्यमानो घन्यस्व्वससि। तथा हि
L. $S_{3}$ कल: ( for कलकल: ).
L. $2 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. घबलोक्य. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{Dr}_{4} 4.5 \mathrm{om}, ~ च . \quad \mathrm{N}_{2}$ बीभरसभीषणां ; $S_{3}$ रौः्रवीभस्सता.

L 2-3 $\mathrm{MI}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4}$-6 $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I} .2} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tI}}$ ₹मशानस्य; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ च ₹मशानख.
L $3 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{om}$; $\mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{m}}$ marg. तथा हि.
St. 8-a) N1. 2 Di. 3 श्रमी ( for (मा: ).-b) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1.3}$ घना:





$L 8 \mathrm{D}_{2}$ uns. च before सश्नाघं. $D_{3} S_{3}$ रमगीयंय (for स्ट्टर्णायं). $M_{1} D_{1.3 .5 \mathrm{om}}$. 要.

 $S_{3}$ ins. after भापदणयौ:。

शिषा सुकोपान्मे प्रसति रसनाम्रं बिद्धुठितम्।


6 अहो नि:स्सारता शररीराणाम ।
तन्मष्यं तुुर्तववेब चदनं ते लोबने ते हु, बौ
आतनं सर्षममेष्वरोणितवसामांसास्थिलालामयम्।
मीक्रणां भयवं ग्रपस्पद्दमिद विद्याविनोदात्मनां
तन्मूहीः कियते तृथा विष्षयिभि: क्रुद्रोऽभिमानपहःः॥९०


अपरः। एव कलेग्ट। ( पति तथा कुख्तः)।
St. 9-a) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1.7}$ चरणां.-b) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1.7}$ विल्लुलितं.-c)


St 10-b) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1}$-शो खातवशा-. $\mathrm{N}_{1,2}$-मससालिभमालामयं.-c)








 जलाईणिं; $S_{3}$ चश्रकरचाघणये.
$L 12 D_{1}$ ins, घले before एपं. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ करेम्द्र; $D_{3.7} S_{3}$ क्सेप ; $D_{4}$


# निम्मडिभदुलि [घ] घण्डमसिए <br> महिरामहागुलभिण्णगस्तिए। <br> कबाइणि गजचम्मवस्तिए 

लसक्ु में चलरूलहस्तिए ॥?
St. 11 The Mss are uncertain with regard to Mg Prakrit and metre of the verse. Our text is frankly eclectic and conjectural., -a)





 लिश्राए ; $S_{2}$ महाशूलभिएलामहिशगनिए-一 $\left.c\right) \mathrm{N}_{2}$ क्टिरा ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ कर्छादाएँ; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$


 $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ रखल. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ सं (for मं ). $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ गलमूल



The Sanskrit chāyä of the verse would be:


The metre is Appräntikā of the Vairäliya class. The Vaitäliya scheme has: 6 Märräs+रणगये बला in even Pādas. All the four even Pàdas of Aparäntikà (like the even Pādas of Vaitäliya ) have 8 Mäcäs + रणサ + דin. See layadämen, ed. H. D. Velankar, p. 157 ( no. 7) and references cited cherein.

राजा। (सर्षतोऽबलोक्य सविस्मयम्)। अहो बीमस्सोपचारम्रियत्वं कात्यायन्याः। तथा हि

जरधिर्माब्याज्या मृतमह्विपगोकण्ठलुलिता:
भ्रल्बन्ते घण्टः श्रवणकटुटंकारपटवः।
तरस्तम्मे देव्याः क्रतरूधिरश्वाक्षुलितले रटस्येते यसिम्रक्टतिबलिलोला बलिभुजः ॥?२॥ ( समणाममर्जलिं बन्द्या)।

भगवति चण्डि श्रेते प्रेतबिमानविये लसत्येते
पेतास्थिरौइ्ररूपे घेताशिनि भैरवि नमस्त" ॥१३॥
10 (नेपथ्ये कलकलः )
राजा। (आाकर्ण्य)। अहो नानाट्रिगन्तपातिनां खनीउपर्युस्सुकानां
$\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. राजा. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ om. सर्षंताइनलोष्य.
$L_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1} \mathrm{DI}_{1}$ ins. भगबल्या: before काल्यायन्या:. $\mathrm{D}_{3.5}$ कालायिन्या: MI om. तथा दि.

St. 12-a) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ज्पलन-(for जरत्-). $\mathrm{S}_{3}$-fिर्माल्यार्घ्या. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$-бएबनुनिताः ;

 प्रकृति-).
$L_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ प्रागमाललिं. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ins. च्राि after बद्दा.
St. $1_{3}$-a) $M_{1}$ चारें ; $D_{1.3}$ चामुयके (for चराएs). $D_{4} S_{3}$ om. ; $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} .2}$ $\mathrm{Ms}_{1}$ 亏ेवालयाषिबासे ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ प्रेताधिवासे ; D 6 प्रेतप्रिये (for प्रंते).-b) $\mathrm{Ms}_{1}$ प्रेटबिमाने ; D 6 विमानप्रिये (for श्रेंतििमानप्रिये ). $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ललत्र्रे ते. N 2 ललिबभे ते ;
 प्रेतास्सिरोद्वपे).- $d$ ) $S_{2}$ repeats नमतें.
$\mathrm{L} 10 \mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. मझान् before कलकलः: $S_{3}$ कल: (for कलफस्त:).
 विगन्तपातिना; $D_{4}$ नानादिगन्त्रपिततानो (for नानादिगन्वपाविनो) . $D_{4}$ लनीब्पमनप्ययुम्युकना.

विषसाबसानखांसितां सांराषिण विहत्रानाम्। (भतीषी
(ष्बा)। न कस्यचिक्राम ₹ दुरतिकमा सैष्परिणाटिः। तथा है

अयमसौ गगनाइ्रणीपफक-
स्तरलकालभुजंगारिसामणि:।
क्षणविउम्पितथाउपविपद्रः
पतति बारिनिधौ विधुतो रविः ॥ईध॥
( समन्तावृलोष्च सविसमयम् )।
संध्यावज्याम्नरोण तनुद्हहरचिताक्रारम्द्वार्कंििख तारानारास्थिकीर्ण' विराश्रनरकक्कायमाणोज्बलेन्दु। 10 प्वष्यकंचौौः घनतिमिरमहाधूमधूप्रानुकां
ज्ञाते लीलाइमझालं जगयकिलमझो कालकापालिकस ॥!'थ\| चाण्डालौ। (हष्टा)। कधम्



 ins. भवत्वि कमादेव ; D 6 S 1 Ptr. 2 ins. ₹ atter नाम (as in cext). $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ onn. ;
 $D_{1} P_{t 2}$-qरिqाटो.
$\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{Nt} \mathrm{D}_{2.4} \mathrm{St} \mathrm{Pta}$ ome. तथा far




 समन्नात before जाञां (hypermetric).



अस्त गस्दि शले वर्ग्टस्ताण्ण गदे यधा वर्ग्हे।
फो तमराघाडे चण्डालकुलं क्व कोषलवि॥१द॥
राजा। (सर्वतोडवलोक्य)। अद्धो अतिगम्भीरभीषणाः सर्पति बर्तन्ते इमशानशाखिनः। तथा हि

5 आ स्कन्धातुत्पतन्तः पृधुक्रहहरगृद्वारि कूजन्यु्युका धुन्बन्तः पक्षपाली: प्रबलकिलकिला मूर्भि गृध्राः पतन्ति। शासाग्रालम्बिरीर्यन्क्रणपघनवसागन्धमापाय रौंःं फन्दन्तः स्फारयन्ति स्फुरद्नलमुखाः फेरखः फेक्ट्रतानि ॥ईज॥

St. 16-a) Ni M1 Di.3.4.7 $S_{3}$ श्रृ्यं (for शस्तं). $D_{1}$ गद्वदि ; $S_{3}$ गच्चांति; ocher Mss गच्छदि (for गथधिद). $N_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ सूले ; $\mathrm{S}_{3}$
 वज्मक्ठायां. $D_{3}$ transp. गदे and यधा. $S_{2}$ जहा ; other Mss जषा. $D_{4}$
 एसे ; $D_{4}$ एते. $N_{1} D_{7}$ तमसंधादे ; $D_{1}$ ०शंहादे ; $D_{4.5} \mathrm{P}_{2}$ ०संघाठे ; $S_{2}$
 $6 S_{1} P_{t 1}$ श्रोदर्ट ; $D_{3}$ श्रोशबनि ; $D_{7}$ श्रोछ₹पदि; $P_{t 2}$ श्रोघ्रेदि. $N_{1.2}$
 chāyā of the verse would be:

अस्तं गच्छति सूरे बध्यम्पानं गते यथा वच्ये।
एप तम:संघातबारडलककलमिष श्रवतरति॥
$L_{3} D_{1}$ om.; Ni.2 चुुदिशंश (for सर्वतः). $D_{3}$ ins. च; $D_{4.5}$ Pt2 ins. सावृम्भं after शष्लोक्ष. Nr. $2 \mathrm{Mr}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ गम्मीरमोष्याः ( for भातिगम्मीर० ).

L 4 Ds महारमशानशाबिन:.



 रिश्ता: $D_{3}$ केरापा:

ता शिग्यं शिग्र्ब गक्षम्ट।
अन्यः। पर्ब कलेग्ट।
 आहिणुन्तेण अद्बोलत्तं तप अप्पमलेण विधिद्वकम्।
राजा। (सहर्गम्)। पवम्। यदाशिशाति खामी। ( गेषश्ये कलकलः )

 $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ ०्बेलालसंकले ; $\mathrm{P}_{12}$ ०बेताराउसंकुके. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4.7} \mathrm{Pt}_{12}$ एसे (for एरो).


 $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ do not repeat शिगयं. $\mathrm{Ma}_{1}$ घबकमम्द ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ घषषमा; $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t2}}$

$L_{3} D_{t}$ ins. ग्रले before एवं. $D_{3} S_{2}$ एबंब (for एवं). $D_{5} P_{t 2}$ कलेख ; $D_{7}$ बोरेम्द्ध $S_{3}$ क्लाघ.


 $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ माबामसाणां ; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ ममाणां ( for मशाणां).




L. $6 \mathrm{Ma}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} .5 \mathrm{om}$. एवं. MI. miquाta.


चाण्डालौ। (सभयम्)। हा मादिए, शामुत्यिये णिशाकलकले। ता रिग्ं पलाअम्ह। (इति निष्कान्तौ )।
राजा। (सावष्ठम्मं परिकम्य हष्टा)। भहो बीभत्सर्दर्राना: कौणानिकायाः। तथा हि

जरकूपाकारेन्नयनपरिवैसौस्तनुशिताफरालोष्षैं घोणाः कुटिलरवना: फूरखदनाः।

 ( सकौतुकमवलोक्य )। अहो फीडाकलहकौशालं पिशान्वानाम्। पिषत्येकोडन्यस्माब्दनरुधिरमाणित्घंध चपकं ज्वलजिबो बक्तूप्गलितमपरो हेढि पिषतः। ततस्यानाल्कस्शिन्दुवि निपतिताइ्शोणितकणान्भ्षणादुच र्रीवो रसयति लसदीर्घरसनः॥ध्१।
$L_{1} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ चगाहाल; $\mathrm{D}_{4}-6 \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{PtI}_{\text {चर्ञालौ. }} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. ; $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$
 समुपरिपये ; $D_{2}$ समुपच्चिद्दे. $D_{1}$ ins. एग्रे before fिशाबललले. $D_{3}$ om.;


 fिग्षं). $M_{1}$ श्रवकमम्ब ; $D_{3}$ पलाएम्ह; $D_{5}$ पलाष्ब ; $D_{7}$ पलश्रम्ह ; $P_{t 2}$ पढाभघ.
$L_{3} D_{3}$ ins. च after दृष्डा. $D_{1}$ बीभस्बदर्शर्रनीयाः ; $D_{3}$ ०दशनाः. $S_{3}$ कुराप- ( for कौसाप-).
$\mathrm{L}_{4} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. तथा fit.
 $S_{3}$ त्ड़ारारा: -b) $D_{7}$ घोराः ; $S_{3}$ बायैः (for घोलाः ). $N_{1.2}$ कुटिलरदन-

 चायुष्छमां. $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{Pt}_{2}$-स्यgुषघटनें.


 तबस्सालाइ. $N_{\Sigma}, 2$ निपतिताः रोडितफषा:-d) $D_{1} .6$ रहोर्षरसबन:.
( सकौतुकम बललोक्य ससितम् )। भाो तु कलुु भो। परिकास
(१ वुर्बिद्वधानां केलिरिि रसाक्तरमालम्बते थानुपापानाम्। तथा हि

6 रम्यः संभोगो मृतुम्डुखेष्टार्मस्यमयः
फटाभाः जाल्पोन्यं पलयषिततोन्कापुतिमृतःः।

हैंनाइलेष: फार्य प्रतिरसडुरःपज्ररखः ॥२०।
(सघृणमवलोक्य)। धिगतिबोमस्समेतव।
चितान्नेराซृषं नलकशिबरपोतमसक్-
त्कुराक्रिर्निर्षार्य्यलयपषनै: कुर्हतरातैः।
हितो नारं मेतः फबलयति चृष्णाखरालल-

 $M_{1}$ सििसयं ( for सfस्सतं ). $M_{1}$ transp. जु and सलु.
$L_{1-2} D_{I}$ परिहासर्तनयदुविदृश्धानो.
St. 20-a) $N_{1} D_{1} P_{t 2}$-बेशान्लरलित:; $N_{2}$ cortupt.-b) $N_{1}$


 $M_{1}$ व्तिषषपुः:- $D_{3}$ परिलमदृ:-.
 $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ins. यतः after परू.



 M1 •भातनव: ; Ds -बरनालत्-;


(स्टृतिममिनीय)। अऊममीषां दर्राननकुवूलितया। तह्याबस्साम्याषेश्र० मनुतित्विन्परितः इमशानमेष पर्यटामि। (परिक्रम्य हृष्टिमभिनीय)। अहो गम्भीरता निरीधिन्याः। तथा हि

मुष्टिपाबं निमिरममितो निद्यु तो दिग्विभागः
पाद्न्यासः स्बलति विषमे निष्फला हष्टिपाताः।
धाराभिष्काअनगिरिषुद्धब्लुप्तवर्णान्तरस्वा-
द्धव्धादँ तः सुरति परितो नीलिमेबैकतानः ॥₹₹॥
भबतु। उच्चैस्तावद्रगाइरामि। कः कोडन भोः। श्रूतां मम हमशानाधिपतेः सामिनो द्याहारः।

प्रवर्तनीया: केनापि न झमशानोबिता: क्रियाः ॥₹३॥ तథघ्यप्रवृति सबै" रेख
L. $D_{1}$ एषां (for श्रमीषt). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{6} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$-कुतहलतया; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ 5न हललेन. $\mathrm{N}_{1,2}$ ददानीं (for यावत्).


$\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s} .2} \mathrm{om}$. तथा f.
St. 22-a) $\mathrm{D}_{2-4} \mathrm{St}_{\mathrm{I}-3} \mathrm{P}\left(1.2\right.$ निहुते दितिवभागं.-b) $\mathrm{DI}_{1}$ पदन्यासरर्लालतििषमो ; $S_{2}$ ०निषमे. $N_{1} M_{1}$ विषमो (for विषमे). $N_{1,2} M_{1}$
 -d) $\mathrm{Nr}_{1} S_{3}$ लव्षाहाहें. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ परतो. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ नोलिमेवैक्तानं ; $\mathrm{D}_{1.3-5.7}$


L 9 D3 cransp, रसशानाषिपतेः and खामिन:. $S_{3}$ om. लामिनः.
St. ${ }_{3} 3=\mathrm{V}$. 19.-4) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ घहल्योषि पउति for the whole verse.-cd) N t $M_{1} D_{3}$ श्ररानंनं न्रेछहष्यमिल्याशत लामिनो मू.


पतच्रथेति करणीयमिहाममस्षै-
रात्रास्यतिकमस्हः किल नार्ति भर्तुः।
प्रहलं न्द्रवायुवकणशतिमोडपि यः स्या-
त्रस्याज्यय प्रतिमटोडस्तु भुजो मरीयः: ॥₹धा
कर्थ न कश्रिब्राहरति। भबतु, अन्यतो क्याइसामि। (परिकम्य)। ं कः कोरत्र मोः।

## ( नेपथेये)

## भयमहं भोः।

राजा। (साबप्म्भम् )। कथं प्रतिक्याहारः। भबतु। शम्मानुसारेणोपगम्य निपुणमवधारयामि को ऽयमिति। (परिकम्य नेगर्गामि- "1 मुसमवलोक्य सविस्सयम्)। अये कोऽयम्।

बट्वाक्न
नरास्थिभूषोज्ज्बलरम्बकाम्तिः।
कणाऋपाणिन्नृ करह मीलिल-
राभाति साक्षाद्विघ भूनलाथः ॥₹६।

 यस्व (for घ: स्खात, ).—d) $N_{1} M_{1} D_{7}$ भरिभमो-; $N_{2}$ घ斤नभुपो-; $D_{5}$ प्रतिभुजो.

$L_{5}-6 \quad D_{2}$ om. these two lines.
L $8 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ खममयं भो:.


$L_{10} \quad D_{3}$ ins. एव before उчпгq. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ उтामгन. $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ опा.




 (for ०ााएि:). $\mathrm{Mi}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ₹ष्षालमीलिख्.

## ( ततः प्रविराति कापालिकेषेषे धर्मः )

## धर्मः। अयमहं भोः।

## अयाचितोपस्पितभैक्ष्यवृष्णि-

## निस्टृप्तपश्ध न्द्वियनिस्तरहः।

चरामि बीभत्समिद्व इमरानम् ॥२६॥
( विचिन्स)। स्थाने स बलु दर्दो भगवान्महावर्तं चचार। पर: किलाय पर्ष्षः कामचारिणाम्। किंतु


( समक्तादघलोक्य साइाक्कमात्मगतम् )।
$L_{1} D_{4}$ कमाल- (for कापालक). $N_{1.2} D_{1}$-वेशो; $M_{1} D_{3}$ केषपरो.

L $2 \mathrm{D}_{3.4} \mathrm{~mm}$. धमُ:. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ มयมयं ; $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{Pt}_{\mathrm{t} 2 \text { भहमहं. }}$


 गीभस्बामवं ).




L $8 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ शपर: किलापकषं घाकाशचारिया; $\mathrm{D}_{1.7}$ किलायं भ्रहूँ ( $D_{7}$ प्रकष: ) कामावारायामू. $S_{3}$ प्रहः कामचारिणा.

St. 27-a) Dı भेनाद्बेतं; $D_{3}$ भिघादेतां- $-a b$ ) Ni. 2 Mi Di. 3.7

 - (for $\left.\begin{array}{c}5 \\ 5\end{array}\right)$.
L. ı $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{am}$, चारमगतं.

# मया ध्रियन्तो भुषनान्यमूमि 

सर्र्य च मां त्र्सरित विर्मि।
परीशिनु सल्यमतोडस्स राष्:
हरो मया वेषपरिपषोड्यम् ॥२ट॥



दुर्लं बा तुर्ब वा किमिब कि अगल्थस्ति कियत
बिवेकम्रंसान्रव्रति सुल्यु:स्बप्पतिकरः।
मनोषृत्तिः ఫुसी जगति जयिनी कापि महतां
यथा तु:्बं डु:बं चुल्लपि सुलं बा त भवति ।२९.॥ 10
भबतु, तस्सकारामेब गछछामि। (परिकम्य हप्रा सस्राधम्) ।
असे भयमसौ महागमा। तदुपसर्पामि। ( तथा कर्बा )। बो राजन् सिЕ्धिभाजनं भूया:।
रजा। सागत महाम्तलवारिणो नैष्टिकस्य।
कापालिकः। भो राजन्, अर्धिनो घयं भवन्तमुपागतःः।
St. 28-Instead of this stanza, which is repeated with a variant from III. $3 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{D}_{1.3}$ मया f्रियन्ते भुवनान्यमूनोति पहिषा ( $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ אलाधि पर्टात ); $S_{2.3}$ मया प्रियन्त ( $S_{3}$ मियन्त ) सति पठिवा.
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1.3} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ onl. घ्यारममतं and read wहो ( except $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ ) (for










 Iिमिख्य for the whole speech.
 ( for भून्व ). $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ उवगवा:

रता। ( लज्ञां नाट्यति )।
कापालिकः। भलं हीजया। योगचध्रुषो हि घर्य विदितवृत्ताम्ता प्व भबतः। तथाप्येबमबस्यस्यापि के न नः समीहितदाने द्वार्वियम्। तथा हि पश्य
परेणनुपकाराय न कथंचिच्न साधषः।
क्रुद्रमपि समासाध धिनोतीन्दुर्वेनस्पतीन्त्य३० तद्वधर्षां भवान्।
राजा। अबहितोडसि।
कापालिकः। बेतालबज्रगुरिकाइनपादलेप६स्साक्रनाबिधिरसायनधातुबाषTः।
तथिन्स्यतां करतलोपगता ममैसे
बिन्नै: पटैरिव यथा न निरसिक्रिन्ते ॥३ः॥
तदादिएयतां विमघत्यूद्य इति।
$L$ : $M 1 D_{3}$ त्रीटां ( for लज्ञा ).
$L_{2} D_{3}$ ीीधित्तेन (for ज्राब्या). $D_{3} .6$ योगचनुषा. $M_{1}$ om. दि. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ transp. वयं after एव. Mı $D_{3}$ विदित एव). $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ f( for ए4).

 नापि ल्वया न; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupt; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ ते ता (for ते च चt ). $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ onn. नi.
$\mathrm{L}_{3.4} \mathrm{Nz}$ समीरितब्यं दारिजं ; $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ समीहितदारिषणं; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ मीदितं दारिरणं ; $D_{1.7}$ समीहितं दारिष्रें ( for समीहितदाने दारिपषं ).

L 4 Pt2 om. पशय.



 ममेंते ). -d) $D_{5}$ तथा ; $S_{2}$ बका (for यथा). $\mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{D}_{1-4} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{tI}}$ fिरहिघयन्त्त ; $S_{3}$ निराकियन्ते.

L:3 $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ तदा fिखा ( for व्वादिर्यका).

राजा। भोः साधक थोगबलाजानात्येष भषान, भसाषीजमिष इरीरकम्। तर्साम्यर्यावितोधतः घ्रयतिष्ये।
कापालिकः। भो राजन कुतोडक स्वाम्यर्थबिरोषः। नम्बाषामाँसंपाघं नः समीघितं भबतः। तद्वितो नातिदूरे सिद्यसानां मढानिषानमस्ति। तवर्थमस्लासिरारम्भणीयम्। भबता 3 पुनरिछस्थेनैब विममत्यूहं भरि सावधानेन भषितर्यम्।
( शति निष्कान्तः )।
L: $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ repeat भो:. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1.3 .7}$ ins. कथं befurc बोगषलात्. Mr योगवशावृ. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ins. न before जानारयेव. $\mathrm{D}_{2.5} \mathrm{~S}_{2}$ ins. एतन्त, before; Mr ins. यथा after भवान्. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ भगवान् ( for भवान् ). $\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~F}$ खाधीनकं ( for सस्वाधीनें ).

L $2 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ ins. न: ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ बा before शरारकं. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ शरीरं.
 स्नाम्यविरोधत:- Di. 3 प्रयतयिष्ये.
$L_{3} S_{3}$ om. भो राजन्. $D_{4}$ repeats भो:. $D_{1}$ साषो (for राज्ञन् ). $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ onl. श्रत्. $\mathrm{Ml}_{1}$ स्वािविरोध:.


 समीहतं भबतः ).
 सिद्रससंनिधानं.

L 5 D2 om. ( hapl. ) all words from मित्र to माषधानेन (16). $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s} .2}$ तर्यास्साभि: ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ तबस्माभि: ; $\mathrm{Pta}_{12}$ तबरास्माभि:. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ संभावरीयंय (for चारम्भर्योय ). $\mathrm{D}_{4}-6 \mathrm{~S}_{1-3}$ Pri.2 ins, च्रति after चारम्भयीय. Ms om. घथा.


$L_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ D6 om.

रशजा। (सावשमं सर्द्रतः परिक्रम्व)। भोसरत विमाः मोत्सरत। सर्वया प्रतिहतो कः पसर रति।

## ( मेपथ्ये)

राजक् यथान्नापयसि।
क्षेथांसि विसृता़्राराण्यद्य विच्चाः स्वयंबराः।
सिख्यः कामचारिज्यस्सदाक्षां को डतिषर्तते ॥३२॥
राजा। (सहर्षम्)। दिष्ट्या तथेति (x|२४) पतिपघ्रमसम्बचन विज्नै।। प्रियं नः प्रियं नः।
( तन श्रकिशान्ति विमानचारिण्यो विधाः)
10 विधाः। (सहसोपमृत्य)। राजन् हरित्वन्म्र दिष्टा वर्धसे।
ल्वचि तिछति राजन्ये कुद्धो याइएगो मुनिः।
विध्यास्वक्विपषां मूलं ता वयं समुपसिततः ॥३३॥
 second प्रेशसरत.

L 3 Ni. 2 Mi Di. 7 Pt2 om. kñ.
$L_{3} D_{5}$ ने only for नेषपेे.
$L_{4} D_{2}$ यापापपयति ; $M_{1} D_{3}$ बहारिर्यास ; $D_{4}$ गरापापयानि.
St. $32-d) S_{3}$ लवदाष्ता. $D 6$ को निबर्वते.


L. 9 Na विणार्षर: (for fिषा: ) $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ins. निस्: after faxt:-







सला। ( उ्ट्रा सा⿴囗्षर्यमारमगतम्)। कथमिमास्ता भगबल्ये बिधाः। थादु भगवतो विश्बामिबस्यापि तीसं सतोोभिखसफम् । (भ्रकाशमअल्अलिं बढ़ा )। नमखिलोकबिजयिनीम्यो बिधाभ्यः।
विचाः। रोजन्, स्विदायक्ता घयम्। अत्वस्वं शाधि नः।
राजा। यदि मामनुपाधं भबत्योऽन्नुमन्यम्ते तनो भगबन्त कौशिक- 5 भुपतिष्ठां्वम्। ततोडनपराईं मुनेरार्मानं समर्धयामि।
विधाः। (सबिस्मयं परसपरमवलोष्य)। राजन्त् प्वमसतु। ( हति निष्कान्ता: )।
( तलः प्रविराति स्कन्धारोपितनिधानेन बेतालेतनुतुम्पमाभ: कापालिक: )
 Mi om, ता:-
$L 2 D_{3}$ ins, मुने b before तोमी:: Ms तो स्तवोभि:,
L $3 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{L}, 2}$ नमस्बैलोक्यविजयिनीम्यो.
L. $4 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{om}$. राजन्. $\mathrm{S}_{3}$ यदायक्षा. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. बं. $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{om}$. ; $\mathrm{N}_{1,2}$ त₹डु स्वाधोना बयं ; $D_{I}$ नद्धतुशाधि न: (for भनस्तवं शाधि न: $)$.

 oin. ; Ns. 2 Dr मन्यन्ते (for शनुमन्यन्ने ). D1.3 तर्शा (tor तथ: ). Ms $D_{3}$ ins. एव after कीशिकं.
 रादं ). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{5} .6$ ममर्वभावि; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ उपदर्शगारम ; $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ ममुपयाईि (for समथयामि).

 $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ इस्युक्ना (for fa)

L 7-8 $\mathrm{Ma}_{1} \mathrm{om}$. हति fिण्ञान्तः:
L 9 Di. 7 ₹कर्षाषिरोषिन-. $M_{1}$-बिमानेन ( for -निषानेन). $D_{1}$ - निषान-बेताब्वेना-. $D_{7}$ बेतारेन. $D_{4}$ बेतालानुगुष्यमानः:

कापालिकः। ( सहसोपसूल )। राजन् दिष्ट्या वर्धसे संसिद्ररसस्यास महानिधानस्य लाभाम्युयुयेन। तहुवयुन्यतां भगबानूसेन्द्र.।

यस्योपयोगाई्वधूय मृत्यु-
मासाच्च सघोऽमरलोकमार्गम्।
विरहबकरपद्रुममझरीणि
शिरांसि मेरोर्विछइन्ति सिद्धाः॥अ॥
राजा। ननु दासभावविमद्ममेत्। पवं किल वश्नितः स्वामी स्याव्।
कापालिकः। (साक्ष्र्यमाल्मगतम्)। भहो आसर्भर्यम्। भबतु, पघं ताबत्। (भकारमम)। यघ्येवं गृख्बतां सकल习्रस्यात्मनो निष्कपायैतन्महानिधानम्।

 (for संfिद्यरहEIIEय).

 $D_{3}$ after भगवाई. $M_{1}$ महारतेनद्ध:. $N_{z}$ ins. पर्य after रसेन्न:.

St. 34-a) $D_{3}$ यद्योपोगातू.-c) $P$ tz शाह्बकलन.
 and स्वामो.

L $8 \mathrm{~S}_{2.3 \mathrm{om} . ;} \mathrm{D}_{3.5}$ शाषर्यं (for साबर्यं). $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ स्वम० ( for
 om. (hapl.) all words from घहो श्राब्यर्य" to घहो क्षातं (p. 107, 14 .).
$L_{9} N_{1}$ ins. तरिं after ; $D_{x}$ before चाषता. $M_{1} D_{3}$ गुसतामिषं
 D2.4-6 St Ptr fनफिकाय.

Lio Ms om.; Ni Dt द्वं (for pad ).

राजा। कथमेषं भविष्यति। यतोऽधने दासभाबं मन्पन्ते। लाम्यर्यतस
 नामिनो निभृत्तमिकं महानिधानम्। कापालिकः। (साअर्षर्यमात्मगतम् )। अह्रो धैर्यम् अहो कानम् अहो

महानुभाबता च। अथषा
चलन्ति गिरयः कामं युगान्तपबनाहताः।
 तन्ममापि किमतिनिर्दन्धेन। (पकाशां केतालं पनि)। भम्र गम्यतां कियतामस्व राए्यः समोहितम् ।

 apparently assigning thus speech to the Kipälika! $N_{1} D_{1}$ साम्मर्थानुरोधत्तु ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ खाव्मार्मनू.








 भऐो atter कानें.


$N_{t, 2} M_{1} D_{1.7}$ om. वेतालं प्रनि. $M_{1}$ ins. कर्, atet मर.


वेतालः। अं साधभो भाणबेक्ष। (इति निष्काज्तः )।
कापालिकः। (समन्ताद्वलोक्य)। मो राजन् प्रभातमाया बर्तनेते विभावरी। तस्साधयिष्यामस्ताषत्।
राजा। मोः साधक स्मतर्तंव्या वयं तुस्थितकथास्तु।
5 कापालिकः। राजन् छेवतासर्वां स्मरिष्यन्ति। (इति निफ्कान्तः )।
राजा। ( प्राचीमवलोक्य सप्रसावम् )। अये कथम्
तमी बिभिद्य गहनं संध्याउणपुर:सरः।
अनुम्धाय लोकानामुवेस्पयमछर्पतिः ॥३६॥

 $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ति ( for हति ),

L $2 \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. समन्ताधवलोषव. $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ महाराज (for राजन्). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2 \text { भभातः }}$ प्रायो ; $D_{3} .4$ विभात्रशाया.
$\mathrm{L}_{2.3} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ प्रभात्रायं बतंते, omitring विभाबतरी. Mr रालिः; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ रूनी वर्तने ( for वर्तने बिभाबरी ).
$L_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ तथ: (for तर्). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ साधयामः; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ साधयाम; $D_{2.4} 6 S_{3}$ साधरिष्यामि; $D_{3}$ गचच्छामः ( for साधरिष्यामः). $D_{3}$ वयं ( for ताबत् ).
$L_{4-5} \quad S_{3}$ on. lines 4-5.


 तब स्मरिष्यनित ).

St. $3^{6-a)} \mathrm{N}_{1,2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ तमोनिर्मिषगएन-; $D_{1}$ तरो नि.िंब गाहं ; $D_{7}$


 तिशामि।
( सति निकाल्ब: )"
घति चतुर्थीक्ध्ं: समानः ॥
$L_{1} D_{1}$ घण ( for घfि). $D_{3}$ om. चहमीि माषती. $S_{3}$ om. भाषतों.
 सुपमम्य ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ भगषती भागीरणीमषतीर्य ( for भगषनांभाणंरखोलोर-).




 चतुवोईः:

## पश्व्वमोऽ茀:

( ततः प्रविशाति विक्टतमलिनहेषो राजा )
राजा। (सनिदेवेद नि:श्वस्स )। कष्' भोः कष्प्।
यद्वरं मुनिसत्तमस्य धुद्धदां त्यागस्तथा विकयो
दाराणां तनयस्य चेन्म्मपं चाण्डालदास्यं च थस्।
दुर्वांगणि मया कठोरहद्ययेनातानि मूढात्मना
यस्यैतानि फलानि दुष्छुतमद्धी किं नाम तद्दारणम् ॥शी"
(सबैक्नच्यम् )। अहो बलवती भवितव्यता। क्रुतः
मामानघ्रहितोधररं पभवता फुद्देन राज्यक्रिया
यद्विहलेपयतापि तेन मुनिना निःोषितं नस्र्र्यम्।
तश्रापि ब्चसनमियेण विधिना वृत्तं तथा निष्ठुरं
येनात्मा तनयः कलन्लरपप मे सर्वं विद्ुुतं क्षणात्त्रः॥
( चिन्तां नाटयिस्वा दीर्घं निःश्वस्प सवैक्लव्यम् )। अहछ
 $S_{3}$ क्तमलिनवेषो (for विकृत०).

L2 $\mathrm{D}_{2.7}$ om. राजा. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ om. निंष्षस.
St. 1-a) $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ हिजसतमसप. $\mathrm{D}_{4.5}$ (िकियो.—b) $\mathrm{D}_{2.4}$-6 $\mathrm{S}_{3}$
चर्ताल. $D_{5}$-दास ( for-दास्यं ).—c ) $D_{5.7} 7$ गूठास्मना.
$L_{7} M_{1} D_{4} \mathrm{om}$. ; $D_{1}$ यतः (for कृतः).
St. 2—a) $\mathrm{Mi}_{1}$ घहरता. $\mathrm{N}_{1} 2$ राजभ्रिया ; $S_{2.3}$ राज्यंश्रियो.—b) $\mathrm{S}_{2}$
 The portion from s्यसन to निष्ठुरं, added on the upper margin, is partially worm-eaten and lost in $D_{7},-d$ ) $D_{3}$ बिनe' (for fuलुषं ).

L $12 \mathrm{M}_{1}$ नाटर्यति. $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ om. दीर्घ'. $\mathrm{D}_{2.4} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{Ptr} .2$ ins. च after दीर्मे.


कर्तब्यं किल चिम्तयस्यनुद्दिन सा निकरयं षेतसा।
प्राणनामबलम्बनं च कुछके भूयोडपि मस्संगमे
दा कष्टं यद्विमां क्रामुपगतं पार्प न सा षेष्ति माम् ॥झ॥ ( नि:श्वस्म )। हT वस्स रोहिताश्व

धार्रोजना क्रहातनुर्ललितः कथं नु
भूमी चिरं लुठसि बस्स विरुढनिद्रः।
स्वामघ पार्थिवशतैरसिनन्द्विताए-
मानापयन्ति वटवः श्रुतरुर्दुरुढाः ॥४॥
( चिरं बिचिम्स्य सकरणम् )।
सजो मौलिरयं पतन्कु विपवस्तासां क्रते खागत
यत्सत्यं कृतकृत्यमुस्थमनसां तुल्या विपत्सेपा।
बस्स त्वं तु दुनोषि मेऽघ हाँ ग्रेनाक्रशय्योचितः
फूरेणाकृतकरुत्य पष सहसा दोोरसि वैषाहिना ॥ध॥
(साराक्रम्)। श्राए्तं पापम्।
फूरेणाकृतकृत्य पख गमितो वेवेन कपां द्राम् ॥









 $\mathrm{D}_{1.4}$ काला़ाईना (for देवा०).

 ( cl. ad V. 8). Mı om. lines $\mathbf{5 5}^{-16 .}$
( वामास्थिस्प्व्लं सूलयित्वा दक्षिण्मुजस्फुरणं क्ष)। स्पन्वते बामनयनं बाहुः स्फुरति वक्षिणः। ब्यसनाभ्युव्यौं माताविंदं कथयतीष मे ॥६॥
( विचिन्स्य )। अथबा किमधापि क्यसनाम्युष्यखिन्तया।
पर्यातः बलु दुराल्मा द्वरिघ्वम्दहतकः। तथा है अतःपरं यद्बसनं नूनमम्युझयो हि सः। पापस्याभ्युब्यद्वारमिदार्नीं मरणं हि मे ॥७॥ ( प्रविस्यापदाक्षेपेण)
चण्डालः। अले चुद्यदा।
10 राजा। (साराक्रम् )। भद्र किं सुतस्य।






 $D_{3}$ घ्यसनाम्युद्यविन्ता; $D_{4}$ अ्यबनाम्युद्यविन्तायाः ; $D_{7}$ व्यसनोदयविन्तया.
$L_{5} D_{3}$ पर्याति:. $N_{2} D_{3}$ दुरास्मनो ( $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ दुराल्मा) हरिषन्नहतन्夫स. $\mathrm{H}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mr}$ om. तथा fá

St. 7-c) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ अम्दुदये द्वारं ; $\mathrm{D}_{1}$ घम्युवयम्थनं., -d) $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ शारणां मम (for मरएँं हि मे ).
 Some Mss ins. चारडालः or चएठालः atter झपटाहेगेय.

Lg $D_{2.6}$ om. ब्रगाल: here, but uns. as above. D1 om. ; $D_{3}$
 उद्वस ; St PtI शुद्स.
 after साशर्ध. Mi $D_{1}$ om. भा. $D_{5}$ om. fिं. $D_{1}$ ins. नाम atcer fि. Dó transp. fif and तुत्स्प.


 गमानिए। (रति निक्ताप्तः)।

## सता। (परिमामति)।















 $D_{7}$ gब- ( as in text ) ; Ptz gax- ( for gax-). All Mss (except $D_{3}$ as



 (for rade).
 other Mss and $\mathrm{Pc}_{\mathrm{c}}$ गुण्ञामि.



## ( केपथ्ये)

## पा जाए कहिं सि। देहि मे पडिव्वरण।

ताला। (धुख्वा सकरणम्)। अह्ड दारणः प्रलापः। ( ततः भविशाति चथानिद्विश्टा बैकूर्य नाटयम्ती हैैप्या)
5 शौष्या। हा जाव करीं सि। देहि मे पडिवअणं। ( रति सम्म नाटयित्वा
 परिस्ता तथा तुर्म पि झ मन्दमार्दणि परिब्धसि। (डति मोहं ना़्र्यति )।
$L_{2} D_{1}$ ins. दायातं after कft.
$\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{2.4} \mathrm{om}$. (hapt.) all words from र्राजा ( $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ from 组णा ) up


 place र्षष्या after यथानिदिधृ. $S_{2.3}$ om. केक्रम्मं नाटयन्ती.
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Sa}_{2} 3$ द्वा जाद घरि पठिषा, omitting the remaining words. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ om. 反fत. $M_{1} D_{2.4-6}$ ins. परिसा after इति. $N_{1.2} D_{1.3} S_{2}$ नाट्यति (for गाटयिस्वा).

L 6 Dr.2.5-7 $S_{1}$ Ptr. 2 ins. ศिरं; $D_{4}$ ins. बिरेगा ; $S_{2}$ ins. निरात्

 $N_{1.2} M_{1}$ दारिए; $D_{3}$ दे दारिए; $D_{4}$ जं (for दारिं).
 पिडुएया जषा पलिखतं कषा; $D_{3}$ पिद्युा परिकां; $D_{4} \cdot 6$ पिद्युा कि अभा पहिया
 धिड्डाना पि दे जका वरिक्ता तबा).

 सम्दमाइयीं: $D_{7} S_{2} P_{22}$ परिषयनि. $D_{3}$ om. इति.
$18 D_{2.4}$ गारयित्ज ( for जाट्रति ).
 परिस्यक। सर्वथा सर्षत्त निफकुणता हतविजे।
शैज्ञा। (ससक्षममुल्धाय)। किं कु पदं बहुि। करिं गदो मे पुत्त्रो। ( हष्दा परिब्बज्य)। पुत्रम किं ति मं णालबसि। एभाइणी भाभामि क्यु भहां। कि णा पेक्ससि। पद मीसर्ण महामसाण। © ( सोम्मा६म्)। कि भणासि। उबक्भाभस्स कारणादो ऊुस्रुमाँं

 $\mathrm{D}_{2} .4 .6 \mathrm{Sa}_{\mathrm{om}}$ omft.
$L_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4}$ निक्रकणा. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ किषे: ( for खतीिष:).
$L_{3} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ सभर्य (for ससं,्रमं). $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ घबलोक्य (for उउषाय). $\mathrm{Nt}_{1,2}$



 D2.507 gnal.






 $S_{2}$ महायरायां.

L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{2}$ om. सोन्मा६ं. Na (cortupt) उ्वग्कार्यकरणां; Mt


 รя
 ( स्सअ्यमम्)। कहिं सो कजाही। किं वि म ध बसेवि। ( हमन्तादबलोष्य)। अहिस अहिसं। इरो पर्थ कर्डाही।






 Ptz fa (for fin).
$L_{2} D_{1}$ ins. उस्षाय after षसंधर्रमं. $N_{1} D_{1} S_{1} P_{t 1,2}$ repeat ofi. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ एबो ( for बो ). $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ काती ; $\mathrm{D}_{2} 6 \mathrm{Pta}_{2}$ क्तारि; $\mathrm{D}_{3.5}$ क्याती ; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$


$L_{3} M_{1} D_{3} \mathrm{om}$. बमम्बाष्कलोक्ष. $M_{1} D_{5}$ do not repeat wfितिं;








 $D_{1}$ विलस्लेतसंभवाएं; $D_{7}$ fिलक्षिलसंभवार'.
 text-reading in $\mathrm{D}_{1} .4 S_{3}$; the remaining Mss om. श. $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1} S_{3}$




 गदोबि। हा हदृसि मम्बमाइली। ( रति मूर्जों भट्यति) ।
 ध्याइएरा: 1


$L_{1} N_{1.2} D_{1}$ बभभम्म्भारियो; $D_{7}$ ब्यारिखो (sic). Ns $D_{8}$

 Da. 7 St Ptr. 2 ([yन्ती.





 $D_{7}$ om. इवि वूर्णां बारूपि.
$L_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mi}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{1.3} \mathrm{om}$. काल. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ fिलेख्यमी ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ fिखेखं.






 Mr fिघ
 समाविद्धा की पसणेण पालणीयो पसो बालयो। तह मए पाबसीलाए तथा ण पर्यिणण'।
राजा। (सबिहोषकछणम्)। अहो मर्मस्पृंशि परिदेवितानि।
 भुर्दमियक्कभासुरं णिडालवहं, इमे सुसणणाद्यपम्बले पज्लन्तपाउले
Lः $N_{3,2} D_{7}$ दारि ; $D_{4}$ दायों. $N_{2}$ बीसस्तहिघशो; $D_{1}$ किष्ल००



$L_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ समाबिट्टो; $\mathrm{D}_{1.4}$ घमादिका; $\mathrm{D}_{3.6} \mathrm{PtI}$ समादिघन. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$
 $D_{1}$ एथो. $N_{t} M_{1} D_{7} S_{1} P_{t r 2}$ ins. के after एसो. $D_{3}$ om.; $D_{5}$ जालोषो (for षालश्रो ). $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2 \mathrm{MI}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ins, fि after बालभो. MI om. ; $D_{3}$ च (for ${ }^{-1}$ ). $D_{7}$ मम ब (for मए ).
$L_{3} M_{1} D_{1.7} P_{t 2}$ पाप-; $S_{3}$ बाष- ( for पाष्-). $D_{3}$ om.; $D_{1}$ तथा ; $S_{3}$ वर ( for कथा). $D_{7}$ om. बा. $S_{2}$ पर्वपणणं; $P_{t 2}$ परिव्याया.
 $M_{1}$ ममह्ट्र् परिदेषिषं. $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ ins. घालापानि ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ins. पालयामि after परिवैितानि.











 तधा सबसंघस्स अज्ञडत्सस मम मन्व्राइणीए बरिदे पमादो। सख्वधा अभारणो धम्मो, अप्पमाणं ह. लक्सण, अलिभवा़िणो विण्णाणात्तका। जदो बहलो -



 (for -fिधियाया-).






 (corrupt) (for स्षसंषसत ). $D_{3}$ मं (for मम ). $D_{4}$ मन्षमाच्रयीए ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ मन्द्याइली.
$L_{3-4} N_{1.2}$ बरिदे घा पमादो; $D_{1}$ बरिते बा पमादो ; $M_{1} D_{3.7} P_{t 2}$ बरिते ( $M_{1} D_{7}$ गरिते) पमादों; $S_{3}$ चरिते बमादो.
$L_{4}$ Alter पमादो, $N_{1}$ ins. जादो व्वदस्म; $N_{2}$ ins. बुयस्म. $S_{3}$ बम्लहा ( for षम्वषा). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ घभारषषम्मो. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. ज.


 जसो; $D_{4}$ ज्षा ( for ज्यो). $D_{1}$ चुयो.

निद्वा्वस्रीं बमाषिद्वमिट सानुरुणियकेशि जबा


 काबम् )। अये कथम









 है, $D_{7}$ ते एसो, $P t z$ हो (for एसो). $M_{1}$ gुत्तो; $D_{2} 6$ gात ; $D_{7}$







 (for बतीत ). $\mathrm{Dt}_{1}$ जालोक्ष ( for घबलोक्त ).



छनाकारमिद शिर: पृथुललाटान्त्र विरालेभ्भणन
चका है चरणी करौ सकमलावाजानुलग्बी भुजौ।
क्षात्म मश्यमुरो विशालमुद्रं तुच्छ कटि: पीवरा
नूरं भूपकुलाप्रःः रिश्युरयं साप्राज्यचिक्बाक्तितः ॥८
(स्तृतिममिनीय सबैक्नव्यम्)। क巴मियता कालेन घस्सो 5 रोहिताश्वो नूनमस्यामेष घयोऽवस्थायां बर्तरे। तदभिशाक्रोे मे द्वद्यम्। अथषा प्रतिहतमम
शैब्या। (सोपालम्ममाकाओो)। भअव कोसिभ किख्त्यो दाणिं सि। राजा। (सावेगम्)। कथं भगवन्त्र कीशिकमुपालभते। सर्षणा

न किंचिन्भ संवृति। तकल परणरिष्रहाइ्यया शैब्वेवेयम्। ${ }^{10}$
$S_{t .} 8 \rightarrow$ ) $D_{7}$ (before corr.) नन्दाकारं ; $S_{3}$ निताकार: $S_{3}$ पg-
 दोचापुर:
$L_{5}$ D6 Si Pti on. कृमियता कलेन बस्सो. $D_{4} 6 S_{1}$ Pti. 2 ins. मे after बस्तो.
L. 6 D2 corrupt; D6 नृनमस्य (for नूनमसामेष). Dt तथवित-; $S_{3}$ यवभि- (for तदवमि-).
$L_{7} N_{1,2}$ ins. करणीं विधाय before घ्रपवा and शान्न पार्प after it.

L $8 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{MI}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2}$ कीसिश्र; $\mathrm{D}_{3} .6$ कौशिर. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ ins. हैंबं before किस्थो. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{Mi}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ कहल्यो; $\mathrm{D}_{1} \mathrm{~S}_{2.3}$ कस्यो (for किसर्यो). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$
 मूर्ष्धति after fि.

L $9 \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{om}$. कut. $D_{3}$ om. माबन्वं.




( चिरमवल्रोक्य सकरणम्)। क्रतमधापि संदेहेल। कुतः सा वाणी करुणार्तनादविकलाष्यामन्द्रतन्ज्धीखना ते चामी भ्रमौौघनीलकुषिटाः पर्याक्रुला मूर्धजाः। तान्यह्रानि फ्रहान्यमूनि सहसा दुष्पल्यभिखानि मे फान्तिः सैब पुराणचित्नमलिना रेखामिक्षीयते।ः हा घत्स रोहिताश्व कासि। देहि मे प्रतिवचनम्। ( हति भूर्छितः पतति। संबां लम्द्या रोहिताश्वस्य मुखमबलोक्य)। अनुम्भिध्मानदरानाद्युरस्य सरामि शैरावं मम्द्वागतः।

प्रथितम म्रलग्युग्गुत्रकल्पित
भतनुलोलजडावलिमण्डितम्।
मधुपलत्विवमुत्धसरोरह-
धुरिति मुखं तदिषें न विराजले ॥१०
LI $\mathrm{N}_{1,2}$ ins. वदल्लम् श्रवलोकयामि ताषदिवानीं; $\mathrm{MI}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. तद्बलोक्लामि तावद्देना ; Dr ins. तद्वल्लोकयामि तावत् ; $D_{7}$ ins. सवैक्रष्यं betore चिरमबलोक्य. $D_{1} S_{3}$ श्भालोक्य ( for श्र्ववलोक्य ). $S_{2.3}$ सकौतुकं (for सकरणां ). $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ चिरं ( for कृतं ). $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ घ्रह्यापि ( for श्रघापि). $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{om}$. कृतमबापि संदेहेन कृतः. $S_{3}$ om. कृतं. $N_{1} M_{1} D_{1} .7$ om. कुतः,
 most Mss दु:प्रल्यभिक्षानि.-d) DI पुराएचित्नलिखितr. $\mathrm{D}_{2} .6 \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{PtI}_{\text {सेख्याभिः }}$ ( for रेखाभि: ).

L $6 \mathrm{MI} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ ins. नृपक्रल ( M 1 ॰कुलनन्द) चालम्रवाल after रोहिताश. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ प्रयष्छ ( for देढि ). $\mathrm{D}_{2} .6 \mathrm{SI}_{\mathrm{PtI}}$ transp. देधि and मे.
$L_{7} D_{3} S_{2}$ मूर्घ्वति (for मूर्घितः पतरि). $D_{5}$ पतितः ; $S_{2} .3$ पतित्वा
 ( for चघलोक्ष).
 मान-. $D_{1}$-दन्ता हुरस्य (for -दशना०). $D_{3}$ ins. ते before; $N_{1} M_{1} D_{1}$ ins. ते after सरामि. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ हिितं (for औौशबं मन्द्याग्यः).



हा बस्स रोदिताश्ब हा तपनकुलबालभ्वाल हा
 घधानपणय।

नेष्टं न द्त्तं न कुलोचितानि
सुसान्यवासानि यरो न कीर्णम्।
न्यमोधबीजा हुरमूषरस्थं
विउम्बयन्बस्स दिवं गतोडसि ॥??
अपि च वत्स
मूर्धाभिषेकपयसा न पविबितसे
कानै: करौ न चरणावरिमोलिपातैः।
जातौ धन्तुर्पुणकिणाक्रधरौ न बाह
लर्धोवयः भरिपदिन्दुरिखासि नЕः ॥??

 प्रवाल.
 हा कृषवन ).
 प्रभानपरय.
$L_{3} S_{3} \mathrm{om}$. ; $\mathrm{Di}_{1}$-र्रथम- ( for न्रधान- ).
St. in Before this verse, $D_{3}$ ins. पर्य ; $D_{4}$ ins. आतल एताबलो उर्वाष्व





L $8 \mathrm{D}_{2}$ om. chis line. Mi $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. षtre.
St. $12 D_{2}$ om. this vers.-6) $D_{4}$ छरणाषरमीनिए. $N_{2}$-मीकीज-

(विचिन्स्य)। वत्किमिहोपसुल्य विलपन्ल्या देव्या: कथयाम्यात्मानम्। अधवा न गुक्रमिमां तनयरोकदल खद्राविपर्ययेणापरेणोद्धडयितुम्। (आत्मानमवलोक्य)। दुरात्मन् हरिश्रन्द्रह्तक कथमयापि न घ्रियसे। किमतः परं इक्ष्यसि। (हति मूर्धां नाटयति। शनैनैन्मील्य)। डुरात्मन् हरिक्षन्द्रहतक यदेतानिकानीमपि हतमाणाम्न परित्यजसि तत्किमात्मधातिनो लोकादार्मानं परिब्नातुमिच्छसि। धिध्ञूखे


$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Nr}_{2} \mathrm{MI}_{3} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ युक्नमिदादनी ( for युक्कभिमां).
 टघितुं; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupt; Mr -विपर्येगोर्ध्घाटयितुं; $D_{3}$-विर्यंयेयात्मानमुद्धाट-
 यापरेयोद्धाचितु. $D_{3}$ खं ( for श्राह्मानं).
 हरिष्ट्रातक (16) but ins. the passage later after परिलजसि (16). Ni. 2 D $_{1.7} 7$ प्रियते (for fियसे ).
$L_{5} N_{1.2}$ घष्डुमिच्छसि (for द्रं्यसि) ; for the reading of $D_{3}$ see below ( $1_{7}$ ). D $D_{1,2}$ नाटयिस्वा (for नाटयति). DI ins. ततः before श्रनेश. $D_{4}$ उन्मीलययति (for उन्मोल्य ).

 प्रायान ( for ०्राषान्त). Di. 3 ल्यकि ( for परिस्यकि ).
 words from बतिकमासमषावितो up to fिस्प्रूर्ब ). $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{Mr}_{1}$ भार्मषातिता; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$

 किस्पूर्ष ).

घरमधैब निर्मद्ममन्धे तमसि दाएणे।
पुश्राननेन्दुरहिता न पुनर्वीक्षिता विशः ॥ध३
अपि च
अन्धंतमः-कफच-बैतरणीण्वशीचि-
चण्डासिपत्रवन-रौरव-्राब्मलोषु।
नैतैपु सन्ति नरकेष्वपि यातनास्ता
टुःखेन यास्तनयविम्टनजेन तुल्याः ॥३४
तबलं विलम्बेन। भघनु भागीरधधीतीरम्रपत्तेन घुत-
शोकाम्यिकह्यमानमात्मान निर्वापयामि। (घति मन्द्ं
 c) $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} .2} \mathrm{Mi}_{1}$ तनयान ) Pt2 $\begin{array}{ll}\text { En1 ( } \\ \text { for दिशः ). } & \text { Ms transp. St. } 13 \text { and St. } 14 .\end{array}$

St. 14-a) $N_{1.2}$ बैद्तरशोसीवोचो-; $D_{1}$-बैतरणीज सुचि-; $M_{1} D_{3.7}$


 Mss oविक्त⿱जेन. | Among Narakas mentioned in the Purặ̆as (Vị̣nu, Padma, Devī) we have the names of Andhatamissa, Krakacchada, Pūyavaha or Pūyoda, Víci, Asipacravana, Raurava, Sālmalī, Vaitaraṇì and Avici ]. Mi (for वुर्या:). Ms transp. the first and second halves of this $S t$.

L $8 \mathrm{D}_{3}$ बिलम्बितेन (for विलाम्बेन). $\mathrm{N}_{1,2}$ भागीरपीतीरठटग्रातेन। $\mathrm{Ms}_{1}$ भागीरपीप्रणावेत ; $\mathrm{D}_{2.4 .6} \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{I}-3} \mathrm{Pri.2}$ भागीरपोतटोपान्तेश ; $\mathrm{D}_{5.7}$ -रहोपान्ने.



L 9 Mı D3 om. \&fa.

परिक्रम्य स्टृतिमभिनीय ससंभमम्)। अहह मनाभ्पराधीनमार्मालं विस्मृतोडस्मि। (विचिल्स्य सवैकुन्यम्) ) कषँं भो: कеमू।

मरणान्विर्थृति थान्ति धन्वाः खाधीनषृत्तयः।
भात्मविक्षयिणः पापाः प्रणत्यागेडप्यनीश्वराः ॥ई'\{॥ (वैक्लूयं नाटयित्वा)। तद्समावपि मनोरथात्र्रहोरस्मि मन्वभाग्यः। क्रुतः

वारणस्यास्य कु:ब्बस घैयेसस्येव मैषजम्।
तुर्वर्वरविनिपतोडर्य अतुंराक्षाब्यतिकमः ॥\}६॥
( सावष्मम्)। तधावदिवानीमसखरोकामिव्लमानमार्मानं विषेकवारिणा सं्त्तम्य भर्वुराबां भ्रमाणीकरोमि।
 माापाч.

Li-2 Nr. 2 Mr Dr. 7 पराधोनउतिितमाह्मानें.
$L_{2} D_{2} 6$ विस्टृताfि (sic) (for विस्सृतोsस्स ). N1.2 ins. मन्द्भाग्यः after बिस्टृतोड्म.
$\begin{array}{ll}L_{3} & S_{3} \mathrm{om} . \\ \text { m8'. }\end{array}$
St. 15-a) N1.2 D4 निद्धिति; D6 निर्शेतिं.
L6 $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ सबैक్कब्ं ( for बैकुष्यं ). $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ om. ; $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ नाटयति ( for
 ( for घघोरसि ).
L. 6-7 $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. मन्द्राग्य:.
$L_{7} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ यत: ( for कृतः ).



 ससमालं ; $D_{3}$ घठरोण

## มतः

मध्ये उ्यक्तमनाद्विविधमवश्रावव्यक्तमाध्यन्तयो:
पश्षत्वं प्रक्कतिः किलास्य जगतस्तत्पंत्रधा सभृतम्।
संसारार्णववीचिभक्रघलनैर्योगा वियोगौ: समा-
स्तन्मोहादपपरं न वेषि विदुणां शोकस्प यत्कारणम् ॥? ज
5
औौद्या। (संखां लब्चा)। कधं पदं हद्जीविनं ण मं परिस्थाधि । ता किं णु क्खु पत्थ करणिज्ञं। (अभ्रूणि परिमृज्य)। योदु एदस्सिं मसाणपाद्वे अक्ताणभं उब्बन्धिभ बावाद्धसं। (इति पारां रचयति ) ।
राजा। (छष्टा ससंभ्रमम्)। अह्ह इ्वमपरमापतिते जीवितन्यसनफलम्। 10 तत्किं करोमि मन्द्रभाग्यः। (विचिन्स्य)। भवतु, पवं तावर्। ( एकान्ते स्थित्वा )।
L. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ ins. संशधार्य as stage direction before यत: .
 $\mathrm{D}_{1}$-चलनैं: ; $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$-बलै: ( for -बलनै:). $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ योगो वियोगेः समं, -d) $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ते माहात् ; $S_{2}$ संमोह्हात् ( for तन्मोहात ).
L. $6 \mathrm{~N}_{1.2}$ लबधसंक्षा (for संक्जो लबख्वा). $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ कहं ; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ करयं (for कषं ). Dı ius. श्रज्ञ fि after कधं ; Ms ins. after हृजीविषं. Ns एर्ं (for एदं ). D6 यां (for mा). Ni. $2 \mathrm{M}_{1}$ transp. गा and मं.
 $\mathrm{D}_{1.7}$ करइस्सं; $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ करिद्ससं; $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ कररचालो ; $\mathrm{D}_{5}$ करिणग्ं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ विमृष्य ; $P_{t 2}$ श्रमृन्य ( for शश्रश्राया परिमृज्य ).
 पादवे ; $S_{3}$ मसायाभाॠवे. $D_{2}$ om.; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ घभ्भागां: $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ घप्पमायां (for


 $D_{3}$ onn, chis word); $D_{2.4-7} S_{1.3}$ ( $S_{2}$ missing) Pts.2 इल्यम्यतो गखणा. $M_{1}$
 which N1.: insert later below after St. 18. [Variants: $D_{1.5 .6}$
 (for बान्तीत्याषि). $D_{5}$ पहित्वा (for पठति). $M_{1} D_{3.4} S_{3} P_{t 2}$ ins, उध्चै: after पठ居].

राजा। (पुनरन्यतो गस्वा मरणाब्रिर्तृतिं यान्ति (५ा९५) घ्यादि पठति )।
शब्या। ( आकरण्र्य संंभ्रम पारामुत्स्जज्य)। हदी हत्री मरणमहूसकासत्तहिभभाए पराधीणजीविद्दाए दासत्तण पि मए विस्तुमसिं।

St. 18 -Di.2.5.6 om. this verse.-a) $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ 够 (for स. ).—b)
 $P_{t 2}$ जाया ; $D_{7}$ त्रे ( for माया ). $N_{1}$ न परस्य ; $N_{2} D_{7}$ श्रपस्य ; $M_{3}$ अर्रणप++ (for भवश्य ). $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}, 2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ घर्ष्धा ; $\mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3.4} \mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{ta}}$ भूमयो (for

 पारलौकिकः -
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{Ms}_{4-7} \mathrm{~S}^{\left(\mathrm{S}_{2} \text { missing ) Ptr. } 2 \text { om. this line. }\right.}$
 (S2 missing) Ptr. 2 ins. , thins line above (see above p. ${ }^{127}$, (12. v.l).

L 8 Mi om. भाकार्य and transp. ससंश्रमं and पारमुस्स्तण ). Ni, 2

 before मरण 0 .

 $D_{3}$ om. ; $D_{1}$ दासितयां; $D_{2} 6$ दाधातायं; $D_{5}$ दाहरं ( lor रासतथं ). $D_{3}$ $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}, 3} \mathrm{Ptz}$ fif( for fí).

भणणस्सि पि जम्मन्तो ईमादे दासभाबादो ण विमुका भविस्सं।

 ( हल्यासानां पातयित्वा सहसोल्याय अखूणि पमृंज्य)। केशिय दाणिं अम्पदीभारदाइके बसाविसंताओे परिेषिबक्ं। ता ह कालोचिकं कुुल द्वसिस्षणसमुचिपाए कुस्स्साए विभबर्व

 word) वरिगुका इमादो दासभावादो; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ agreeing but corrupt; $\mathrm{D}_{1.7}$ भाषं fि परिमुक्ता ( $D_{7}$ या परिमुना for chese words) इसादो दासमाबादो भििस्सं (for इमादो दासमावादो यु विमुक्षी भविस्सं ).
$L_{2} D_{3}$ दोर्घपुण्यं (for दोर्षं). Some Mss निभ्ष्य. $D_{1}$ ins. मो
 transp. मरिदुं पि गा लभी घदि and भघ्रवदो स्ञाधादो ( $1_{3}$ ). $S_{3} \mathrm{fa}$ (for fu). $M_{1}$ मरणं ( for परिषु) ).
 $D_{4}$ लभाि ; $D_{7}$ लद्रः ; $S_{2.3}$ लंभबि ( for लभीयकि). $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1.3}$ ता






 रे बिद्वो ; Pta परिरेगीद्यम.
 $M_{1}$ घसडाएँ ; $D_{2}$ सस्टाए।.

आराधअन्ती वदोववासणिभमेहिं अत्ताणअं परिसोधइसं जधा $ण$ र्मस्सिं मणुस्सलोए पुणो वि संभविस्सं मन्दभाइणी। （ शति चितां रचयति ）।
ताजा।（ छष्ट्रा सकरणम ）। अये भार्ध्यनलया कालोचितम्।（ भातम－ गतम् ）। साधुुदे देखि साधु। नास्यामष्यवस्थायामतिकान्तमाभि－ जात्यम्। तदृ्धमिदानीमुपषल भर्तुराषां प्रमाणीकरोमि। （तथा क्रत्वा सवैक्लव्यं सबैलक्ष्यं च）। देवि।（सत्यधौंके मुसमाबृपोति）। महाभागे
$\mathrm{L}_{1} \mathrm{Ni}_{\mathrm{Dr}} \mathbf{3} \mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ भाराइसन्ती ； $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ चाराहयन्तीप． $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{Mr}_{2}$
 $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{r} .2}$－निभमेहीं． $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{1}$ ins．तथा before भरतायाअं． $\mathrm{D}_{4.5}$ भासायं． MI $D_{1.2 .4-7} S_{1}$ PtI． 2 परिसोसइस्सं；$D_{3}$ सोसइस्सं ；$S_{3}$ परिदोसिइस्सं．
$L_{2} D_{3} S_{3}$ यह（ for जषा）．$N_{1.2} M_{1} D_{1.3}$ om．ब． $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ om． इमस्सि． $\mathrm{N}_{1} .2 \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{I} .3 .7}$ मान्युसलोए． $\mathrm{N}_{1} .2$ या भविस्सं ₹विसी（ $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{om}$ ．this word）；$M_{1}$ पुयो संभबिस्सं；$D_{1}$ च पुयो fि भविससं；$D_{3}$ पुयो fि या उभ्मिस्ं（ for पुयो fि धंभविस्तं）．The passage from मं（ in मन्द्वाइयी ） up to the end of the work are lost on missing folios in $D_{1}$ ．
$\mathrm{L}_{3} \mathrm{Ma}$（before corr．）नाटयति（ for रवयति）．
$L_{4} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om．区्ट्व and श्रये． $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{M}_{1}$ श्रारवं ； $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupe（for भररषं ）． $\mathrm{N}_{1}, 2$ लोकोनितं कमें； $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ कालोचितं कमें（for कालोखितं ）．
$L_{4-5} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s} .2}$ onl．； $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{Pt2}$ खगतं（ for घारमगता ）．
$\mathrm{L}_{5} \mathrm{~S}_{2} \mathrm{om}$ ．second साझ． $\mathrm{D}_{2.5} .6 \mathrm{om}$ ．घाप． $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ घष्यापातममप्प－ fिकान्तं（ for भषस्थायामतिकान्व））．
$\mathrm{L}_{5}-6 \mathrm{Mr}$ श्राभिजने（ for काभिजाल्ल＇）．

 थमोंज．
 घहाभाषे．

#  <br>  

 तहुपनीयतां मे मृतकम्षलः। (द्रति स्वाप्वस्तम्भ करे प्रसार्यति )। राजा। ( दीडां नाटििस्वा स्थितः )।
शौष्या। (रोटिताश्षस्प शरीरात्पटमाकृष्यार्पयन्ती इस्तं समालोष्य सविस्समाल्मगतम्)। कर्ध चकवष्षिलक्षणसणाद्दो बि अमं पाणी ईमस्स बाबारस्स उवणीदो। (शानैः प्रत्यक्रमबलोक्य
 Instead of the entire verse, $S_{2}$ reads म्रक्वा मस्परिस्रान्त् हति पहित्वा; $S_{3}$ अदस्वा मरतिसानमिलयादि पठिसा.
 $M_{1}$ सावहम्भं ( for समाप्पत्रम्भं).
$L_{5} N_{2} D 6$ नाटरति ; $D_{5}$ नाटरिस्ता ( For नाटयन्तो).$D_{3}$ ins.



L $6 \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{s} .2} \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{P}_{62}$ वोरा नाटर्यकि, omitting स्थित्र:. $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ भाटयन् (for नारयिला).

 तं before भर्पयन्ती. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ on. घर्र्यन्ती. $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I} .2}$ ins, राभ: before हलं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ fिलोक्य ; $D_{3.7}$ भबलोक्य (for समालोष्य).

L $8 \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{om}$. सधिसयमाहमातं. $\mathrm{S}_{2}$ स्वातं (for घरमातृं). $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}, 2}$ ददी

 $\mathrm{D}_{3.7} \mathrm{om}$. fa.
 $S_{2}$ Pti. 2 ins. चपसल before घयनै:. Mi $D_{3.7}$ repeat घनी:. $D_{7} P_{t 2}$ भाबोक्य ( for अघलोक्य).

राजा। (अपसूत्य)। ऐेवि न मiं श्वपाकदास्यवूषित स्पष्डुमहंसि। तस्समाश्वसिद्धि समाश्वसिधि।

- सौष्या। (समाश्वस्स)। दडी हद्धी किणेषे।

राजा। कर्मणां विपाक। तइलं परिदेवितेन। उपनीयतामेतस्।
शौष्या। (सवैक्लुव्यमर्पयति) ।
( भाकाशात्तुओप्पृृ्टिः। उभौ सविसमयमबलोकयतः )।


 $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~mm}$. m.
 $\mathrm{D}_{4}$ does not repeat परिक्ति.
$L_{3}$ Mr om.; Ni. 2 सqर्श- ( for -दास - ).
$L_{4} \mathrm{Mr}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{2.5}$ do not repear समाअधिदि.
$L_{5} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ om. ; Mi leaves space for समाभर्य. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ ins. उत्याय after समाषस्य. $\mathrm{N}_{2} \mathrm{Mz}$ om. ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ does not repeat ; $\mathrm{D}_{2.3} \mathrm{~S}_{1} \mathrm{Ptr}$ हो हों
 किरोोदे. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D} 6$ कें गेदं ; $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ किं खु किं यु एदें; $\mathrm{S}_{2.3}$ किं एवं (for किएयेषं).
 fिपाए: ). Ni. 2 om. घललं परिपेबितेन and ins. गे after उपनीयवल. $\mathrm{Mr} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ $P_{t 2}$ परिदेविते:. $N_{1,2} M_{1} D_{3}$ मृत्म ल्ल ( for एतर ).
$L_{7} N_{1.2}$ सवेक़ब्ब नाटfिस्बा ध्रर्पयति; $M_{1} D_{3}$ तथा करते (for

 $D_{5}$ परसमरं ( for सबिसयं ). $\mathrm{N}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{12}$ भाब्बोष्षात: (for भषलोष्षतः ).

## राज्ञा। फथमाकाशात्पुष्पषृष्धि।।

| ( नेपष्ये) <br> अहो दानमछो शीलमहो घैरैमहो क्षमा। <br>  |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

शैष्या। (धुत्वा सस्राषम्)। अम्मो को वारि अज्जउस्स गुणसलादाए के मे हिअधं आसासेप्रि। अधषा अलं गुणकधाए। अख्ज्तो जि जाम इम अवरथन्तरं अणुभोवि। सब्वधा अभारणो धम्मो। धरणणबदियं सबवं। अन्धआरणकिदं सणवं विण्णायं।
$L_{1} \quad \mathrm{Ms}$ om. lines $\mathrm{i}-2$.
$\mathrm{L}_{2}$ From नेप्ये to the end of the work, the passages are lost on missing folios in $\mathrm{S}_{2}$.



 $M_{1}$ गुणसमझोहिं ; $D_{3}$ गणसलाइये ; $S_{3}$ गुप्षलिलाएए.

 $D_{7}$ सब्वषा ; ocher $\mathrm{Mss}^{2}$ ( $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{S}_{2}$ missing) and $\operatorname{Pat}_{t}\left(\right.$ except $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t}, 2}$ as









 ins. $₹$ before fिएखाग :
( ततः शविरियति धर्मः )
 तथा fि पस्य

अन्येषां ये दुलीभाः पार्थिवार्ना
6.

तानेबाहं घ्लहालोक्षपूता-
नासो दातुं शाश्वतानघ लोकान् ॥२२॥
तक्ल विषादेन। बत्स रोहिताश्ब समाश्वसिहि समाश्वसिहि।
राजा। (ध्टा सछेर्षम्) क कथमर्य माबान्धर्मः। भगक्र् अमिबाबये।
शौप्या। भभवं पणमामि।
रोढिताश्वः। ( शानैं शनैनैर्म्मील्ययति)।
धर्मः। समाश्वसिति चस्स स्वं पिश्रा धर्मेण पालितः।

 (for मकारां).

St. 21-b) $M_{1}$ फार्यैं: ( for दानै: ). $N_{1.2}$ घ्रार्जता: ; $M_{1} D_{7}$ निर्जिता:



L $8 \mathrm{M}_{1}$ विपादेन ( for fिषादेन ). $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ do not repeat समाभ्षसिद्धि.
Lg $N_{1.2} D_{3}$ सीिसयं , $M_{1}$ ससंधरं ( for सहषं ). Ni. 2 ins. घये
 भाना ( for भगवांक).

$L_{12} N_{1.2} D_{7}$ do not repeat शैनै:, $D_{2}$ ins. नयदे ; $D_{4}$ नेते ater उन्मीलयकि० $M_{1}$ न्म्भीलति.



ऐोहिताश्वः। (समुत्थाय)। कथमम्बा। तर्केन पुनरिमं पदेरामानीतासि। शैग्या। जाद् अत्तणो भाअधेपहिं। धर्मः। वत्स अयं महललोकातिथि: पिता पुरत पव। तोहिताश्वः। तात परित्रायस्ब परिक्लायख। (इल्यात्मांन पातयति)। राजा। बत्स न मां श्वपपाकवास्यवूषितें स्प्युमर्हसि। धर्मः। राजन् अलमिदानीं क्वाणैरालापैः। तथा हि फेताव्यस्सा श्राल्वणो यः सदारो यक्षण्डालो यक्न राज्यं च तरे।
 विर्य चभु: सांख्ंते ते ददामि ॥२३"





$\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Ns}$ om. all words from शैళ्वा to भाशधेपरीं. $\mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{om}$. साए. D2. 6 भाश्रधेदिं.
$L_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ ins. ते after घयं. $\mathrm{D}_{7}$ om. घमलोकानिfि: $S_{3} \mathrm{om}$. fपता. Ns. 2 gr:eq: ( for great ).




 Mz D6 क्षपाकरृषतं.
 'om. तथा हि.

St. 23-ه) $N_{2} M_{1} D_{4-7} S_{1}$ Ptr. 2 घोड्राँ; ; $D_{3}$ योडयं ; $S_{3}$ वाला:




कः कोरन्न विमानानाम्। ( पविस्य )
पुष्सः। भाषापयतु भाषान्।
धर्म।। हतो भब।
पुर्षः। ब्रोडसि।
धर्मः। महाराज विमानमधिछ्ब विष्येन चक्षुषा विलोक्यतां चथेंें सर्षमिति।
सजा। यथाक्रिति भगवान्) (दि विल्य विमानमाखल ध्यां नाटयन्र )। धिक्ममावः धिक्ममाद्। विधोपस्थनररितोषितेल भगबता कौशिकेत सचिस्षेषु नो राज्य पतिमुक्तम्।
धर्मः। राजन् भवस्सत्यजिक्षासयैवासी मुनिस्तथा क्रतावाध तु
LI $\mathrm{D}_{4.5}$ ins. भो: after कोडन. M1 fिमानाधिकारिया; $\mathrm{D}_{2.4} .6$ $S_{1.3} \mathrm{PtI}$ परिबराएा or परोबारायां; $D_{3}$ परिचारकाना; $D_{5}$ परिचाराएा; $D_{7}$ विमानं विमानं ; Pt 2 परिचारकानी विमनं बिमान्न ( for बिमानाना) ).
$L_{3} \mathrm{P}_{t 2}$ ins. धमों before; Ms ins. atter भगबान्. $\mathrm{D}_{2.4}$ भवान्ट (for भगबान ).

L $6 \mathrm{Mi}_{1}$ राजन् (for महाराज). $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{t} .2} \mathrm{Mi}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3}$ विभानमाउष्य, which $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ transp. after ₹ज्ञाषा. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ अष्लोक्यता ( for विलोष्यता) )
 यवेषे सर्वमिघट्मिति ( for यथें सर्वमिति).

L $8 \mathrm{M}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{5}$ भवान् ( for भगवान ). $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{x} .2} \mathrm{D}_{7} \mathrm{~S}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. दिष्यं. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$


 do not repeat fिक् प्रमाद:. $N_{1.2} \mathrm{om}$. second fिष्. $D_{4}$ repeats both Fिक् and प्रमाषं. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ बिणापस्थान-. $\mathrm{D}_{4}$-परितेन ( for -परितोष्विते).




 सर्वम्।
राजा। (पुनर्ज्यानं नाटयिस्ता सानन्द्वम्)। ऐेिि दिष्ट्या बधँसे। फेता स ते प्रकृतिकारणिको द्विजन्मा जायासखो ननु रिबौ किल दम्पती तौ। केता ममाणि सलु यो भगवान्स धर्मस्तेनाधुना मनसि शाब्यमुवैति शान्तिम् ॥२४॥
धर्मः। तेन हि अभिषिच्यतां पृथिवीराज्ये बत्सो रोहिताश्वः। राजा। भगवन् यद्वाविशसि।
L. $M_{1}$ तत्र ससंभ्रमेन (for ददलं संत्रमेया). $D_{2} S_{1} P_{t 1}$ fियुदं ; $D_{3}$ नियदं. $N_{1,2} D_{4}$ घ्वनलोक्यनां (for श्ञालोक्यता). $N_{1.2} D_{7}$ यदिदं ; $M_{1}$ तबविदें ( for तनिदं) .
$L_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{1,2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ ilis. इ़ित after 8रं'.

 दृध्वा ( for दिष्या).

भाविप्य थेन थुाَ पातित एप बलः
सोडयं गयो भावतः कहणादूं चेताः।
चरहालनेष भवतित करेखमादधानः
रमाशानिका वरहतिककृटासमृतिः ॥

- d) $N_{1} D_{4}$ घमोडघमोड़ुना ; $M_{1} D_{3}$ धमंघेतोइड़ना ; $D_{2}$ घम्मो ममाधुना ; $D_{5}$ अर्मों मर्मापुना ; $D_{7}$ घर्मो पर्रीधुना (sic) (for अर्महतेनाधुना). $-d$ ) $N_{1}$ मीय
 .गौनित ). $S_{3}$ शानित:.

 $S_{3}$ gृथितीराग्येडभिभिवेशयता. $D_{4}$ om. वरसः.
$\mathrm{L}_{9}$ This line is missing in $\mathrm{S}_{3}$.

पुक्रः। एतस्सिंद्धासनमुपनतं दीसमाणिक्यनिक्रं खनं चैतत्परिणतशरक्यन्द्रबिम्बानुकारि। पते ज्योत्वाग्रसरधबले चामरे हेमदण्डे (धर्महरिक्नन्व्रौ रोडिताश्वस्यामिबेकं नाटयतः )
धर्मः। (ऊर्श्वमवलोक्य)। दिष्ट्या विमानचारिणीमिरेदेचताभिरमिनन्घते वर्सरोहिताश्वस्यवियेकमछोत्सवः। तथा हि एता नर्घय वध्रति कलशान्संभृतांस्तीर्थतोयै: सान्धः क्विण्धः स्थगयति विराः दुन्दुभीनां निनात्वः।
नुत्यन्येयाः सुरयुवतयो मुक्तमन्दारवर्षा:
स्वैः स्वैरंरूनंरुरपतिममी लोकपाला भजन्ते ॥₹६॥
तत्कुतं करणीयम्। इदानीं व्रह्मलोकमभि प्रतिप्रुख।

L I Mı repeats शासनं only. D2 does not repeat शासनें, घबं, चामरं and भृश्रार:. $D_{5}$ does not repeat घर्रनं and भृष्तार:. Ns $D_{3}$ oun. चामरं चामरे. $S_{3}$ घृत्रारा. This spech is given to राजा in $S_{3}$.

St. 25-a) $\mathrm{Mi}_{1} \mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ उपातं (for उपनतं ). $\mathrm{D}_{4} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ दिव्य- ( for

 $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{t} 2}$ हैमदर्रे.
$L_{7} D_{4} S_{3}$ om. all the words from धर्मः ऊर्ष्घबलोक्य to नच्वते बत्स
 fिमानचारिमिदेंके: Mi transp. देवत्वाभिः and चfमनन्बते.







राजा। भगसन
मु.द्ये तर्जनतत्परे हल लु गतान्दष्पाधरे कौरिके नाथैतान्क विहाय गछ्छसि नयास्सानग्यनाथानिति।
प्रत्यम्रागतबाष्पद्वीनघदलैरत्तोडरि चैस्ताल्कथं
स्यक्वात्मंभरिरम्युपैमि भवता लोकान्म्यद्वानहम् ॥Rง॥
धर्मः। राजन् खकर्मबेचित्नोष्षावचस्वभाबानां भ्रजानां क्रुनरेतावम्ति भागधेयानि।
राजा। क्षणं क्षणार्धं सह ताभिरेख लोकान्धजार्मिर्बिद्रामि तांस्तान्।
मरैब वा पुण्यवलेत तासां
भवन्त्तु लोका भवता प्रविष्टाः ॥२८॥
धर्मः। (सविस्मयम्)। अह्दो लोकोक्तरं चरितमस्स राजनैंः। राजन्
भनेन पुण्यदांनसंभावितेनापरेण पुण्यसंभारेण प्रजानामात्मझ-
LI Mi om. भागन्.





L. 8 Ni. 2 ins. भगबन् before the verse.
 पुस्यलवेन.
$L_{12} N_{1.2}$ लोक्षोतरनfici. $M_{1} D_{3}$ om. रा
$L_{13} N_{1} M_{1} D_{3} P_{t 2}$ ins. ते ; $N_{2}$ ins. तेन after घनेन.


 after पुएयबांभारेए.

## आपार्जितः शाश्वता लोकाः। तवुच्यतां किं ते भूक:

पियमुपकरोमि।
राजा। भगवन् अतः परमपि मियमस्ति। तथा हि
विद्यालाभान्मुनिरणि मयि स्यक्तमिष्याम्यस्त्यो
लँ्धा प्राणानयमपि शिह्युअ्सकवर्ति त्वमानः।
टृः साक्षात्वमपि भगवन्घहसालोक्यमाप्तं
किं बा तस्स्याधद्परमतो यहिश्रंय भार्थयिज्ये ॥₹ं॥ तथापीदमसतु
भ्रमुदितसुजनेग समृह्दसस्या
10 भबतु मही विजयी च भूमिपलः।
कविभिषपहिता निजशबन्ध
ग्रुणकणिकाप्यनुगृषतां गुणयैः ॥ミ०॥
L I $\mathrm{N}_{1}$ चमि (for च ) ; $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ corrupt for the phrase. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ ins. चघ्य after उपार्जिता: $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ लोकान् ; D 6 शाश्षतलोका: ( for शाभ्षता लोका: ). $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. तदुच्यता. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ transp. किं ते and भूयः . $\mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{om}$, ते.

L I-2 $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ तदुच्यता भूयदते किमुपकरोमि.
L $3 S_{3} \mathrm{om}$. श्राि. $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ ins. न ; $D_{3}$ ins. किं before प्रियं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2}$ $\mathrm{D}_{3} \mathrm{om}$. तथा दि. Cf . Uttara-carita before vii. 20 श्रतः परमपि โियमfत्ति.

 त्वर्मपि). $\mathrm{D}_{6}$ भगवान्. $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ सालोकं; $\mathrm{M}_{1}$-लोकत्वं (for सालोकयं).-d)


$L 8 \mathrm{D}_{4}$ ins. भरतवाक्यं belore तथापीदं. $\mathrm{N}_{1.2} \mathrm{D}_{7}$ भबतु (for चस्बत ).
St. 30-a) $\mathrm{I}_{4}$ प्रतुदितन (for प्रभुदित-). $\mathrm{N}_{1,2}$-मुजनाः. $\mathrm{D}_{3}$ विह्छरासा. All Mss and $\operatorname{Pe}$ (except $D_{4}-6 \Gamma_{t 2}$ as in text; $D_{1} S_{2}$ missing )


 บबाँ) ).

## अपि च

येनादिश्य घयोगं घनपुलक्कमृता नाटकस्यास्य हर्श-
हस्तालंकारहेग्नां पतिविनमकृशा राशय: सघव्ष्ताः। तस्य क्षग्रम्रस्तेर्भ्रमतु जगविछं कार्मिकेयस्य कीर्तिः
पारे क्षीर:र्ख्यासन्धोर्राप कवियहासा सार्धमप्रेसरेण ॥३ः॥ कै ( रति निष्कान्ताः संत्वं )।

इति पथ्वमोड्धः समातः ॥
इल्यायंक्षेमीश्वरकृतं चण्डकौशिकं नीटकं समात्रम् ॥.














 धुभमस्वु"
Then in a later and smaller hand:
 रfद्धसम्या श्रुबं श्रीराम६ासतः ॥

N 2 ins.

 राजमЭवेवस्य ॥ कीर्तिभतापार्जितसिंहमूर्तिः संमार्बितं पादनखेडाप घह्य। सेयं किभाति विबुद्दाजनानां श्रोपाब्वत्तरणः सिंहभाः ॥।

Mx ins. शों नमो मगबते वासेखेवाय ॥ भीरामाय नमः ॥ शा|के १ज०\% (= $7^{82}$ A.D. ) पे बच तु ( वैशाबे वदि चतुर्य्यों गरो).
$D_{1}$ missing.
D2 ins.



$D_{3}$ ins.


$D_{4}$ ins.

$D_{5}$ ins.


 भूयाब श्रोषमिदं मनोट्र लिखि भाठाय संतुप्यतु ॥ धुर्भं ॥
D6 ins, सं १६४० पीर कुष्णा ( $=180$ A D.).
$D_{7}$ ins.
संबत्र 9 (99 (the last figure 9 indistinct ) ( $=1605$ A.D. $)$ बले



St ins.

Sa missing.
$S_{3}$ घ्रुभमस्तु । ध्रोलों.....चे नम:. No date,

# CANDA-KAUŚIKA 

# (THE FIERCE KAUŚlKA OF ĀRYA KSUEMISVARA) 

## ACT I

Prologne
(Invocation)
'This deity, because of the diversity of ehree qualities', creares, sustains and destroys the worlds; it is by his eight pervading forms ${ }^{2}$ indeed that this very unverse is covered; for him there is none in adore',-as if perceiving this, on the onset of Siva's dance, the floweroffering, scattered by the hisses of the hoods of serpents encircling [his hand], fell at [his own] feet', -may it protect you! (a)

## Moreover:

"O fair-limbed lady, let thy face with its ruddy eyes, frownang brows and slightly trembling lips, put to shame the beauty of the moon. No need of appeasement; O proud woman, let this wrath of thine increase!," -May Siva, embraced by the goddess thus addressed ${ }^{4}$, be auspicious to you I (2)

## And again:

Blissfully relaxed in meditation; annorously thrilled at the sight of Gauri's face; now startled [and] marveilous, and now smiling at his own agitation; cruel to the mind-born [Cupid] who drew the bow;

I Namely, the three well-known Gunns, Sattva, Rajus and Tamas. Literally traigunya=threefold qualitative condition.

- 2 The eight forms or manifestations of Siva constitute the theme of the first verse of Kalidāsa's Abbin̄̄̄̃na-śchuncala.

3 The conceit is that Siva's flower-offering falls at his own leet becaure it finds no god superior to Siva. Some MSS make this clear by reading nitr-carana-gatab; but this reading omits the lva of the Utpreksai.

4 And propitiated by the subtle flattery.
contracted with pity when [Cupid was] burnt; and trembling with a flow of tears at the weeping of his [Cupid's] wife, - may the [diverse] looks of Siva protect youl ${ }^{1}$ (3)

## (At the and of the invocation)

THE STAGE-DIRECTOR. Enough of prolixity! I have been commanded by Ssi-Mahipäla-deva who, having gracefully uprooted all thorns [to the State], was a suitor in the self-choice ceremony of Laksmi, who was drawn by his arm-staff, revolving like Mandara in the midst of the sea of batte ${ }^{2}$. Of him those who are versed in old history recite a laudatory verse:
[The same] Candragupta who, having the support of the naturally abstruse policy of Cänakya, conquered Kusumapura by vanquishing the Nandas, made his appearance again as Sri-Mahipäladeva, rich in the pride of his arm, in order to slay just those [Nandas] who have today verily attained the form of the Karnāṭas. (4)

## (Entering)

ASSISTANT TO THE STAGE-DIRECTOR. Sir, what futher has been commanded by that king ?

STAGE-DIRECTOR. It has been commanded that a new drama named Canḍa-kauśika [and ] composed by the poet Arya Kṣemiśvara, grandson of Vijayakoṣtha, should be staged. The poet has indeed thus addressed the courtiers, who are proficient in the sacred text of

[^19]dramaturgy, ${ }^{1}$ - who are conversant with the arts and sciences, and who are familiar with the ways of the world:

O learned men, nothing is seen in this world which is fauldess or meritless. Ignore, therefore, the faults and accept the merits. (5)
Therefore, O Assistant, why do you not begin the music along with the actors ? ${ }^{2}$

ASSISTANT. (Doubtfully, with face downcast). Listen, noble sit. The Brahman, to whom a fee was promised by your grood self at the time of the eclipse, is now angry on that account ; so all the actors are frightened.

STAGE-DIRECTOR. (Acting as if afraid; after reflection, with joy). Friend, ${ }^{3}$ there is no need of fear in this. Now then I

Shalf keep my word and give to the Braluman today what was promised, just as Hariscandra did by selling his son, wife and himself.: (6)

> (Bebind the stage)

Come, come, dear friend.
STAGE-DIRECTOR. (Looking towards the tiring room). Ah why,-chis is king Hariscandra, directed in lus way to the mner apartment by his dear friend Baudhāyana. [He looks | tured after the vow and vigil, quietly advised by the fannly priest, who [himselt] has claborately begun various rites for the auspicious termination of calamities foreshadowed by great portents. This is he

1 Dramaturgy, is said to be the fifth Veda, the Narya-veda, in Bharaten's 'Nâtya-śastra.

2 Cf. Veņios. Prastāvanã: tat kim ıti pāripārsvika närambhayas Kuślavail! saba samgita-melaham.

3 The term märiṣ (=Sir, or friend) is the regular word, prescribed by Dramaturgy, by which the Assistant addresses the Stage -director.

4 This is meant to hint at the plot of the play about to be staged.

Whose eyes, inclined to sleepiness, are red and dull ; whose handsome lotus-like face is wasted through sleeplessness ; and who looks like an elephant which, having strayed from its herd at the close of the day, finds itself distressed at dawn by recent separation. (7)

So come, let us go there!
(Exit).

End of the Prologue.
(Then enter the KING, showing weariness for want of sleep, and the JESTER).

THE JESTER. $O$ friend, thou dost walk hicher and thither with eyes drowsy for want of sleep, like a tortoise with its head a litele thrust out, like a blind mouse opening and shutcing the eyelids without seeing the way.

THE KING. My friend, it is sleep which causes chief support of the body of all beings. For:

It soothes the mind, brings lightness to every limb, kindles excellent understanding, removes deficiencies, keeps the balance of body-humours, and brings happiness attainable by a pecular concentration of the mind. (8)

Whereas see now my
Body is languid with drowsiness, mind is benumbed with an excess of tiredness, mouth is yawning every now and then, and eyes cannot stand the light of the morning sun. (9)
(Reflecting, with wonder). What again could have been the object of the revered sage and reacher ${ }^{1}$ in ordaining this night-vigil? ${ }^{\circ}$ Or perhaps it is not proper to deliberate upon the commands of a preceptor.

1 He is called a Kulapaci, which means that he was a venterable old sage who feeds and reaches ten thousand pupils.

THE JESTER. Well, friend, on thinking I find nothing in it other than the harm of frustrating the Queen who was dressed up and ready to receive her lover. ${ }^{1}$

THE KING. Friend, away with jessing!
THE JESTER. O this may be a jest to thee, but to me, a proor :ellow, this is a misfortune.

THE KING. (Expressing apprebension, with anxiety). How now do you think what steps the Queen will take?

THE JESTER. I suspect she is angry. .
THE KING. That is so; what doubt is there? This is no sumall cause for anger for the Queen. Thus:

Whether he is held up by the mmseers, or he is carried away by the delighteful company of friends; or perhaps the rogue, gone to meet some other beloved woman, does not come today. Thus, what thugs are not imagined of me as a rogue by my beloved, with her sighs and with a face drenched with tears dropping from eyes reddish with anger? (土0)

Moreover:
In eager desire for gracefully accomphished adornment, the evening was spent by her. The next watch' was passed with eyes set upon my path," 'The rogue has not come'一thus with welling tears and with languid wipiny off of the decoration, how agatn the rest of the night was passed by tossing on the sides of the bedl* (11)

1 That is, as a Vāsakassajija heroinc of Sanskıst Poetics.
2 The Yäma is a watch, one-cightith part of a day, a period of albuut tliree hours.

3 Cf. Täpasanuatsarăja-cartla: täm sampratyapl märgaodalla-nayanaṃ drasterm pravitrasya me.

4 Cf. Abbiosak. (vi. 4); Sayyä-prānta-vıvartanair vigamayaly unnidra eva k!
(Acting as if anxious). Ah alas, this lady with arched brows
At that time if some one approached, she was in fruitless furry to rise up, moved by the expectation of my arrival. Towards her companions, who quiecly snuiled as each other but hid their faces, she became downcast [in face]. (12)
THE JESTER. (Smiling). Well, friend, why dost thou trouble thyself by bewailing over what is gone by? So come, let us go there and appease the Queen.

THE KING. (Witb approval). You speak rightly. So come, let us go there. (They walk about). (Sigbing, in dejection). The act of going now is depressing to one whose fit time is lost. For instance:

While linking up [in her mind] my interrupted talk, she cast her eyes upon my path even if a blade of grass trembled, with the thought that I was coming. [Why] was [then] the lovely woman not embraced with loving regard by me, approaching quietly and unobserved from behind; [why] were not her eyes, resembling fresh blue lotuses, covered [playfully] by my hands? (13)
THE JESTER. (Walking about and looking towards the tiring room; with approval). Look, look, O friend. The Qucen is just seated talking something to Cārumatī, who has brought the parapharnelia of toilet.

THE KING. (Looking, with delight). Ah indeed!
Removed is the panted decoration on the reediake white cheeks of her who is slim-limbed; collyrium is not applied by the fawn-eyed lady on the eyes which excend up to the ear-tips; the braid of the hair, curly by nature, is hanging down loosely; and the lips which are likethe [red] Bimba-fruit', are pale. And yet how strange! The loveliness, which dislikes all decoration, is still just as fauldess. (14)
(Then enter SAIBYA as described, acting as if in anxiety, and :ARUMATI).

CARUMATI. Queen, here is material for decoration.
SAIBYA. (In dejection). O Cärumati, remove this. How much should I humiliate myself by setting my heart upon means of fruitess decoration?

THE JESTER. Ah, how weighty is her resolve !
THE KING. Well spoken, Queen, well spoken! To thy naturally lovely limbs devotion to decoration is a matter of humiliation. For:

The ardently red ${ }^{2}$ dye of the betel is greedy of the lips; the collyrium is eager to kiss the eyes; the necklace is desirous of embracing the neck. All this is thert self-interest, but no embellishment to thee. (15)

THE JESTER. Well, friend, let us approach.
THE KING. Friend, concealed here let us first listen to their memate talk. (They stay in that manner).

SAIBYĀ. (Sighing, with tears). O Cärumati, il my husband. ${ }^{2}$ alter inspiting confidence in that manuer, subjects me to deception ${ }^{3}$, then repeated salutation by all means to my own treacherous fate!

THE KING. Ah, proud lady,
If the sun is impeded by a mass of cloud and the lotus suffers frustration, this is no deception for her, nor is it a censure for the sun. (16)

CARUMATI. Queen, what is use of grieving? Kings are indeed those who have many mistresses.

1. The word yaga here means, with a pun, both rell colouring as well as passion.

2 The regular word arya-putra for the husband is rendered freely in our translation.

3 The word vipralambba, according to Rasa-sastra, means deception as well as separation. Although separation is implied, deception is meant here from the context (Khandana below).

THE JESTER. (in anger). Ha, you slave-girl's daughter, tathet say that [kings have] many duties. Why do you make my deat friend an object of false teproach?

THE KING. Friend, no use of anger here!
When injury to honour ${ }^{1}$ is magnified by companions, who know the method, fortunate people become objects of false censure of their beloved. (17)
SAIBYĀ. (Weeps).
CARUMATI. O Queen, compose, compose yourself. The king has gone too far because of your excessive magnanimity. So, if you ask me, then you should not look at him even when he looks at you, you should torment him with reproaches even when he speaks pleasantly to you.

SAIBYA. Why will I not do as you say, if at the sight of my husband I am able to prevail over my wayward heart ?

TH: KING. (Approaching swittly). O Beloved,
O large-cyed lady, ${ }^{2}$ thou who hast power over me even when thy heart is solely surtendered to me, 一why shouldst thou not have power over thyself ? ( 8 )
THE JESTER. Your ladyship, may all be well with thee !
(Both arise in confusion).
SAIBYĀ. (To berself). What-my husband! Well then, let it be [said] like this. (Aloud). May my lord be victorious, victorious!

CARUMATI. (To berself, with fear). What-the king I Alas, alas, the king has heard what I advised. Well then, let it be [said] like this. (Alond). Victory, victory to the king! (Bringing a seat). Here is a seat. Let the king be seated here. (All sit down).

1 Literally, mina-granthi is 'knot' of pride or resentment, but the metaphor is not of tightening but magnifying.

2 These quaint phrases (such as visalahesi here and tanvangi below) are common enough in Sanskrit literature for describing feminiue beauty, but they sound rather quaint in English translation.

THE KING. (Looking long and iniently). O B́doved, now indeed

O fair-limbed lady, why does this thy thirsty look falling on me turn away, with the pupil moving at the corner, like a bee inside a lotus in the morning? (ig)
Moreover, O slim-limbed lady,
Though neglect of adornment enhances thy beauty, yet it seems to speak of thy hidden anger towards me. (20)

SAIBYA. (Gazing, with jeabonsy). My lord looks beautiful with these drowsy limbs, and with red eyes which are dull for want of sleep. (Sbows anger).

THE KING. (Looking long and intently, with supplicution). 0 Beloved, be pleased, be pleased!

Why is shis fragile creeper-dike eye-brow, which assumes the flurty of Madana's banner of victory, moving to and fro on thy forchead? O wrathful woman, why is this Bimbalike ${ }^{2}$ lup, which looks akin to the full-blown Bandhüka-flower shaken by a gentle breeze, quivering all of a sudden? (21) (With folded bands).

0 wrathful woman, be pleased; why att thou angry without reason? 【am not what thou dost apprehend of me. O beautiful lady, give me such punishment as suits thee; [but] to decide about me the revered preceptor is the authority. (22)

## (Entering)

- THE WOMAN-DOORKEEPER. Victory, victory to the king! A hermit has arrived from the preceptor.

1 Momordica Moneddpba, a plant which beares brightoeed fruit,
2 Beadhujiva, Pentapetes Pboenicen, which bears a red flower.

THE KING. Hemaprabhā, do usher him respectfully withour delay.

THE DOOR-KEEPER. As the king commands. (Exit).
(Then enters the HERMIT, with boly water in bis band for averting evil)

THE HERMIT. (With amazement). Alas, alas indeed!
Why is this eclipse of the moon happening out of its proper time? Why is this scorching heat on all sides? Why

- is this earth trembling? Oh, why does a staff-shaped meteor pierce the orb of the sun?, Of evil fate what is this indes. cribable (ka iva) transformation resulting in great portents? (23)

Or perhaps, all this, being cared for by the preceptor, would come to a happy end.

Allaying of evil dreams and pertents [comes] from propitiatory and expiatory rites, from charity, from benediction of Brahmans and from proclaiming the [praise of] vittuous men. (24)
Hence, I have been sent by the revered sage and reacher to carry to king Hariścandra and Saibyā the propitiatory water, which averts all portents, [the water] left over from the expiatory rite undertaken [for the purpose].

## (Entering)

THE DOOR-KEEPER. Come, sir, come. (Approaches).
THE HERMIT. (Approaching). O king, may all be well with thee!

THE KING. (Rising, with respect). Revered sir, I salute theed SAIBYA. Revered sir, I bow to thee.

THE HERMIT. King, mayst thou be victorious! Lady, mayst chou be che mother of heroesl.

## THE KING. (In a busth). A seat, a sear !

## THE DOOR-KEEPER. (Brings a seat).

THE KING. Here is a seat, please be seated here.
(All sit down)

THE KING. Hemaprabhà, attend at the door.
THE DOOR-KEEPER. As you command, sir. [Exit].
THE HERMIT. King, let this propitiatory water, sent by the evered sage for consecrating thee with thy wifs after the night-vigil, $x$ received by thee; [it is] left over from the expiatory rite, and magnified in efficacy by the benediction of the sage and teacher.

## THE KING. (With folded bands, in delight). A great favourt

## THE HERMIT.

May chats holy and auspicious water, purified by evilaverting incantations, meant for augmenting heroic valour, and left over from the rite begun for allaying imminent misfortune, lead thee to prosperity, bestow happiness and remove all calamities. (25)
(Sprinkles it).
THE KING. (Acting as if feeling the lunch). All,
This [water] is that cause of the sprouting of the seed of valour into a blossom, chrough the favour of which kings of the solar dynasty beld their heads high. (26)
THE HERMIT. Madaun Saibyā, by the command of the revered precepror special provision is to be made by you today for the worship of houschold deities and Brahmans.

SAIBYA. (With folded bands). As you command, sir.
THE HERMIT. King, may all be well with you! Inow go so greet that revered sage and reacher, who has undertaken various claborate rites. (Exit).

SAIBYA. (Aside, with embarrussment). Hallo Cärumati, the nightvigil of my husband was directed by the revered preceptor. So I was turned into a wicked person by my wayward heart, which had been hard to convince. Well, let it be thus [said]. (Folding bands, alond). Be gracious, my lord.

THE KING. (Lovingly). Beloved,
If this request of thine be acceptable to me, whose mind was made turbid by false apprehension of wrong. I would pur the necklace on thine own neck and paine decoration on thy check. (27) -

SAIBYA. (Showing modesty).
THE KING. (Acting as if doing what be said). Beloved,
On thy check there is perspiration with a thrill; on my hand there is tremor. So my effort, begun with eagerness, is indeed useless in both ways. Even pliced on the neck, the necklace, heaving on the nipple of the breast, does not leave the tremor received from the close contact of my hand. (28).

SAIBYA. My lord, I will go to perforna what has been directed by the revered preceptor.

THE KING. Queen, let it be so performed.
(Both exit)
THE KING. Friend, how then do I now amuse my ardent self?
THE JESTER. O friend, why dost thou not aunse thyself with copic concerning the queen, while 1 amuse myself with topic concerning tood?
(Encering)
A FORESTER. May the master be victorious, be victorious! Here has indeed sprung up the chief of she herd of boars in the huncing ground. He has filled the ten quarters of the earch wish piles of his own glor), acquired by victory over his enemies, by
scattering it in the guise of whitish Kaseru fragments ${ }^{2}$ somewhat ground inside his machine-like teeth. [These fragments are] thrown about by the breeze of his breath, which is seented by the emission of fragtance adhering to the ground ot Mustā grass crushed by the tip of his formidable nose. He [chus] looks like a fresh rain-cloud throwing showers of hail. He carries ears resembling shells of pearl-oyster, [ears] which are erect in anger on hearing the roar of Rocks of torest-lionis put to flight by his heavy and arrogantly deep sound of grunting. He has an expanse of tongue, waving and creeper-like, which creates the illusion of a flame of great and glittering fire of wath. He is resplendent like the eruption of an ever gleamng' conflagration adiarng to a forest. He has a bunch of bristling manes, tawny like the glare of dreadful lightning. He is dark-blue like a sharpened sword, like a spotless sapphire, like collyrium and like the Tamäla tree. He shows the agitation of throbbing, tawny eyes. He is massive like aloundant iuk. He is like a forest-fire with a residue of sparks. The hollow of his mouth is frightful with its formidable tusks, which shine like [white] lotus-stalks coiling up for fear of beng crushed between lus teeth. He is like a solid mass of darkness on the aighe of universal destruction, [but darkness] decorated by two crescents issuing forth from the orb of the moon, [while the moon 1s] crushed by the mouth. ful seizure [of Rähu], which is made imposing by fierce wrath coming from long-standing enmity. He is like the divine Great Boar gracefully arisen, with the globe of the earch [now] laid down. Having heard this, it is for the master to decide. I myself am also gong there. (Exit).

THE KING. (With delight). An object for diversion is now lound!

THE JESTER. (With anger). O fruend, it a huntung expedition, .which abounds in such evil as moving about in the forest, trampling upon hundreds of thorns jumping over ups and downs, hunger and

I In Sanskrit poetic convention fame is represented as white. It is here lukened to bits of whitish Kaseru grass scatcered about. The Kaseru is a kind of grass with a bulbous root (Scripus Kysoor).
chirst, be thy way of relaxation, what then again cen be mattet of exertion for thee?

THE KING. Friend, hunting is indeed greatly beneficial to kings. Thus:

It brings diversion to the wearied, causes concentration of the mind on a moving target, and imparts lightness to the body. Hunting, which generates energy and intelligence and suits warlike activity, is wrongly said to be a vice of the kings. ${ }^{2}$ (29)

So come, let us go there.
(Exeunt omnis)

End of 4 ct 1 .
 vinodel hutab.

## ACTII

## (Babind the stage)

O chasers of the boar !
-He stirs up the mud, tramples upon the lotus-bed, eats up sprouts of Gundrā ${ }^{1}$ grass, makes uneven the Musia ${ }^{2}$ grounds nearby, goes to the waters of which the Kaseru weeds are thrown up; he is found, found ; he enters the chick of the forest ; he vanishes, vanishes'-in this way, chased by croops from behind, the boar enters the rugged forest regions. ( 1 )

So blockade the woods on all sides! Thus:
Let the trappers, expert in hedging the forest, spread the capturing nets along the boundaties. Let the hunters set the dogs free from chains into the depth of the forest. Let the grounds be speead over by riders, who bear nooses in their bands, and whose horses are slack with latigue [of the chase]. Lee the woods be shaken by men beating clubs and riding on buffaloes like the king of deach [Yama]. (2)
(Then enters sbe flurried King of Obstacle personified, in a firrce blazing dress)

THE KING OF OBSTACLE. (In foar).
He who created hindrance even in the profound meditstion of Sambhu, in the sacrificial rites of Daksa and in the amosous sport of Siva and Sivä,-l am [the same] great Obstacle, who is devoted to the capricious pastime of thwarting the fulfilment of all endeavour [meant] foe the good of the chree worlds. (3)

1 Vaciouly idenifiod a Cyperms rosumder or Typhe mgnutufle.
2 A species of gras. Cyperme rosmudis.

Now then I,
Taking the sportive form of a boar, will today rescue the three Sciences (Vidyās), who could not be mastered by Hari, Hara and the Selfborn [Brahmā], from the great sage who would here secure them by means of powerful penance, just as the three worlds [were rescued] by Hari in the form of the primeval Boar. (4)
(Looking bebsnd, with fear). Of me, who stand in the way of the world's welfare and who delight in obstructing the manliness of others, oh, the daring exertions are regardless of physical safety. For, feeling myself placed, as it were, between the teeth of death, I avoided with difficulty the reach of the arrows, and just brought this great king Hariscandra in this forest-region. Now then I lead him to the hermitage of Viśvämitra. Since this severe asceric, chis KsatriyaBrähman and priest of Triśanku, this first creator of a second world, has indeed begun the indescribably difficult task of securing the Sciences who, consisting of the three Gunas, bring about creation, protection and destruction [of the world].

For:
It is the Creator [Brahmà] who creates the universe, and neither Hari nor Hara; it is Hari, the protector, who protects the worlds, and neither Brahmà not Hara; while Hara, that destroyer, alone destroys the three worlds. How can all this in another sphere attain fulfilment in one person alone? (5)
(After reflection). Or, whatever is not possible for this [sage] of highly steadfast resolve? ${ }^{1}$ But since the nature of a sage is of easy irascibility, and since this has been undertaken by resorting to the operation of desire and anger, which hinder righteous deed, I know not what is going to happen here.

1 The wond meiṭtithe may also mean 'one who strictly observes religious vows'. Perhaps both the senses are here meanc,
(Bebind the stago)
O you arrogant of your disappearance in the deeper forest! Stay, O vile boar, stay!

Ah rogue, seen for a while and lost again, I have been, under the influence of curiosity, carried far away by you, as if by the help of magic. If you now come within the orbit of my vision, you will then never again trample upon the lotus-beds. (6)
THE KING OF OBSTACLE. (Listening, with delight). Ah indeed, he is near at hand. Now I go out of liere and show myself by means of the same trick of illusion. (Exit after bastily walking about).
(Then enter the KING in a chariot, carrying a bow, es if in pursuit, and the CHARIOTEER).

THE KING. (Kepeating what is said before, looking in front, with delight). O wortlyy sir, sir, he cannot be very far away. For, look,

The forest ground is littered with lotus-stalks dropped from the bitten morsel. On the bank of the lake there ate dripping streans of disturbed water. The dry lands have their fresh grass spotted by foam [of his mouth] given out in fatigue. By his breath the breeze here is dense and fragrant with [the scent of chewn] Mustī grass. (7)
(Looking carofully, with delight). Sir, here he is. Look, look,
With his neck curving with ease, he carties within his mouth a lotus, the dangling stalk of which is adhering to the forcibly upturned sprout of its root. Like the sportive [primeval] Boar, with the lotus-seated [Brahmà] on his lotus-navel, which is concealed inside but germinating, the

1 As Jaganmohana Tarkälımkira remarked long ago, the line (ancer-güdba-urrüdba•nabbi-nalina-prāptasenàmbborubab) is duficult both in consuruc. tion and purport. He atcempted various ingenious explanations, but himelf admitted that none of them was convincing or suitable to the context. He was driven to the belief that there was some error in the rending; but the
desires again to uphold the world clinging to the tip of his tusks. (8)
(With delight). Why, (having a look) he is falling towards me. (Acting as if taking aim with an arrow).

THE CHARIOTEER. (Looking with curiosity). O king, may you live long,-just look, look!

Approaching in artogance, le turns back again quickly; being aimed at for the moment, he has his front foot extended, but withdraws the rear of his body which shrinks from fear. The sprout of lotus-fibre is dropping from the corner of his mouth, the hollow of which is expanded through excessive breathing. With his bewildered face, from which all shyness is gone, he presents, as it were, his tusk to you • [in the shape of the lotus-fibre]. ${ }^{1}$ (9)

THE KING. (Acting as if discharging án arrow, withdrawing, and looking on all sides, with wonder). Oh, it is ill-timed that the arrow was discharged just at the moment he disappeared. For:

Now he disappears, now he comes within the range of vision; in a moment he goes to a distance, he comes near
few variants that we have recorded after collating thirteen MSS do not make any better sense. To make some sense, we construe the phrase-näbbi nalinapräptäsanàmbhoruhaḅ as follows: asyate asminn iti ãranam ambhoruham yasya sa äsanāmbborubah Brabmā, näbbi nalinam prāpta āsanämbborubo ,asya sa (krida-krodab). This is frankly clumsy. But the whole point is that even if this descriptive phrase applies to the primeval Boar, it does not apply to the boar under description; and the whole lise becomes redundant for the comparison, Jivănanda Vidyäsàgara reads mäbbionalina präplasya parikermbab. This is obviously an editosial emendation, but it is not authenticated by any of our MSS, and does not also give a much better sense. It should be remarked that the entire simile in the verse is not well conccived. For, while the earth clings to the tusk of the Great Boar, here the lotus ( $\infty$ which the earth may be compared) is swallowed up (enktraintarile vaban) by the bour, only its stalk is dmengling out with its root!

1. The conceit is that of a defeated king making presents to the conquecor.
again. He moves in every direction, in front, at the back and on the side. Then how can nyy mind fix an aim at him, who is unsteady like a strenk of lightening? (Io)
(Looking carefully, viewing from a distance, with delight). Whar, it has, after traversing the vast forest, mounted to a clearer ground. O Charioteer, urge the horses quickly. Where now will he go?

THE CHARIOTEER. (Doing so, and acting as if speeding the cbariot). O king, who will live long, ${ }^{1}$ look, look !

Having by its speed overcome the constantly dusty wind at the back, and following my* mind which is 'urgent from intentness on the target in front, this chariot of yours, equal [in speed] to your arrows, touches the clouds with its motionless banner-cloth and rushes through various regions. (is)

## THE KING. (With amazement). Moreover:

Like a mass of darkuess [receding] from the sun, the boar, dark like the radiance of a heap of pounded collyrium, is moving from distance to distance away from me, [even though 1 am ] rushing [carried] instancly by chariot-horses, which spring in the air, and which by their speed overcome the wind and step, as it were, across the ocean. (12)
(Looking in front, dejected). Why, even the foot-print of the boar, whose sighet is now lost after he passed over the vast forest, las also disappeared! Well, I will search this charming streak of forest in front. (Doing so, with delight). Ah, this must be the neighbourhood of a lermitage. For:

The field of Kusa grass ${ }^{2}$ is in some places uprooted, in some places cur asunder. The creeper, with its bouglrend tenderly drawn for plucking fowers, is slighty bene. Because of prior severance of barks, these branching trees have
t The word iymeman is the conventional word in Dramaturgy for a charioteer to address a king.

2 Kusa, Poa Cynosuroides.
developed scars, while these oozing trees show that they ate chopped recently for fuel. ( 33 )
(Looking around, as if listening, with curiosity). O noble sir, look, look!

The partots, on the hollowed trunk of the Kadambs tree, are uttering [sounds of] welcome. The breeze, attractive to the smell with the fragrance of clarified butter, is carrying away the heart. Looking at us with startled eyes, these female fawns are drinking the spring-watet, to which -. adheres the Ķuśa grass of the sandy water-bank. (14)
But enough of this searching by roaming now in the vicinity of a hermitage! Chariotecr, now give rest to these horses after a drink of water ; while I myself, with only the bow as my companion, enter the hermitage and greet the sages. If those who are worthy of honour are passed over, they stand in the way of all good. (Acting as if alighting from the chariot).

THE CHARIOTEER. As you command, my master. (Exit).
THE KING. (Acting as if reflecting, with commendation). Alt, the joys of the dwellers of a hermitage are unsurpassed because of cheir unfetered charm. For:

The mind, desisting from desire, doss not want [worldiy] objects of enjoyment. For want of attachment the happy, who are rich in restraint place no tender feelings on moments of separation. As the difference of menm at tunm ceases by giving up the sense of self, they attain indescribably supreme tranquillity. ( ${ }^{5} 5$ )
(Walking about with bumility, and acting as if frigbtened and appobensive). Ah, how? Not laving been seen before, the herminges, painful to the sight of those who are not respeceful, rouse ferr in me, as if I am guilty. Or, the Brahmanic energy. consixing of religious austerity, excels all energies, because it ovetpowers all but cannot by any means be overpowered. For,

Though pleasant and tranquil, the woods bring fear to me at every step. As fire [finding] water, all energies become feeble on finding their own source. ${ }^{1}$ (16) (Walks about witb fear).
(Bebind the stage)
O noble sirs, help, help! These guilless, poor, helpless, unfortunate women are being thrown into the fire. So help, O noble sirs, help !
THE KING. (As if listening, with agitation). Oh oh, not far from here the distressed wailing, appareeitly of women, ' is hearcl. (With amazement). O but this is a hermitage. How can such acts of insolence be possible here? Well, I will approach. (Acts accordingly).
(Bebind the stage the words are repeated)
( [THE KING]. Listening, with baughtiness ). No fear, no fear for those who are afflicted with fear! (With anger). ()h,

Who is this wicked person perfïrning a deed which is cruel, horrible, incongruous in a hernitage, and unbecoming of one's own self? I will offer as an oblation to the brighdy flaming fire every limb of his after the neek is completely cut of by the arrows. (17)
(Thus walking about, and looking with surprise towards the tiring room). Along with three women of divine appearance, seated in the midst of the fire, and lamenting and overcome with feat, who is this occupying the fire-sanceuary, with materials of a sacrifice placed nearby? Sutely this must be a heretic in the garb of a hermit.
(Tben enter VISVTMITRA, as if offering an oblation, and the VIDYAS or SCIENCES as indicated)

THE SCIENCES. (Acting as if perturbed, repeat what they said before).
1.The idea is that Brahmanic energy is the source of all energy which is therefore inferior to it.

VISVAMITRA. (With wonder). Ah, alas, indeed !
Though it catries the oblation, purified by lowly uttered incantations, the fire does not yet show a favourable flame. Why is it that the Sciences, who have appeared here by the power of religious rites, do not yet come under my control?. (18) (Acting as if in meditation).
THE SCIENCES. (Repeat what is said before).
THE KING. (Approaching swiftly). No fear, no fear for those who are afflicted with fear I Stay, you vile heretic, stay! What is this display of trickery of a disguised demon? For,

The garment is the bark of a tree ; the hand is encircled by the string of a rosary ; the head has matted locks ; what is this assuming of the dress of one whose soul is composed and controlled by severe austerities? O you chear, what is this pitiless design of yours as a wickedly inclined person, this sinful pastime of gruesome killing of women ? Of this act of yours now reap the fruit. (19)
VISVAMITRA. (Acting as if controlling bimself, with anger).

Acquiring a wealth of fuel; produced by the friction of most pungent abuse in the ears ; its abundant lustre made blazing by the breath arising from the disturbed cessation of internal meditation, -let this fire, born of my wrath, which assumes forthwith the playful activity of the doomsday fire generated by the wind of universal destruction, quencla its thirst for swallowing up the three worlds. (20)
THE SCIENCES. (With joy). This is pleasing to us, pleasing ! Victory, victory to thee, great king Hariscandra! (Exit).

VISVAMITRA. (Looking, with anger). Ah, indeed! Is this . the wicked Hariscandra who has become an impediment co my desired object? Stay, vile Ksatriya, stay !

O fool, whosoever you may be, whether Hari or the Moon-god, or again Hara with the crescent moon on the
head, do you not become fuel to the fire of my wrath, the terrible blaze of which is magnifed by the disappearance of the Sciences? (21)

Moreover, O fool,
This son of Kuśika will also do to you now in wrath the same as did wrathful Siva by his glance on seeing Smara with a drawn bow: Siva whose face became dreadful with fierce frown appearing on the playful break of mature meditation, even though be could be full of love.play with his beloved, even though he, was dispassionate and tranquil from compassion to all beings. (22)
THE KING. (To bimself, with agitation). All, is this the revered son of Kusika? And those the revered Sciences, to whose attainment my wretched self has become an obstacle? Acting inconsiderately, I, like fuel, have indeed struck with my foot, the brightly flaming fire.'

KAUSIKA. (With anger).
Of me, whose wrall is increased by incerruption of the already commenced tites, the right hand rushes to curse. Remembering that [Ksatriya] caste [of minc] which has indeed been long discarded, my left hand approaches the bow. (23) (Arises).

THE KING. (Approaching, with fear). Revered sage, I salute thee.

KAUSIKA. (Acts as if angry).
THE KING. (Falling at the feet). Forbear, revered sage, forbear ! It becomes thee to forgive me, who did not know, and who was deceived by the illusion of women's distressed wailing.

KAUSIKA. Ah villain, what do you say? -'it becomes thee to forgive me who did not know'. O wretch, am I indeed not known to you?

Do you not know me, the son of Kusika, the unique Bralman undaunted in assuming himself the [Brahman] caste [by his austerities], the priest of the Candàla [Trisaíku]. the [wild] forest-fire in consuming the sons of the prond Vasiṣtha, the death-like tecror to the world which was afraid of [his] bringing about a second creation. ${ }^{1}$ (24)

THE KING. Be gracious, revered sage, be gracious. Thou shouldst not understand me in this way.

Who does not know thee, che treasure-house of austerities and energies, clee who during a famine arranged selfsubsistence in a peculiar manner, whose mind was averse to accepeing royal gifts, and who shook the world by the battle of Aḍi and the Baka. ${ }^{2}$ (25)
But on leearing che afflicted wailing of frightened persons, chis was done by me, who was unaware of thee, and whose mind was distracted by the sense of my own duty. So I beseech thee to forgive me.

KĄUSIKA. Villain, speak, speak, what is your duty ?
THE KING. Revered sage,
That Ksatriyas should give, protect and fight,-this is declared by ancient sages to be their constant duty. (26)
KAUSIKA. What indeed? (Recites the words 'should give' elc.).

THE KING. Yes, it is so.
KAUSIKA. If it is so, then say, to whom should be given, who should be protected, and who should be fought with ?

THE KING. Revered sage, listen.
KAUSIKA. Speak.
1 For che allusions in this verse see our Introduction.
2 The Idi or $\operatorname{At}$ ti is an aquatic bird, Turdus Ginginnus, while the Baka is the Indian crane or heron, Ardea Nivea. For the combat described in the Marhandeya-Purina, as well as for other allusions in the verce, wee our lotreduction.

THE KING.
To the meritorious Brahmans [gifts] should be given, those afflicted with fear should be protected, and enemies are to be fought with, $I$ am sure of this in my mind. (27)
KAUSIKA. O villain, if you think so, then give unto us something worthy of [our] learning and religious austerity.

THE KING. (With delight). If that is so, the sol.ar dynasty is lavoured by thy revered self. So be gracious, revered sage, be gracious.

Even the whole world is not gift enough for thee. With my ability not sufficing [even ] by a gite of all possessions, I offer to chee now, O son of Kuśka, this entire earth with all its wealth. (28)

KAUSIKA. (With astonishment, to bimself). Well, let it be [said] thus. (Aloud). O king, may all be well with you I But they do not tradicionally approve of a gift without a fee (Daksiṇà). So it is now proper for you to pay the fee.

THE KING. (To bimself, abasbed). What should be done now? (After long reflection, with joy). Well, let it be in this way. (Aloud). O revered sage,

I will give thy fee ater collecting a lac of gold. Thou shouldst excuse me for one month's tume from this day. (29)

KAUSIKA. This limit [of time] is allowed. But leaving aside this earth, you should pay by getiing it from elsewhere.

THE KING. (To bimself, with fear). What is the remedy now? (After reflection, with joy). Oh, oh, the remedy is found; for there is indeed the place which is supreme as the possession of the god Siva.

It is called Väränasi. The sages speak of it as a city in mid-air detached from the hood [of Väsuki which lies] ${ }^{2}$
: The word bboga means the hood of a serpent. but by a pun it aloo means earthly enjoyment, which is aiso implied here.
underneath this earth. Those who are seers of the traditional scripture know this convincing difference [between Värạạasi and the earth] to be as fine as the disposition of one-thous. andth part of the point of a hair. (30)
I will pay by earning it there. (Aloud). Revered sage, as thon dost conmand. (Taking off ornaments). Revered sage,

All this wealch, also this goddess Earth, these weapons, this crown as the royal insignia, —dost thou, O son of Kuśkika, favour with thy look, all this offered by me at thy feet. (31) (So falling at bis feat, then rising, to bimself, with joy). Now fortunately my burden of kingdom, abounding in trouble, hus received fruition. (With delight).

The very wath of the sage, which was apprehended as a thunderbolt by me, has fallen on my head as a chaplet of ' flowers. (32)
O goddess Earth, I bid farewell to thee I
O resplendent (Devi) mother of men, you have indeed been protected by kings of the solar dynasty along with their heroic renown. Forgive this unique misbelaviour of mine that you are discarded by me out of [my] greed for [getting] a eare person [worthy of receiving you as a gift]. (33)
Now then, after going to Ayodhyā and fulfilling what is promised to the sage, I go to Värạaasi for earning the fee. (Aloud). Revered sage, thou shouldst permit me for earning the fee to go from here to Ayodhyà and complete all that is to be done.

KAUSIKA. (To binself, with amazement). O the fortitude and magnanimity of che wicked person! In a short while, villain, I will see your valour. For,

So long as 1 do not soon find you strayed from truth, as from your own kingdon, wy wrath, intensely inflamed by your misconduct, will not be pacified. (34)
(Alond). O king, what harm ?-let it be so.
(Exeunt omnis)
End of Act ll.

## АСТ III

(Then enters SIN personified, in a bedious dress)
EMBODIED SIN. (Fiercely walking about and langhing bondly).

Extremely sweet only at the begiuning ; pungent in the middle because of sorrow and separation, mental and bodily pain; in the end ruthless because of abuadant agonies of hell,-I am indeed hard to be borne. ( s )
(Looking in front, fearfully retreating). O mother, I am destroyed, I am killed by this wicked city, whose name cannot be uttered! Leave alone entering it, I cannot even look at it. Then what indeed shall I do here? (Aftey deliberation). Well, I will stay aparc [for this purpose]. Although accumalated in a former birth, 1 am discarded by those people who enter; I will pursue them from behind when they come out again.

## (Behind the stage)

The senl of the god Sumblu's lotus-feet is on [my] head, such is his kinduess; there is Bhaväni's alfection [for me] as for a son ; [my] knowledge of scripures is vast and religious austerity steadfast. Even [then] this skin-covered body, whose essence consists of sinews, bones and joints, is worn out. This shows how true it is that the culmination of natural acts ${ }^{2}$ is hard to avoid. (2)
SIN. (With baugbtiness). Ha, this would be so indeed if the depraved king Hariścandra were not of this wicked city. (With surprise). Who is that speaking? (Looking towards the tiving room). What ! Here comes Bhringi, the personal attendant of the three-eyed god [Siva]. So I will go off quickly. (Exzt).

1 Instead of karmañàm prākrtūnäm, it is possible to read (with Nevãıi group of MSS) karmanäm präktanānām. But this reading does not seem justifiable. The story of Pärvati's curse on Bhringi, and subsequently of the sage Kapota, which lead to repeated births, and of his ultimate attainment of the state of a Gapa by worshipping Siva and larvati, is tuld only in the much later Kalikiz-puraipa (ch. 46-53) and is not found in any carly Purạna. In the present context there is also no point in any such allusion.

## (Then enters BHRTNGIRITTI)

BHRNGGI. (Repeats 'The seal of Sambhn's' etc.; after reflection). Otherwise how can the god [Siva] relate today to the goddess [Siva] the adverse fate even of the royal sage Hariścandra?

While speaking of his marvellous deed, Siva's slender body was dense with thrill-dispersed particles of ashes; his three eyes appeared with agitated brows, and his shaking head with the trembling fragment of the moon. (3)
As today he will enter here, even the moon-crested god, along with the goddess, is filled with engerness. Therefore, I will also be ready after completing the worship of the god. (Exit).
(End of the Interlude)
(Then enters the KI.NG iboughtfally)

## THE KING.

Having made a gift of this earth to the most venerable Bralıman, my mind is tranquil with satisfaction. But remembering the heavy fee which, through the power of fate, remains unpaid, it is distressed. The money should not be earned within his province. As the place of Siva is said to be not [within the range of] the earth, I set out for Värānaasī. (4)
(Acting as if pondering, with deep sighs). Alas, oh, alas !
The wife, the son and chis body are the theee left over after the gift. The cime-limit is reached today; truth cannot be abandoned; the sage is wrathful ; and I am not able to give up this life affected by a Brahman's dues. To me, whose mind is perplexed to decide that to do, all directions are void every where. (5)
(Looking forward, with delight). What, is this Värānasi? My homage to thee, revered Värānasi! (Reflecting, with wonder).

What is desired by those intent on the contemplation of the Supreme Spirit, after their ignorance is dispelled by
continence, austerity and renunciation, [as well as] by sacred learning, tranquillity, restraint and religious fasting, ${ }^{2}$-that liberating knowledge Hara impares here at the time of death. By this [knowledge] a creature, after giving up his breath, ceases for re-birth. (6)
Again,
The firm fetters of worldly existence of living beings are unfastened here. The head of Brahmā fell down here from the hand of Siva. That god [Siva] who was bound by that $\sin$ became free. ${ }^{8}$. Therefore, this peeriess phace is never left by him along with his beloved. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (7)
Now then by what means do I attain freedom from the debe to the sage? (With reflection).

Do I collect wealth by conquering the god of wealth [Kuvera], but what are conquests to one who has forsaken royal wealth? The Ksatriyas do not assume the humiliation of begging which is feasible for the Brahmans. Trade is rooted in wealth, but today pauper that I am, I have no wealch. Everything requires time, bur by the power of fate delay of time is not possible. (3)
So what can I do, ill-fated that I am ? (After deliberation, with, jny). Well, well, so do I now

> Uphold the eternal [virtue of] truthfulness by a sale even of myself. If chat [virtue] is not guarded, then surely [chis and the other] both the worlds are unguarded. (9)

1 The term anaisaka = fasting or abstaining from food even to death. Cf.
 Up.).

2 For the Skanda-puràpa legend of Kälabhairava's behcading of Brahma and expiation of the consequent sin, see our Introduction.

3 In this verse there is a play upon the word mukte (free) and avimuktan (not frec), which it is difficult to preserve in English translation. As the nnfreed Siva was freed from sin there, he never left it free from his presence. The city itself is called avimukta because it is never free from the divine presence: avimaktam sivībbyam yad avimuhiam zato unduh.
(Wib resoluteness). So long as the queen, who is taking cate of the child Rohitäsva and is wearied through long journey, does not artive, I go quickly and fulfil my own object. (Looking upurard). What-che sun has sisen to midday!, For,

The hot sun is blazing like the fierce Kausika flashing [with wrath]. Like my mind, the road bears heat all round. This shadow again, like the queen, reaching a miserable plight by the power of accursed fate, sinks underneath the trees. (10)
Now the expiry of the [time-] limit is imminent, or that of Hariscandra himself. Alas, Ieam undone, unfortunate that I am ! (Tbrow ing bimself, rising up bastily, with despondency). O wicked, miserable Hatiscandra,

Without fulfilling now the fee promised to the venerable sage, to what regions will you go, O cheat, by departing from truth and being consumed by a Brahman's dues. (a i)
So now I go down to the market-street and accomplish the matter in hand. The sage would be nearly arriving. (Hastily walking, stays on one side).
(Then enters KAUSIKA acting as if angry).

## KAUSIKA.

My wrath, incrensed by the loss of the Sciences who were held in hand, but obsttucted by the courteously refined conduct of that wicked person, is consuming me, just as a fire, rapidly blazing inside on dense and dry fuel, burns the fo:est drenched outside by showers of rain. (12)
(With impatience). O wicked, miser, ble Hariscandra! (Recites So long as I do not find yow' etc.). (Looking, with wonder). Ah, here arrives the low-minded, or indeed the high-minded, person.' Well, • 1 approach. (Doing so, with anger). Oh what,-my fees in gold have not yet been collected ?

- Cf. Mudräor.: ayam durätmī nobaviz mabīımã Kantilyab. vii,

THE KING. (In agilation). Why,-the revered Kausika p I salute thee, evered sage.

KAUSIKA. Shame on you, you ignoble person! Do you still menad to deceive us by the charm of false words ?

THE KING. (Covering the ears). Forbear, revered sage, loubear.

KAUSIKA. (Acting as if in anger). Oyou rascal, who would make a display of false manliness by declaring the possibility of a pretended gift, stay, stay !

Though the time-limut has expied, you do not give nee my fee. And yet you stand ne, ir with empty but sweet words. Let the terrible fire of a curse now fall upon you, discharged by me who am provoked by your not giving what is promieced. (13)
(Then touches water for the curse).
THE KING. (Falling at bis fect, with agiation). Be gracious, revered sage. ${ }^{1}$ Forb=ar, forbear !

If thou dost not receive thy fee loffore the sun sets, then this person is under thy connrol for deserved curse or desth. (14)

So be pleased. We go down to the market-strect.
KAUSIKA. (Withdrawing the water for the curse). Well, juse go there and pay me. In the meantime I will also come back after complecing second Suma-libation. (Exit).

THE KING. (To bimsell, despondently),
Alas, shame upon this iudebtedness of living betugs, this singular cause of danger in both the worlds and serrible in its consequences! In the three worlds supreme alone is verily the person who has not seen the face of an angry cteditoo. ( 5 5)
(Walking about and looking, with delight). Why, this is the marketstreet. (Putting a blade of grass on the bead, ${ }^{1}$ with resoluteness). O kind people,

For some special reason [und] finding no other way, I offer myself for sale for a lac of gold. (16)

So take me, noble sirs, take me. (Speaking in the air, to one outside the stage). What do you say? 'For what reason is this desperate ${ }^{2}$ act undertaken by jou?' Why is this excessive importunity [to know]? Strange indeed is this world of the living! (Going to another side and'reciting 'For some', etc). (In the air). What do you say? 'How much is your abdity? What is your business? And what is your experience?' (Smiling).

I will do unhesitatingly whatever the master commands. A servant's supreme attribute consists of not faltering from the master's command. (17)
(Listening). What do you say? 'You have' demanded a mucla ligher price ; so let it be said again.' (With dejection). O noble people, we are Kṣatriyas; we do not know how to say it again. So please go. (Going to another place and reciting 'For some' etc.).
(Bebind the stage)
O my lord, don't don't be so selfish iadeed. Having made my wretched self a sharer of your happiness, now what is this aversion of yours to a division [of your misery]? So be gracious, engage me also in this task. This affection of yours is not yet in its last stage.

THE KING. (Wish distress). Whas-che queen has indeed arrived! Then my object is not realised.
(Tben enters SAIBYA, sbowing timidity and followed by ber little BOY).

SAIBYA. (Uttering patbetically the same words, and walking gently). O noble sirs, buy me, with half of the price, as a maidservant by stipulation.

1 As a sign of humility.
2 Literally, 'severe', 'cruel'.

THE BOY. Noble sirs, buy me also.
THE KING. (To bimself, beaving a long and deep sigb). Alas, ol alas !

Wealth, which is unsteady like 2 drop on a blade of grass drenched with showers, has been willingly discarded. Those friends have been abandoned. Those subjects, with their faces sad with tears, have not been consoled. Alas, after seeing the sale of wife and son that this crued mind is now not rent asunder, methinks my heart is made of adamant. (18)

SAIBYA. (Listening in the air). O noble sirs, what do you say? 'What is your stipulation?' I can do all work except intimately waiting upon a man [other than the husband] and partaking of the leavings of other's meals. Such is my stipulation. (Again listening). What do you say? 'Who is going to buy you with this stipulation ?' What is that to you? Be pleased to go. Some great Brahman who is kind to poor people, or some noble person, will purchase me.
(Then enters a TEACHER with bis young PUPIL)
THE TEACHER. Kaundinya, my boy, is it true that a maidsetvant is sold in the marker?

THE PUPIL. Is the teacher falsely informed?
THE TEACHER. Then we will go llere.
THE IUUPIL. As the teacher commands.
THE TEACHER. (Walking and looking with amazement). How wonderful the charm of the market-streen!

For its gold, it is like the land of [the golden] Mount Meru; for its gems, it is like the beach of the ocean : for its ructing elephants, which bear resemblance to young raitrclouds, it is like the Vindhya-region ; like the wishing tree, wich the divine blaze (aps'uka) of its bursting blossoms, shis mart, with the flowery display of its wonderful gar-
menss, ${ }^{2}$ fulfils in this way the wishes of those who desite anything. Whose mind does it not fill with desire? ( rg )
THE PUPIL. O teacher, I suppose she must be there where is seen a great crowd of people. (Approaching). Make way, sirs, make way!

THE TEACHER. O how very mighty is this crowd!
SAIBYA. (With distross). Purchase me, noble sirs, purclase (Says this repectedly).

THE TEACHER. (Looking, with astonisbment). Why, is this she? Lady, what is your stipulation?

SAIBYĀ. (Repoests the words 'I can do all work' etc.).
THE TEACHER. (With delight). This stipulation of yours is reasonable. Then have rest in our house on this very stipulation. As my wife is occupied entirely in tending the sacrificial fire, she is not capable of looking after the houschold properly. So receive this gold.

SAIBYA. (With joy). I am obliged to you. As che noble sir comunands.

THE TEACHER. (Looking for a long time, with wonder, to bimself).

Since the head is veiled, the face is diffused widh innate baslifulness, the gait is extremely slow, the eyes are fixed on the edge of the toe, and the speech is measured with sweet and very gentle words, this lady speaks indeed of her own ligh birth. (20)
(With reflection). This altered condition of this noble appearance is not befiting. Then how did she come to this state? Well, let it be [said] in this way. (Aloud). Ah, is your husband living?

SAIBYX. (Signifes with a sbake of bee bead).
: There it a pur on the word dityamishhotpellcouk, applying both to the Kalpaturi and he Vipapi.

THE KING. (Sigbing, to bimself). Does he live? Indeed, while he lives chis is the changed condition of his wife.

THE TEACHER. Is he nearby?,
SAIBYA. (Looks at the king with tecrs).
THE TEACHER. (Looking, with amazement). Ah, is chis her husband? (Looking long and intently, with regret).

With shoulders like those of a bull, with broad clest, ${ }^{1}$ and with arms long and muscular like those of rutting elephants, this body is surely capable of protecting the world. But how is it that a blade of grass is held on the head which is worthy of a crest-jewel? Alas, who is there whom the adversely acting fate does not strike? (21)
(Approacbing, with tears). O high-souled man, you should make me a sharer of your own sortow. Now say, why is it that you have commenced this? -

THE KING. (Reflecting, with dejection, to bimself). It is not proper to violate the words of this good inan. (Alond). O thou noble-hearted, this is not the time nor the place for details. So I speak briefly, listen. I have commenced this, being distressed by the dues of a Brahman. More than this it is not proper for cliee to press me.

THE TEACHER. Then surely take this our money.
THE KING. (Covering the ears). O good man, people like ourselves are not entitled to subsistence proper to the highest caste:' If thou dost think me worthy of compassion, then thou shouldst give me what is proper for the price.

SAIBYA. (Approictbing with agistion and folding the palms with bumility). It is not proper for the noble gendeman to pass over me who approached first. So please favour me, I seek your procection.

a That is, a Brahman can accept a gift, but not B Kastriyn.

THE TEACHER. (With tears). Lady,
This half lac of gold is surely money belonging to both of you. Out of regard for each other do what is proper. (22) (Gives the money).

SAIBYA. (Taking it, with joy). I am satisfied that through good fortune my husband has now only half the burden of the promise.

THE TEACHER. (To bimself). It is not right to look upon the distress of these twg. (Wants to go out).

SAIBYA. Wait a minute, noble sir, while I make my husband well beheld.

THE TEACHER. Lady, this Kauṇdinya will stay. (Exit).
SAIBYA. (Fastening the money to the clothes of the king). Permit me, my lord, to become a maid-servant of this great Brahman.

THE KING. (With distress). Why, indeed it is permitted by powerful fate. (To bimself, reproachfally). Well, O cursed fate,

If this [Saibyā], who was broughte to the state of a queen, is made a maid-servant at a stranyer's house, then the crest-jewel is reduced to be an ornament for the feet. (23)
(With great pathos). Alas, oh, alas!
Even the beautiful face of the Sun, whose appearance is exposed $t 0^{1}$ the disgrace of his dynasty, is indeed soiled by this sale of son and wife by me, who is a fool destroyed by desciny. (24)
(Restyaining himself. Aloud), O Beloved,
This Brahman, with his pupil, should be revered by you, and his wife served with a share of affection.

1 The reading here is nagna authenticated by most MSS; the variam nampa ( $=$ humbled $b y$ ) is obviously an ingenious emendation. Could the original reading have been Lagna ( $=$ touched by), the letters 1 and $n$ being indistinguishable sometimes in Devanigari $\uparrow$ The sense would theri be that touched by the stuin of disgrace the sun's face has become soiled.
[Your own] life should be guarded, and the little boy protected. Whatever destiny directs should be done. (25)
SAIBYA. As the noble sir commands. (Wants to go out, anci looking at the king, shows distress).

THE PUPIL. (With anger), Come along, lady, come along. The teacher has gone far.

SAIBYA. (With entreaty). Wait a moment for me while I make my husband's face well belield.

THE KING. (Showing distress). Beloved, desist. The Brahman is uneasy.

SAIBYĀ. (Slowly walks, looking at the king).
THE BOY. Daddy, where is mother going?
THE KING. (With dejection). She goes where your father's wife goes [as a slave].

THE BOY. Oyou boy, where do you want to take my mocher? (Takes bold of the edge of the mother's clothes).

THE PUPIL. (With anger). Away you, a born slavel (So throws bim down with a push).

THE BOY. (Looks at bis parents with curving lips).
BOTH. (Look with tears).
THE KING. O greac Brahman, childhood is surely guildess. So you should not act in this way. (Raising the boy, kissing on the bead, and embracing. With sorrow).

O child, your lips are quivering with the weight of resentment. Why do you look at my face,-the face of a hard-hearted sinncr ? To the flesh-eating animals their cubs ${ }^{2}$ are not dear, but even to them their mates ${ }^{1}$ are the dearest. (26)
So why do you follow me, a Cänḍāla? Follow your mother. (Sbows distress).

1. The words tisis, and umiti=respectively 'cubs' and 'female kind' of animals (in the lexicons).

SAIBYA. My lord, why are you slackening in the task of the great suge by grieving over my wretched self? (Taking tbe boy walks away).

THE BOY. Save me, save me, (Exit).
THE KING. (Looking long). Oh, I am undone ! (Throws bimself on the ground). (Then enters KAUSIKA)
KAUSIKA. Ah what,-my fees in gold have not yet been collected?

THE KING. (Hearing and rising up in agitation). Revered sage, take now a half.

KAUSIKA. Ah, away with a half I If you think that what is promised must be given, give me in full.
(Behind the stage)
Shame on austerity, shame on, this vow, slame on knowledge, shame on great learning, $O$ Brahman, that Hariscandra is brought by you to this state!(27)

KAUSIKA, (Having listened, with anger). Ha , who are these again censuring me with the word 'shame' ? (Looking upward). Al, are these the All-gods ${ }^{2}$ moving in their aerial cars? (Acting as if angry, toucbing the water of the ascetic's bowl, and taking water for a curse). Shame on you, fools, for this partisanslip of mean Kşatriyas !

You all five will have your birth in a Kṣatriyn family ; but the son of Drona a a Brahman, will slay you while you are young. (28)

1 The all-inclusive Vedic pantheon of Visive-devas.
2 The word amitmafina need not imply the higher sense of 'one devoid of self-knowledge', but simply means 'a fool', as in Abhi, Sak. vi.odd 3 . ma avead ' anamathe: Malavilì i. ad 7: katbam haryanvinimayoma vyouaberati may andemajfact.

3 This refers to the treacherous killing of the five young sons of Druupadi by A Avatchiamp, described in the Mababhirute. The allusion is maxde clewr in the Markendeya.Punity narrative of Haviticandra.
(Again booking upward, wibb joy). Ah, how they-
Fron their aerial chariots, tottering in the sky, which is filled widh the twang of dangling bells that wemble, is it were, with fear at my glance,-how they fall, with faces downwards, with their ear-ornaments dropped and the edges of their crowns tearing the flapping screen of clouds. (29)
THE KING. (Looking upward, with fear). O the power of religious austerity! Justly does Hariścandra suffer. Revered sage, there is no need to think otherwise.

Take this, carned by the sale of wife and soll. For the remainder I will sell myself, even to à Cändàla. ( $\left.3^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}$
KAUSIKA. (ln wratb). Away with the lalf! Well, give me in full.

THE KING. O good people,-(Recites 'for some rason' etc.).
(Tben enters RIGHTEOUSNESS in the garl of a Canddala, witb an ATTENDANT)

RIGHTEOUSNESS. (To himself).
By me are upheld these worlds, and Truth upholds me along with these. To test the truthfulness of this king, this caste [uf a Canḍàa] is taken up by me. (31)
(After long meditation, with amazement). Perceiving even with profound meditation I do.not find any one comparable to the royal sage Hariscanda. Well, I draw near to him, (Walking, alond). O you Särancyaka, ${ }^{\text {² }}$ havc you taken the casli-box ?

THE ATTENDANI. O chicf, will it be placed by you in slie gold-apartment, or wine be druik [with if] ?

RIGHTEOUSNESS. O , what is the need of your asking this ? (Walks abowt).

THE KING. (Recies 'For some reason' efce, and 'For balf a He' otc. Looks around, with dejection). No one is desirous of my ill-fated self! Alas, I am undone! (Falls down fainting).
1.Literally 'a dog'; this may be the name of the Attendant.

RICHTEOUSNESS. (Listening and looking, to bimself). What, -is this greac soul gone into a swoon? Well, let it be [said] thus. (Approaching burriedly, aloud). Ah you, arise ! 1 waut to purchase you. Take this gold as desired.

THE KING. (Rising, with delight). O good man, let it be brouglit. (Looking at bim, with sadness). Sir, do you want to purclase me?

RIGHTEOUSNESS. Yes, I want to purclase you.
THE KING. Then who are you?
RIGHTEOUSNESS.
Lord of all the cremation ground, I have the conlidence of the officer in charge of the police station ; I am the chiel Candàla appointed at the place of execution. (32)

THE KING. (Approacbing with emotion and falling at the feet of Kanásika). Be gracious, revered sage, be gracious !

Let there be my freedom from debr rather by being a servant to thec. O Brahman, servitude [of a Ksatriya] under a Cändàla is never heard of nor seen. (33)
KAUSIKA. Shame on you, you fool! Hermits are their own servants. What shall I do with you as a servant 《

THE KING. (With entreaty). Revered sage, I will do whatever thou dost command.

KAUSIKA. Hearken, all ye gods, hearken! You will do whatever 1 command ?

THE KING. Cettainly, I will do.
KAUSIKA. If that is so, then sell yourself to this man who wants you, and give my fees in gold.

THE KING. (With distress, to bimself). Oh, oh, what way is there now? (Alowd). As the revered sage commands. (Approach. ing the Cändïh). O chief of your own class, you should purchase me by stipulation.

THE CANDXALA. Now, what is your stipulation?
THE KING, Listen,

Taking food obtained by begging, staying at a distance, and covering myself with rags from the street, I do unhesitatingly what the master commands. (34)

BOTH. (Witb satisfaction). Ah, this stipulation of yours is reasonable. Take this gold. (Gives from a distance).

THE KING. (Accopting, with joy).
Freed from debt, not cursed by the Brahman, and not faltering trom truth, my servitude under a Cänḍäla is a matter of pride. (35)
(To Kausika, with entreaty). Revered sage, accept this encire money.

KAUSIKA. (With embarrassment). Will you give?
THE KING. (With entreaty). Revered sage, may it be received.
KAUSIKA. (Receiving, to bimself). After this what is the need of importunity? "Well, I will now go. (Does so witb imbarrassment).

THE KING. (With folded palms, in bumility). Revered sage, my offence for the delay of time may be pardoned.

KAUSIKA. It is pardoned. (Exit).
THE KING. (Approaching the Cändäla). O chief of your own class-. (With these broken words, covers his face). O master, command what should be done by me, your slave.

RIGHTEOUSNESS. (With satisfaction, to bimself). This is an act never seen nor heard of before. (Alowd). Ah, go to the southern burning ground, become a plunderer of tattered clothes of the dead, and keep awake day and night. I now go to my own house.

THE KING. As the master commands.
(Exewnt omnis)
End of Act $1 / l$

## ACT IV

(Then enters the KING, thoughtfully, followed by two CANDALAS)

THE CANDĀLAS. Move away, sirs, move away. This is not a man to be executed, so what do you look at here? (Listening, in the air). What do you say, noble sirs? 'Who is he, and where is he being taken?' This poor man has accepted slavery by taking a great deal of gold from the master. So he is being taken to the southern burning ground for the purpose of guarding it.

THE KING. (Sigbsng, to bimself). Alas, never-ending is this fall on me of a successively severe series of misfortune ! For:

This slavery of me today to a Cänḍala, residence in the more horrible big cremation ground, and the work of pliundering blankets from dead bodies,--alas,' fate is not allayed by these calamities!, ( x )
(With sorrow). Indeed, it is well said that suffering is veiled by sufferings; because now affiction from all sides corments me who have attained freedom from the debt of the fees. (Showing distress).

Do I mourn for the subjects who, after having me for their friend, are now left friendless? $\mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{t}}$, for those very affectionate kinsmen and forlorn servants? Or, for the most beloved [wife, now] a slave in the Brahman's house, or for the dear child? $\mathrm{Or}_{\mathrm{r}}$, for the life of my own wretched self now gone into the slavery of the Cändāala? (a)
(Acting as if remembering, with dejection).
When that sage Visvämitra, who is the abode of great austerity, was hard to appease, then freedom from [his] debe came about with difficulty. At that time the sight of the young son, with his weeping face, thrown down,-cthat inward dart hurs me like a full-grown boil [on the heart]! (3)

1 b!romarmu-vrayam, as Bhavabhuixi puts it.

THE CANDALAS. (Recite as before).

THE KING. (Recollecting, with depression, to bimself). Oh, oh, what is now paining me intensely is that at that time,

When that Brahman [student], flushed with anger, urged forward because of devotion to [his] teacher, when the child, thrown down but clinging to the end of [the mother's] cloches, wept,-her glance at me, cruel that I was, was at last withdrawn with dufficulty, the pupils becoming benumbed by greacly restrained overtlow of tears. (4)
(With distress). O queen,
If you are a worthy daughter-in-law of the dynasty of the Sun, if you are born in the unsullied family of the Moon, then why, O beautiful woman, are you thrown on me like the oblation of butter on a heap of asties? (5)
Moreover, O princess,
You feel fatigued even when you make a garland of navamālikā flowers of the garden. How will you do all the work which is fit for a maid-scrvant, and with which you are not acquainted? (6)

THE CANḌ̂ALAS. (Looking forward). Al, the southern burning ground is not very far. So come quickly.

THE KING. (Looking, with steadinoss). Oh, is this the great burning ground? For:

With the folded roots of their pinions, motionless and extended on the thrown-up tips of their cails, the vultures swoop down many times from a distance in hundreds of repeated circles in the sky, their pair of folded beaks being covered with the discharge of flowing saliva from hollowed mouths which are greedy for corpre-lesh. (7)
(Confused noise bebind tbe stage)

THE KING. (Listening and looking). O the fierceness, made loathsome, of the great cremation ground! For:

With cruel howls these jackals, whose cries are like the beating of inauspicious drums, spread. all over, harsh to the ear and carrying echoes inside. With steady and twisted tips these fires ate burning, smeared with the brains which appear from the inner cavity of human skulls burst asunder by the heat.
(Looking in front, with approval). This place appears desirable even if it is loathsome. O good corpse, you are fortunate that you ate enjoyed at will by the wild beasts who, as your lovers, seize all you possess. For,

The crow, placing its feet on the head, is splitting open the seal of the eyes. The jackal is devouring the end of the tongue roliing out of the corner of the mouth. The dog is tearing the penis; and the vulcure is, enlarging the hollow of the entrails. So, O corpse, the wild beasts ate doing what they like with you. (9)
Alas, the worthlessness of human bodies !
That waist, that bosom, that face, those eyes and those eye-brows,-all of them now consist of filchy blood, marrow, flesh, bones and saliva. It is frightening to the cowards, an object of shame to those whose mind is interested in [rrue] knowledge. What poor pride is taken vainly by the foolish worldly people I (10)

ONE OF THE CANDDALAS. (Looking in front). Ah, we pay homage to the goddess Canda-Käryāyini who lives in the hollow of the lofty ttee.

THE OTHER. We do this. (Tbey do the samo).
O Kätyäyini, probect me, you who crushed complecely. the rolling heads of demons; you whose body was rent by the great demon Mahisa; you who are clad in the skin of elephanta; you who have the crembling pike in your hand I (is)

THE KING. (Looking all sound, with amazement). Oh, the love of Käryāyani for loathsome requisites of worship! For,

Hanging down are che bells, which dangled on the neck of dead buffaloes and cows, [but which are now] decked with withered garlands; [these are] smart in making twanging sounds unpleasant to the ear. The crows, who are naturally greedy for portions of food-offering, are croaking on the trunk of the goddess's tree, the sutface of which bears the mark of five bloody fingers. (12)
(Folding the palms, with obeisance).
O goddess Candĩ, you through whom an eminent goal [after death] is obtained ${ }^{4}$, you who love the palace of departed spirits, you who make the corpses frolic ${ }^{6}$, you whose terrible appearance consists of the bones of che dead, and you who make a meal of dead bodies, O Blairavi, obeisance to you! (13)
(Confused noise bebind the stage)
THE KING. (Listening). O the loud noise' of birds, coming from various directions, eager for their own nests, and indicating the end of the day! (Looking westward). To none it is not difficult to overstep the course of destiny. Thus,

Even this sun, the lamp of the heavenly courtyard, the crest-jewel of the quickly moving time-serpent, the momentary imitator of the form of submarine fire, falls afllicted into the ocean. (14)
(Looking all round, witb amazement).
Ah, the whole universe has become a funesal ground for the display of the Käpälika Destuny. It is red will the

1 Some of the phrases of this verse are difficult. We construe prete as : prak!!!tam itam gatir yayā, tat-sambodbane.

2 We take the word vimäne to mean 'a towering palace'.
3 We construe lasat-prete as lasantat pretã yayä, tat--sambod bave.
4 The word samprivine occurs in Mülati-mädhave v. sid.
5 A follower of certain Saiva sect of the left-hand order, who practises gruesones rives on the funcral ground.
blood of the twilight as a victim. The dim disc of its sun is like the slighty burning charcoal of a functal pile. It is strewn with stars which are like bones of the dead. Its brighe moon acts as if it is a piece of white human skull. It has a multitude of happy nocturnal creatures. It looks grey with dense darkness which has the appearance of abundant smoke. ( 5 5)
THE CANP̣ALAS. (Looking). Oh indeed!
When the sun goes to setting as the victim goes to the - place of execuyion, this mass of darkness descends like a tribe of [dark] Caṇ̣älas. (ı6)
THE KING. (Looking all round). Oh, the branching trees of the burning ground now appear deeply terrifying. For:

The owls, fying as far as the trunk [of the trees], are screeching at the entrance of the large hollow of their abode. The vulfures fall on the cop, beating theirir row of wings and making mighty sounds of pleasure. The crying jackals, whose mouths are like sparkling fire, multiply their howls as they smell the terrible odour of the thick marrow of withering corpses which hang from the end of the branches. (17)

ONE OF THE CANDTLLAS. (Aside). Oh, the seuthern burning ground abounds in various kinds of goblias. So we will go ever so quickly.

THE OTHER. Wc will do so.
BOTH. (Aloud). O you, by the command of the master you are to remain vigilant in this burning ground, wandering about day and night.

THE KING. (Witb joy). Very well, as the master commands. (Confused noise bebind the suage).

THE CANDALAS. (Witb fear). O Mother, the confused noise of the night is rising. So we take to out hecls quickly. (Both axib).

THE KING. (Witb resoluteness, walking about and looking). Oh, the heaps of dead bodies ${ }^{1}$ are a disgusting sight. For:

These bear a body, the abundant unevenness of which is covered by thick sinews. They have fierce reeth, fierce faces, and high noses jagged with thin veins. The surroundings of the eyes have the appearance of decayed wells. The veined thighs ${ }^{2}$ are like trees, of which the hollow is comparable to the deep region of the belly. (18)
(Looking, with amusement). O the cleverness of the fiends in playful quarrels! Thus:

One, snatching away the drinking bowl from another, drinks thick blood. Another, with glowing tongue, licks dripping [blood] from the mouth of one who is drinking. Then some, with the neck uplifted for a moment, and with a long and playful tongue, tastes the drops of thickly collected blogd fallen on the ground. (19)
(Looking with curiosity, witb a smile). Oh, ho, indeed the amorous play of the stupid fiends appear like a joke and create a contrary sentiment. For :

What'a difference there is, on the one hand, between the pleasant enjoyment [ot human beings], amiable because of soft and sweet movement of the limbs, and on the other, the side-long glances at each other [of the fiends], which bear the blaze of meteors widespread at the time of universal destruction, the mode of kissing in which fire is inflamed by the friction of large fangs, the close embrace which echoes with the sound of the sibs of the chest ${ }^{2}$. (20)

1 The phrase hannepa-nikīye occurs in Malatiomadbava v. ad 10: abo sampprati pragalbhemãna-kennapanihäycsya mabati ímasäna-vätasya raudratà.
2. The reading could not have been nàdi-janghadruma-(nadijangba=a crow) : since for the compatison there is no point in bringing in the crow, unless the phrase means 'crow-infested urec'.

3 Cf, Mäleti-m. v. ${ }^{88 .}$
(Looking, with disgust). Fie, this is very loathsome I
Drawing it out of the funeral fire as it is fastened on the point of a long bone, and repeatedly with hundreds of hisses flashing like che uncheckable wind of universal destruction, the ghost, with a terrible face wagging out of greed, devours the human head, but vomits it out as the cavity of the mouth gets burne. ${ }^{1}$ (21)
(Acting as if recollecting). Enough of the curiosity of looking at these! Now, abiding by the command of my master, I wander all round the funeral ground. (Wandering and acting as if observing). O the profundity of the night ! Thus:

The darkness can be held within the fist ${ }^{2}$; the division of the four directions is concealed on all sides. The footstep stumbles on uneven grounds [and] throwing of glances is fruitless. Bacause of the disappearance of other tints, the concentrated dark-blue colour alone, which bears resemblance to a hill of collyrium drenched by rain, appears uniform all round. (22)
Well, I now proclaim loudly. O who, who is there? Listen to the utterance of my master who is the lord of the cremation ground.

No one should perform rites fit for, cremation without my knowledge and without giving up the blanket of the dead. (23)
So from today by all indeed
With the words 'just so', this must be done here withour negligence. There is none who can endure breach of the master's command. Even for him who may be like [the gods] Brahmā, Indra, Vāyu and Varuna-let chis arm of mine be a rival combatant. (24)
What,-no one is answering? Well, I speak from anocher place. (After walking about). Who, who is there ?
(Bebind tbe sunge).
O this is II

- CE. Malati-m. v. 17.
a Because it is so dense.

THE KING. (With resoluteness). What, -an answer ! Well, 1 follow the sound and find out skilfully who is there. (Walking about and looking towards the tiring room, with amazement). Ah, who is this?

Holding a staff with a skull at the top, ${ }^{1}$ annointed with ashes, possessed of charming loveliness made brighe by the adornment of human bones, and bearing a skull in the hand and a human skeleton on the head, he appears as if he is Siva himself in person. (25)
(Then enters RIGHTEOUSNESS in the garb of a Kapaliha)

## RIGHTEOUSNESS. O this is I I

Living on such alms as come without asking, unruffled by the restraint of the five senses, and passing beyond the great cremation ground of worldly life, I wander about in this hedious cremation ground. (26)
(With reflection). It is befitting indeed that the god Rudra practised a great vow. Surely this is supreme excellence for those who move untestrained. But

Living on alms, religious austerity and performance of an act,-entire devotion, without a second [objective], is easily attainable in respect of all these ; but the realisation of self, without a second, is raxe. (27)
(Looking in all directions, with anxiety, to bimself).
By me are upheld these worlds, and Truch upholds me along with these. To test the truthfulness of this king, this garb is caken up by me. (28)
(Raflecting, with amazement, to bimself). It is wonderful that the career of the royal sage Hariscandra [even] in a series of misfortune is not to be deplored. Or, this is the nature of the high-minded. For:

Whether happiness of sorrow-what indeed is constant in this world? From the utter loss of discernment' comes
: This is supposed to be a weapon of Siva and is carried by Saiva ascetics.

2 Viveha-pradbvamfiad npacita-mabi-moba-gahanah, Malati-m. i. $3^{30}$,
the allernation between happiness and sorrow. Of great men in this world there is some conquering faculty of the mind by which sorrow does not become sorrow, nor happiness happiness. (29)
Well, now I go to him. (Walking and looking, with commendation). Ah, this is that higl-souled person. Him then I approach. (Doing so). O king, may you obtain complete fulfilment !

THE KING. Welcome to one who is rigidly religious and observes great vows !

THE KAPALIKA. . O king, we approach you as supplicants.
THE KING. (Shows embarrassment).
THE KAPALIKA. No need of modesty ! We possess the insight of meditation, and have knowledge of your history. Still, even in this condicion you are not [ $\infty 00$ ] indigent to give us what we desire. For, look:

In no manner are good men not [ready] for the good of others. Even overtaking the new-moon night, the moon delights the big forest trees. (30)
So listen.
THE KING. I am listening.

## THE KAPALIKA.

The goblin, the thunderbolt, the globular collyrium, the foot-unguent, the mode of female demons, alchemy and metallurgy, -think out how all these, ${ }^{1}$ held [controlled] in my hand, are not screened off by obstacles, as if by a piece of cloch. (31)
So let removal of obstacle be directed.
THE KING. O thou possessed of supernatural powers, thou art aware, through the power of meditation, that this poor body [of myself] is not under my own control. So I would exert myself without opposition to the interest of my master.
1.That in, supesastural knowledge and control of these things.

THE KAPALIKA. O king, how is there opposition to the incerest of your master? Surely, what is desired by us can be accomplished by you by mere command. Not very far from here there is a great creasure of minecrals. For this an effort is to be made by us. You are only to stay hece, vigilant against removal of obstacles. (Exit).

THE KING. (With resoluteness, wandering all round). Keep off, obstacles, keep off ; for your scope is obstructed in every way.
(Bebind the stage)
O king, as you command.
The Felicities have opened their doors. The Sciences have come today to choose their mates themselves. The Fulfilments are moving unrestrained. Who can transgress your command? (32)
THE KING. (W $\mathrm{i}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{b}$ delight). It is fortunate that with the words 'just so,' che obstacles have accepted our word. This is pleasing to us, pleasing !

## (Then enter the SCIENCES in acrial chariots)

THE SCIENCES. (Approaching all of a sudden). King Hariscandra, we congratulate you.

We are the Sciences, who were at the root of tlose calamities which the harsh sage in his anger perpectated on you, a royal personage,-we have come to you. (33)
THE KING. (Looking with astonishment, to bimself). Well, are these the Science-goddesses on whom the severe religious austerity of the revered Viśvämitra himself was powerless? (Alond, folding the palms). Obeisance to the Sciences, the conquerors of the three worlds!

THE SCIENCES. King, we are under your control, so instruct us.

THE KING. If you consider me worthy of favour, then wait upon she revered Kausika, so that I can justify myelf as free from fa ule to the sage.

THE SCIENCES. (Looking at eacb other, with amazement). O king, let it be so. (Exit).
(Then enters the KAPALIKA followed by a GOBLIN ${ }^{1}$ on whose shoulders is placed the treasure)
THE KĀPALIKA. (Approacbing quickly). O king, I congratulate you on the good fortune of recovering this great treasure of which enjoyment is assured. May the presiding deity of mineral wealth make you enjoy it!

By its employment those who have attained supernatural powers overcome death, and reaching instantly the way to the world of gods, amuse themselves on the summit of Mount Meru, where the blossoms of the wishing tree are in full bloom. (34)
THE KING. But this is against the state of slavery; in this way the master will indeed become deprived.

THE KAPALIKA. (To bimself, with astonisbment). $O$ this is amazing! Well, let it be [said] thus. (Alood). If it be so, then take this great treasure for the redemption of yourself with your wife,

THE KING. How will it be so? For, slavery is considered destitute of wealth. But, as this is not worelhy of a refusal, I admit thy proposal in the interest of my master. Let chis great hidden treasure be taken to the master.

THE KAPALIKA. (To bimself, with amazement). $O$ the fortitude, $O$ the conscience, and $O$ the magnanimity! $\mathrm{Or}_{\mathrm{t}}$;

Even the mountains forsooth move when struck by the wind of universal destruction, but the steady mind of the self-possessed does not indeed move even in hardship. (35) So what is the need of my excessive persistence? (Alond, to the goblin). Sir, go and accomplish the wish of this king.

I The Vetila is a kind of spirit, parcicularly a ghost who occupiet a dead body.

THE GOBLIN. As thou of supernatural powers dost command. (Exit).

THE KAPALIKA. (Looking all around). 0 king, the night is nearly dawning. So we will go now.

THE KING. 0 man of supernatural powers, we should be remembered when thou speakess of the miserable.

THE KAPĀLIKA. King, the gods will remenber you. (Exit).
THE KING. (Looking towards the east, with serenity). Ah, indeed

The lord of the day [Sun], dispersing dense darkness and preceded by morning twlight, is rising to favour the world. (36)
So I go now to the bank of the sacted Bhïgirathia and carty out the direction of the master.
(Exit)

> End of Act IV.

## ACT V.

## (Then enters the KING in soiled and tattered clotbes)

THE KING. (Sighing, with despondency). Alas, oh, alas !
The hostility of the great sage, the forsaking of friends, the sale of wife and son, and moreover this slavery to the Cändala,-alas, of what terrible misceed indeed are these the itresistible fruits obtained by me, a hard-hearted foolish person ? ( 1 ) ${ }^{\text {. }}$
(With distress). Oh, powerful is destiny ! For:
With my neck humbled down, the angry and mighty sage, having severed mefrom royal glory, completely destroyed three things. ${ }^{2}$ Even in respect of these destiny who loves disaster became so cruel that my wife, son and myself, all indeed is lost in a moment ! (2)
(With reflection, beaving a long sigh, with distress). Oh, oh !
Grieving at night, distressed by affliction and emaciated in body, she thinks every day in her mind of the redemption which should surely be effected by me, and suppores her life towards reunion with me. Oh, alas, she does not know me, a wrecth, who has now arrived at chis state ! (3)

## (Sigbing). O my child Rohitäśva,

O child, how do you, inclined to hundreds of wayward tricks on the lap of the nurses, [now] roll at last on the ground, having grown sleepy? The urchins, who are

1. It would be better to read na trayam instead of nas trayam in the text, although ehis latter reading is authenticated by all MSS. The emendation. would give better sense. It would mean that the sage, having severed the king from royal glory, did not completely destroy three things: but destiny completed the destruction. The cranslation would then read: 'the angry and mighty sage, having severed me from royal glory, did not completely descroy three things, In respect of these, however, destiny etc.
harshly abusive to the ear, now order you about,-you whose order was greeted by hundreds of kings. (4)
(Patbetically, after long reflection).
This head is ready; let dangers fall, I welcome them. It is true to say that adversity is same as prosperity to chose whose mind is contented after doing what is to be done. But, O child, you are distressing my heart today ; for you, who should have been reared on the lap, have been bitten unexpectedly by the cruel serpent of destiny without having fulfilled your duty. ${ }^{1}$ (5)
(With apprebension). May evil be averted !' [I should have said]
By cruel fate you have been brought to a grievous state without fulfilling your duty. (5a)
(Indicating quivering of the left eye and throbbing of the right arm).
The left eye quivers and the right arm chrobs. This appears to speak that both adversity and prosperity are imminent to me. (6)
(With reflection). Or, what is the need of thinking even now of adversity and prosperity? The wicked and wretched Hariscandra is finished indeed! For,

After this, what is adversity is prosperity indeed. To me , evil that I am, verily the door of prosperity is death. (7)
(Enters without toss of the curtain a Cändala)
THE CANDALAS. Ah, of the son. ${ }^{3}$

1 This is unconsciously anticipating the incident of serpent-bite befalling Rohitaíva,

2 C. Venios, i ad 6: sinuam päpamp pratibatam amangalam; on which [ageddhara cocoments: Sintam papam anähainhese (i.e. syntactically isolated) its Bberatala. Obviously it was a conventional phrase.

3 From the immediate context of the king's speech, "deach' of the son is indicared; hence che king's apprebension. In Sanskrit dramaturgy such a derioe of cleverly indicnaing an imminent future event of an unpleasant nature


THE KING. (With apprebension). Sir, what of the son ?
THE CĀNDALAS. Oh, I am saying that a woman, weeping most piteously, is staying by the side of her dead son. So, go ever so quickly and take the blanket of the dead. I am now going to the master. (Exit).

THE KING. (Walks about).
(Bebind the stage)
O my child, where are you ? Give me a reply.
THE KING. (Listening, patbetically). Alas, dreadful lamentation! (Then enters SAIBYA as indicated, acting as if in distress)

SAIBYA. O my child, where are you ? Give me a reply. (Acting as if stupefied and recovering consciousness). O my child, it is not proper for you now to abandon my piserable self as I have been abandoned by your father. (Becomes unconscious).

THE KING. (Listening and looking, with distress). What-is this unfortunate woman also abandoned by her husband? The cruelty of cursed fate everywhere and in every way !

SAIBYA. (Rising up, in agitation). How is it indeed! Where is my litele son gone? (Looking, and embracing). O son, why don't you talk to me? Do you not see, this is a great and terrible burning ground : alone, I am afraid. (As if insane). What do you say? That you were bitten by a black serpent coming out of the hollow of the tree, while you had been gathering flowers for the sake
bursts into a sublime apostrophe to his wife: him asyà na preyab param asabyas tw virabah ('what of hers is not dear, save only unendurable separation') the Attendant enters and startles him by saying apastbiteab ('is come'), thereby indicating the immediately following separation from Sita, which Raima dreads 20 mnch. A similar situation occurs in Veni-s. ii. ad 23, on the occasion of the breaking of the flag-staff of Duryodhana's chariot. It is a kind of dramatic irony, which produces an effect not unlike that made by lago's Aside after an outburst of Othello (ii. 1, 185).
of the teacher ? (In agiastion). Where is the black serpent'? Why does it not bite me? (Looking around). False, false ! Whence could chis black serpent be here ? (Sitting down, patbetically). O my child, rise up, fexch for the preceptor untorn leaves of the Mälürs ${ }^{4}$ and the sprouts of Kuśa grass growing on sesamum ${ }^{2}$ field. The time for his uffering of oblation is passing. All the students of the Veda, having returned, will now offer oblations. (Wants to raise Mp, wisth emotion). What I Have you cruly gone fas away, abandoning my ill-fated self? Alas, I am undone, unfortunate that I am! (Becomes unconscious).

THE KING. (With distress). Alas, these utterances would be very unpleasant even to cruel destiny.

SAIBYA. (Recovering consciousness, with reproacb). O my husband, look now at the changed condicion of the son who was once wayward on your lap. Always pitiless, where are you now, free with your unsuspecting heart? But then, I was asked by you that this boy should be guarded with care ; that my wicked self has not been able to carry out.

THE KING. (With great patbos). Oh, the heart-touching lamentations!

SAIBYA. (Looking at every limb of ber son). O my child, this strip of forechead of yours is indeed brighe like the beautiful moon. These eyes, tender and white but reddish in the corner, have very, well-knit eye-laskes. And this broal chest is serong with well-seet trame of bones. What inauspicious sign then was seen on this body by accursed Death ? Or, what fault was there of my truehful husband because of my unfortunate self? Righteousness is fruitless in every way! The indications are not trustwortly, and anen versed in the science [ot signs] speak fals; for I was cold many

1. The word Mrswahi would also mean, by Nitya-samäsa, a deadly poisonous serpent.

3 Either Bilva (Aagle marmelos) or Kapittina (Ferouia elephantum).
3 Tila or Sesamum indicwm.
times by those, who are expert in interpreting signs on the body and who have proved their trustworthiness,-rthis son of yours would become an upholder of the dynasty and a long-lived universal sovereign'. But everything has been falsified by the bad luck of my wretched self.

THE KING. (With apprebension). Why, this uttetance appears to agree! (Looking searchingly, with tears). Oh indeed

This head is shaped like a parasol; the long eyes extend up to the end of the broad forchead. The feet have marks of circles, the hands of lotuses; the arms extend up to the knee. The waist is narrow ; the chest is broad ; the belly is small; and the hips are plump. Surely this child, marked by signs [indicative] of universal dominion, is the offspring of a royal family. (8)
(Acting as if remembering, with despondency). Alas, by this time the child Rohitásiva must be at this stage of life ; so my heart is apprehensive. Or, may all evil of my child be averted !

SAIBYA. (With reproach, in the air). O revered Kausika, your wish is now fulfilled!

THE KING. (Witb emolion). What? She reproaches the revered Kauśika. There is nothing that does not agree in every way. So there is no need of suspecting her for another's wife; this is Śaibyä indeed. (Loohing long, patbetically). Enough of doubt now, for:

This is that voice, languid as it is with piccous bewailings, which sounds like a deep-toned string-instrument. And these are those hairs, though disordered, which are curled and black like a swarm of bees. These are those emaciated limbs which cannot be recognised by me all at once. This is that loveliness which, soiled like an old picture, can be inferred from the lines of features. (9)
O my child Rohitäfva, where are you? Give me a reply. (Falls insensible. Recovering consciowsness and looking at sbe face of Robi-

Läsua). Ill-fated I am, I recollect [his] infancy, when the sprouts of teech have not appeared.

No longer shining forth is that face, decorated manifestly with auspicious and fragrant resin and adorned with slender and dangling locks, and [cherefore] bearing the beauty of a lovely lotus crossed by bees. (io)

Alas, my child Rohitasisa ! Alas, the young twig of the solar dynasty! Alas, the gladdener of the heart of Hariscandra! Alas, the chief price for the redemption of angry Kausika's fee!

No worship with sacrifice has beén made, no gifts have been bestowed, no happiness worthy of the family has been enjoyed, no renown has been widespread,-O my child, you have gone to heaven, imitating the sprouting seed of the Indian fig tree fallen on a barren soil. (11)

Moreover, O child, $\quad$
Your head is not sanctified by the water of royal consecration, your hands by gifts and your feet by the bowing down of the heads of enemies. Your arms are not marked by the sear of the bow-string. You are lost like the first moon of the lunar fortuight just making its appearance. (12)
(With reflection). Should I here approach and reveal myself to the bewailing queen? Or, it is not proper to agitate the poor woman already consumed by grief for her son by [revealing to her] this other changed condition of myself. (Looking at himself). You wicked and cursed Hariscandra, why are you not dead yet? After this, what more would you see? (Becoming unconscious, and slowly awakening). You wicked and cursed Hariścandra, shat you do not yet discard your wretched life, - is is because you want to ave yourself from the after-life of a suicide? Fie on you, you fool !

It is better even today to plunge into cerrible and uttex dackness; the horizon should not again be seen bereft of the moon-like face of the son. (13)

Moreover:
Andham-tamas, Krakaca, Bhairava, Pūya, Vīci, the terrible Asipatra-vana, Raurava and Salmali, ${ }^{1}$-in these forms of hell, there is no suffering which is equal to the sorrow born of the loss of a son. (14)
No need of delay then! Well, consumed by fiery sorsow for my son, I will extinguish myself by a fall from the bank of the Bhägirathī. (Slowly walking, and as if remembering, with emotion). Oh, oh, indeed, in degrees I forget myself as a slave. (Reflecting, with despondency). Alas, indeed, alas!

Those whose course of conduct is under their own control are fortunate; they can attain bliss by death. But the wretched persons who sell themselves are not their own masters even for discarding their lives. (15)
(Acting as if distressed). Then my unfortunate self is deprived even of this desire. For:

Of this rerrible suffering there is surely remedy in fortitude. [But] this violation of the master's authority is a great calanity which cannot be averted. (16)
(With resoluteness). I will now accept the authority of my master by controlling myself, consumed as I am by fiery and unbearable sorrow, by the pouring of the emollient of true judgment. For:

Manifested in the middle and unmanifested at the beginning and at the end, by some caprice existing from eternity, the nature of this world, brought together in five parts, ${ }^{2}$ is to attain that state of the fivefold [dissolution]. By the revolving of the breaking waves of oceanlike existence ${ }^{8}$ the incidents of union become the same as those of separation, I am in perplexity to understand what reason there can be, other than illusion of attachment, for the sorrow of wise men. (17)
: Salf-descriptive names of various kinds of Hell. See notes to this passage in art rext:

2 Thiat is, the five elements, namely, air, water, earth, light and space.
3 That is, successive birchs.

SAlBYE. (Regaining consciousness). What! this wrecthed life does yet forsake me. What then should indeed be done now? (Vipung tecrrs). Well, I will kull myself by hanging on this tree of the burniug ground. (Prepares a noose).

THE KING. (Lookıng, in a flurry). Alas, this is another fruit of the misfortune of living now befallen! So what should my unfortunate self do? (Reflecting). Well, let this be [done]. (Retiring apart).

The worlds of future existence have their paths well determined by the diversions of the praturity of one's diverse acts. By discarding the illusion of the uns:bmissive, those who know the true nature of the future world, laugh indeed at this world. ( $x^{8}$ )

SAIBYA. (Listens with surprise).
THE KING. (Again moving on another sidc, atters the words '「bose ubose course of conduct' atc.).

SAIBYA. (Listening, and throwing away the noose, in agitation). Fee, fie! With my heart bent upon the festivity of death, even this state of slavery is forgoten by me, whose life is under the control of another. I will not be freed from this slavery even in another life [If I commit suicide ]. (Looking upward, with a long stgh). O divine destiny, I cannot even receive death from your divine presence. Therefore, I am undone, ill-fated that I am! (Making berself fall, rising up bastily and wiping tears). How long should I bewail now in this adverse state, terrible and irreparable? Atter dong what the ocession demands, I should now purify myself by means of vows, fasting and religious observances and by pleasing the great Braluman by my service, proper to nay state of slavery, so that my wrecthed self would not be reborn in this human world. (Arranges the funeral pyre).

THE KING. (Looking, patbelicilly). Ah, she has begun what is proper for the occasion. (To bimself). Well done, O quecti, well done ! Nobility of birch has not been cranggressed even under the circumstances. So I will now approach and carry out the com-
mand of my master. (Doing the same, wisb distress and despondency). O queen,-(With the speech unfinisbed covers bis face). 0 noble lady,

No one should perform the rites fit for the cremation ground without my knowledge and without making over the blanket of the dead. (19)
So bring me the blanket of the dead. (Restraining tears, stretches bis band).

SAIBY太. (Acting as if frigbtened). Good sit, stay at a distance, I am bringing you. .

THE KING. (Stays, as if asbamed).
SAIBYA. (Drawing the cloth from the body of Robitā́va and giving it ; looking at the band, with surprise, to berself). What,this hand, marked as it is by the signs betokening a sovereign king, has been employed in this work! (Looking by degrees at every limb, with recognition). Why,-this is my husband. (In agitation), Alas, my lord, help, help! (Making berself fall).

THE KING. (Moving away). O queen, it is not proper for you to touch me who am defiled by servitude to a Cändāla. Recover yourself, recover yourself.

SAIBYA. (Recovering). Alas, alas, what is this ?
THE KING. This is maturity of one's acts. So enough of lamentation ! Bring me this [blanket].

SAIBYA. (Makes over, with distross).
(Sbower of flowers falls from the sky; botb look at each otber, with amazoment).

THE KING. What,-shower of flowers from the sky ?
(Bebind the stage)
O the liberality, O the character, O the forcitude, O the forbearance, $\mathbf{O}$ the cruthfulness, O the wisdom of the wise Hariscandra I (20)

SAIBYA. (Listening with pride). $\mathrm{Ah}_{\mathrm{h}}$, who is this now comforting my heart by praising the qualities of my husband? But enough of words of praise! Even my husband feels this change of fortune. Righteousness is utterly in vain. Everything is crying in the wilderness. All knowledge is dancing in the dark.
(Tben enters RIGHTEOUSNESS)
RIGHTEOUSNESS. O thou great devoted wife, thou great king Hariścandra, what I am I seally in vain? Look then,

I have come now to make a gift of those worlds, sanctified by the presence of the Supreme Spirit, which are difficult of access to other kings by means of truthfulness, charity and energetic action. (21)
So there is no need of despondency. Child Rohitäsva, breathe [again], breathe.

THE KING. (Looking, with delight). What,-is he the god Righteousness? $O$ god, I salute thee.

SAIBYA. O god, I bow to thee.
ROHITÀSVA. (Awakens by slow degrees).
RIGHTEOUSNESS.
O child, protected righteously by your father, breathe again, in order to rule over the subjects for a long lime by your life revived from death. (22)
ROHITASSA. (Rising up). Why , -is it mother? Bus by whom $^{\text {m }}$ lave you been brought to this place?

SAIBYA. Dear son, by my own face.
RIGHTEOUSNESS. O child, chis your father, who is a guest - of the world of the Supreme Spirit, is in front of you.

ROHITASVA. Father, save me, save me I (Throws bimself).
THE KING. O my child, defiled by slavery to a Cindẹiia, I am not fit co be touched by you.

RIGHTEOUSNESS. O king, enough of such piteous words now ! For:

That Brahman, with his wife, who purchased her [Saibyā], that Cāndāla, and where that kingdom of yours is, -O king, to understand all this secret in their reality, I give you now divine eye-sight. (23)
Who, who is there of the aerial chariots?
(Entering)
AN ATTENDANT. Let your divine self command.
RIGHTEOUSNESS. Be at this place.
THE ATTENDANT. Here I am.
RIGHTEOUSNESS. O great king, mounting the aerial chariot look at all this, as it is, with divine vision.

THE KING. As your divine self commands. (Mounting the divine chariot, as if in meditation). What an eqror, what an error! The revered Kausika, satisfied by the service of the Sciences, has released our kingdon to the ministers.

RIGHTEOUSNESS. O king, the sage acted like that only for testing your truthfulness and not for the greed of kingdom. So you need not be agitated. Look at all this with a tranquil mind.

THE KING. (Again as if in meditation, with delight). 0 queen, I congratulate you.

That naturally kind-hearted Brahman, accompanied by his wife, who purchased you, was indeed no other than the two Sivas, husband and wife. That person, who was my purchaser, was verily the god Righteousness himself. Therefore, the dart [of sorrow] in my mind is now appeased. (24)
RIGHTEOUSNESS. Then annoint the child Rohitäsva in the kingdom of the earth.

THE KING. O revered god, as thou dost command.
RIGHTEOUSNESS. A throne, a throne; an umbeella, an umbrella; a chowre, a chowrie; a pitelier, a pitcher!

## THE ATTENDANT.

Here is brought this throne, studded with bright gems, - and this [royal] umbrella resembling the full orb of the autumnal moon; this pait of chowries, white as the spread of moonlight, with their gold handles; this water in pitchers, brought together from seas on all sides. (25)
(Righteousness and Hariscandra act as if annointing Robitaisua)
RIGHTEOUSNESS. (Looking upwards). The coronation festivity of ehe child Rolitāśva is being greeted happily by deities passing in aerial chariots. Thus:

These rivers bear pitchers filled with waters from places of pilgrimage. The sweet and deep sound of drums pervades all directions. These young divine maidens dance, throwing showers of Mandāra flowers. These guardians [of the four quarters] of the world are serving the king by their individual manifestations. (26)
All duty is finished. Now ascend the world of Brahman.
THE KING. O revered god,
When the angry Kausika, biting his lips, was engaged in scolding, those of my subjects, who said to me with their faces afflicted with freshly flowing re.rs-O lord, where are you going leaving us, utterly undone (khalu Ratän), without a protector, take us with you'-how canl I, leaving them, go in selfishness, to the world to which I am ordained by you? (27)
RIGHTEOUSNESS. O king, how can sucla be the destiny of the subjects whose nature is high or low according to the diversity of their own acts?

- THE KING.

With those subjects alone, I want to enjoy those worlds for a moment, for [even] half a moment. Or let those worlds ordained by you be theirs by the force of just my own merit alone. (28)

RIGHTEOUSNESS. (With wonder). Oh, the extraordinary character of this royal sage! O king, the eternal worlds have been earned for yourselves and your subjects by this other store of merii brought about by this gift of your own merit. Then tell me, how can I again benefit you with what is desirable.

THE KING. O revered god, there is someching desirable even after this? For,

By the acquisition of the Sciences, even the sage has discarded his false indignation on me. Even this child -regaining his, life, has attained universal soveceignty. 0 revered god, even you have been directly seen by me. I have attained also the same world with Brahman. Whatever desirable again would thert be othér than this which I slall pray for? (29)
Still, let this be
Let the earth be prosperous in harvest and bear good and contented people. Let the king be victorious, Let those who appreciate merit favour even the paricle of merit, which poets put into their own composition. (30)
Moreover,
He , who laving directed the staging of this play, himself intensely thrilled with joy, bestowed every day unstinted heaps of clothes, ornaments and gold,-let the fame of that Kärttikeya, son of a Ksatriya, extend over this world, even beyond the milk-ocean, along with the fame of the poet preceding it. (3I)
(Exeunt omnis)

End of Act V.
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sarvaṃ kälam apeksate III. 8d.
sarvasva-dāna- II. 28b.
sarvaịịi tejāṃsi II. 16c.
savyetaras tu II. 23d.
sāndrah snigdhah V. 26b.
sā vānî V. 9a.
siddhayal! käma- IV. 32c.
sukham vã duḥkham IV. 29a.
sukhāny avàplūni V. 1lb.
sutanu ghttahtulivat IV. 5 d.
sutanu sasinah I. 2b.
sulabham sarvam IV. 27c.
seyam kalpa-lateva III. 19d.
so'hap jagat-traya. II. 3c.
sthagita-mukhĩu I. 12d.
sthairyap cale 1. 29b.
snäyv-asthi-granthi-stram III. 2c.
spandate vàma- V. 6 a.
sphurati ca kim akảnde I. 21a.
sphurad vidyul-lolam II. 10d. harir goptā II. 5b. sphuradbhir nirvärya- IV. 21b. hariscandra iva I. 6 c . smrtvū tâmyati III. 4b. hariscandram imūm III. 27d. srajam api yä IV. 6 b. svakarma-vaicitrya- V. 18a. svayonim âbädya II. 16d. svādhino yom III. 14d. svārthah sa teṣịn I. 15d. svaih svair aṃsaih. V. 26d.
hata-vidhi.vaşät III. 10d.
hā kaṣtam yad inuị̀ V. 3 d.
häras ca kanṭ̂a-graha- I. 15c.
hrdaye mat- 1. 18b.
hryyan-naktamcaraugham IV. ISc. hemnām laksam II. 29b.
hemnā meru-vasunuhhureva III. 19a.
helî-vakrita- II. 8a.

## INDEX OF PRAKRIT WORDS

|  | aṇa-aṇo III ad 18. |
| :---: | :---: |
| $a(=c a) \text { I ad } 20 . i \text {, V.ad } 7$ | ạ̣ I ad 9; V ad 7. |
| aărạ!a-aätap̣ V ad 7: V ad 20. | attä —attaṇ I ad 15 : V ad 22. |
| akhandida-akhandidāim V ad 7. |  |
| aúga-aingehim 1 ad 20 acchi I ood ${ }^{\circ} 28$. | attambhara-atiambharo <br> 17. |
| ajja-l ad 4. | adikkantan I ad 12. |
| ajjo I ad 5; III ad 19: III before 22, III ad 22: III ad 25. | adikkamidum III before 22. |
|  | adibhümiṇ I ad 17. |
| ajjin II ad 16: II | adimetta I ad 17. |
| 18 ; III nd 19. | addha III, ad 17; III ad 22. adhavā V ad 20. |
| ajjautta-I ad 28: III ad 17: III | ha 1 ad 7. |
| 25; | $V$ ad |
| ad 19. | appadiāra V au! 18. |
| ajjautto | appamāņa-appamiṇaṃ V oul 7. |
| 20 : I ad ?6 | appȧ 1 ad 14. |
| 19: V ad 20. | ammo V ad 20. |
| utte I | araṇa I ad 28 : V ad 20. |
| ajjauttap III ad 22 | $\checkmark$ arh-arihadi 111 before 2 |
| ajjauttassa I ad 26: V ad 7: V ad 20. | alakkhaṇa-alakkhaṇam V ad 7. alia I $\boldsymbol{u d} 16$. |
|  | ap III befo |
| aṇatha-anattho I ad 9. | aliavādin̨a-aliavãdiṇo V ad 7 avacinanta-avacinanto V ad |
| avarādhamiñ | hima |
| nảo II ad 16 | avatthantara-avathanlaraṃ V |
| aravekkhanta-anavekkhanto I ad 7 | V |
| antadha I ad 9. | da III ad |
| āo | avisasaniäṇa--avisasaṇiañ |
| gahida-anugahida Ill ad 19. | 15. |
| anucitthidumi I ad 28 | $\checkmark$ as- |
| ssoanta-anuscanto I ad 12: | 22 |
| III ad 26: | a V ad |

asaranā-asarapaio 11 cul 16.
aham-I ad 9; I ad 28.
mama I ad 9.
mac I ad 14; I ad 18; V ad 7; V ad 18.
mam I ad 15;1 cal 17; 111 od 17; Ill ad 18; Ill ad 19; III before 22; Ill ad 22: Ill al 25; 11I ad 26; V ad 7; V ad 17.
ahiṇivesa-ahiniveso 1 ad 14.
äisa I ad 28.
ikannaṇa 1 add 28.
anụattậ I ad 28.
ärüdhaantī V od 18.
ālavanta-anlavanto 1 ud 17.
ivuka III ad 25.
ūsaṇa-äsaụaṃ 1 ad 18.
iisatta 1 ad 14 ; V ud 18.

Vi-edu I ad 6; 1 ad 24. ehi 1 and 12.
$\sqrt{ } \mathrm{i}+\mathrm{apa-}-\mathrm{avehi}$ lli anl 25.
idan-imam $V$ ad 20.
iminüa 1 ad 26: 111 ad 18.
imassa V ad 19.
imassip! III ad. 17; V. ad 18. imchim 1 ad 20.
imido V ad 18.
see aam.
ido 1 ad 7; 111 ad 17.
indanila 1 ad 28.
$\checkmark$ ikṇ + pra-pekkhāmi I al 9. pekkha I ad 13; V ad 7. pekkhasi $V$ ad 7.
idiso III ad 18.
ujjiara 1 ad 20 .
uṇa 1 ad 4;1 od 9:1 ad 28.
unnamida I cul 28.
uthida--utthido 1 adl 28.
ummesa I ind 7.
uvagada-uvagadan! III before 23.
uvajjhiáa $1 I I$ add 19.
uvajjhāo III before 19; III ad 25.
uvajijhiassa $V$ ad 7.
uvanuida 1 od 13 .
uvanịido V ad 19.
uvilambha-uvälambhelıı! $1 \mathrm{ck} / 17$.
uvavi!tha'-uvavilthia 1 and 13 .
uvadr- uvaiap I ad 28.
uvvandhia $V$ ad 17.
uvvahanta--uvvahamto 1 ud 28.
ciiluị $V$ ad 7.
edaṇl-1 nd 14:1 ad 18:1 ad 28 :
V ad 7; V ad 17.
cso I Ittl 9: 1 ad 22: 1 ad 28 : III and 19: V ad 7.
esa 1 ad 13: 111 before 22.
cdassal 1 ud 17: 111 ad 22.
ed.ao II ad 16.
edassim V odl 17.
ettha I ad 18: V ad 7; V ad 17.
odiarida I ad 28.
kakkara 1 ud 28.
kajsa kajjaṇ III oul 20.
kaje III ad 17.
ka!̣hina V uad 7.
kadappa -- kadappo 」ud 28.
kadāra I ad 28.
kay!aa I ad 28.
kaṇna I od 28.
kaṇhahiṇā V adl 7.
kaṇhähinā V ad 7.
kadatha- III ad 22.
kidatho V ad 9.
uggüra I ad 28.
uccaranta I ad 28.
kudanta V ad 7.
kadua III ad 17; V ad 18.
kadham I ad 18; V ad 7; V ad 17; V ad 19.
kadhä-kadhäe 1 ad 28; V all 20.
kammakäriṇi III ad 18.
karanijja-karaniijam V ad 17.
karento 1 ad 7
kavalaggaha I ad 28.
kāraṇa--kïraṇūdo V ad 7.
kīlocida-kālocidạ̣ V ad 18.
kiṃ I ad 4 ; I ad 12; I ad 16; I ad 17; I ad 28 ; 111 ad 18 ; 111 before 19; Ill ad 26.
kā III ad 17.
ko III ad 18; V ad 20.
kahụ̣ 111 ad 25 : V ad 7.
kisa 1 ad 28 .
kiṃ pi I ud 9; I ad 13.
kiṇn edaṃ V ad 19.
kittia I ad 14;
kettiam V ad 18.
kidisa-kidiso Ill ad 18.
kundalijjanta 1 ad 28.
kudo V ad 7.
kumma-hummo I ad 7.
kulavadi 1 ud 22.
kulavadina I ud 26: I ad 28.
kusilava-1 ad 5.
kusuma-kusumūip V ad 7.
$\sqrt{k p}$ -
karedi I ad 15.
karesi I ad 16.
karissam I ad 17.
karemi 111 and 22; III ad 25.
kesara I ad 28.
kodara-kodariido V ad 7.
kosia V ad 8.
kthu I ad 28; V ad 7; V. ad 17. $\checkmark$ kram+ati-adikkūmadi $\mathbf{V}$ ad 7 .
kri-kinadha Ill ad 17; Ill ad 19.
kinissadi III ad 18
khandaṇa I ad 9; I יld 2 R .
khu, I ad 13; I ad 16; Ill ad 17.
See also kkhu.
khedaidavva-khedaidavvo I ad 17.
gadua 1 ad 12.
gada-gado 111 ad 25 ; V ad 7.
$\checkmark$ gam-gamissaṃ I ad 28.
gacchadha Ill ad 18.
gacchadi III ad 25.
$\checkmark$ gam + ä-áaccha III ud 25.
gabbhadāsa-III ad 25.
garua 1 ad 28.
garuo I ad 14; 111 ad 19.
gavva lad 28.
gahovaräa-gahovarāc I ad 5.
$\checkmark$ grah + anu-anugehỵasu III
before 22.
goaraṃ I ad 15;1 ad 16.
ghurughurā I ade 28.
ghonagga I ad 28.
cakkavatī V oud 7; V ad 18.
cakkhijjanta I ad 28.
canikamana I ad 28.
canda I ad 28.
carida-caride V ud 7.
cïrumadI--cïrumadi 1 ad $14 ; 1$ ad 15.
cärumadie I idd 13: I' ud 26.
cintaanta-cintaanto I ad 9.
cira-äla I od 28.
jaa 1 ad 28.
jai 1 ad 17: 1 ad 28.
japa III ad 19.
japo I ad 5 .
janāṇukampī III ad 18.
jado $V$ ad 7.
jadhā I ad 28: V ad 7; V ad 18.
jammantara--jammantare V ad 18.
galahara-jalaharo I ad 28.
jasukkara I ad 28.
jāda V ad 7; V ad 22.
jảva III ad 22: III ad 25.
$\sqrt{\text { ji--jaadu I ad 18; I ad 22; I ad }}$ 28.
jivida-jividap V ad 17.
jividūe V ad 18.
;īhā I ad 28.
juala I ad 28.
jutta-juttam V ad 7.
jüdhādhivai-jüuhuähivai I ad 28.
jeva, jjeva-jeva I ad 12; I ad 28 ;
III ad 17; V ad 7.
jeva I ad 5: I ad 9 ; I ad 17.
$\checkmark$ jinã $+\bar{a}$ (caus.)-āṇavedi I ad 22:
I ad 24 ; I ad 26 ; Ill before 19 :
III ad 19; III ad 25; IV ad 35.
'jinãa + prati-paḍiṇ̣àdā I ad 5.
$\checkmark$ jāā + vi (caus)-viụnaviadi III before 19.
!!̣hānaṃ I ad 28.
ṇa I ad 9; I ad 17; I ad 28; 1II before 22; V ad 7; V ad 17: V ad 18.
nap I ad 16; I ad 17.
ṇaccida- naccidap $V$ ad 20.
namo I ad 15.
nava I ad 28.
n̄āma V ad 20.
nia I ad 28.
niama-niamehíp V ad 18.
niara I ad 28.
ṇikkamia V ad 7.
nikkiva $V$ ad 7.
niḍàla V ad 7.
niddalida I ad 28.
ṇiddālasa-ṇiddālasehiṃ I ad 20.
nipphala I ad 14.
nimesa- nimesiim I oul 7.
nirantara I ad 28.
ỵisä I ad 26; I ad 28.
nisida I ad 28.
nîda-pinido 1 ad 17
pịsisa I ad 28.
nu V ad 17 。
nedum III ad 25.
taḍic-chadã I ad 28.
taṇumiltan I ad 5.
tad-so 1 ad 5; V ad 7.
se I ad 14: V ad 7.
taṇ V ad 7.
tena 1 ad 4.
tahim! I ad 12;1 ad 28; III od 19.
tā (-- tena : Pischel = (at) I ad 12: I ad 17: I ad 26:I ad 28: Il ad 16; Ill ad 17; 111 ad 18; III before 22; 111 oul 22; V ad 7; V ad 17; V ad 18.
tie Ill ad 19.
tadial ud 5.
tado I ad 7: I ad 17.
tadhā I ad 15; I ad 28; III ad 17; V ad 7.
$\sqrt{\text { tark-takkemı I ad 9; III ud } 19 .}$
ti, uti-ti I ad 17; III ad 19: V ad 7.
tti I ad 5; I ad 9; I ad 15: I ad 16; III ad 18 ; V ad 7.
tilacchetta V ad 7.
vtyaj+ pati-pariccaadi V ad 17. pariccaasi V ad 7.
$\checkmark$ trai + pari- parittāadha II ad 16. disã-I ad 28.
paritū̄hi Ill ad 26 ; V ad 19. dīṇa III ad 18.
tvat-tumam I ad 12; I ad 28; III dihāu-V ad 7.
ad 18; Ill ad 25; V ad 7.
tava I ad 19.
tue I ad 17; V ad 7.
de I ad 17; I ad 28; IIL ad 17;
III ad 18; III before 22; V ad
7; V ad 19.
tumhānạ̣ UII ad 18.
dakkhiṇā I ad 5.
daṭha-V ad 7.
dantantara I ad 28.
dabbhạ̣kura-dabbhamkuräin $\mathbf{V}$ ad 7.
darugginṇa I ad 7.
$\checkmark$ daps-damsedi V ad 7.
dasa I ad 28.
dasã V ad 18.
dạ̄̂hā I ad 28.
dānịip I ad 5; I ad 14; III ad 17;
Ill ad 22; V ad 7; V ad 18;
V ad 20.
dāva I ad 18; I ad 26; V ad 7. dāvānala I ad 28.
dāsattaṇap V add 18.
dāsabhăva-dāsabhāvãdo V ad 18.
dāruṇa-dāruṇe V ad 18.
dâsi-däsip III ad 17.
dāsie I ad 16.
dāsittaña V ad 18.
dāsittaṇe III ad 22.
diavara-diavaro III ad 18.
diavarap V ad 18.
diavarassa I ad 5; III ad 22.
dittha-paccaa--ditttha-paccaehim V ad 7.
ditthia III ad 22.
ditthe I ad 17.
dutțha I ad 17; I ad 26.
duppaccaa-duppaccaeṇa I ad 26. dullalida-dullalidassa V ad 7. dūra-dūraṃ III ad 25 ; V ad 7 . dūrado V ad 19.
$\checkmark$ dṛ́s-disadi III ad 19.
devī-I ad 13.
devím I ad 12.
devie I ad 9.
devva V ad 18.
dosa I ad 28.
dhamma-dhammo V ad Z: V ad 20.
dhie I ad 16.
$\checkmark$ nam + pra-panamāmi 1 ad 24;
V ad 21.
$\checkmark$ nī+ava-avaṇehi I ad 14.
$\sqrt{n \overline{1}}+$ upa-uvauphi $V$ ad 7. uvanaissam V ad 19.
$\checkmark$ nud + vi (caus.)-vinodesi I ad 28. vipodaissap I ad 28.
paaṇụeṇ V ad 7.
paiyna 1 ad 28.
pautta-pautto I ad 22.
paoapa-paoapap III ad 18.
paccavāa-paccavāap I ad 28.
pajäara I ad 7: I ad 26.
pajjanta V ad 7.
pajjäula-pajjäulo I ad 5.
pajjuvāaapa-pajjuvāsapap III ad 18 ; III ad 19.
padiniutta-padịiuutā V ad 7.
padiṇnā III ad 22.
paḍivaaṇa-padivaaṇaq V ad 7. pia-piaṃ I ad 17.
padivakkha I ad 28.
paḍivaṇna-padivaṇnam V ad 7.
paḍhama III before 22.
panaa-panao III ad 17.
paṇ̣ara I ad 28.
$\checkmark$ pad + vì $\overline{\text { an }}$ (caus)-vāvādaissaṃ
V ad 17.
pamāṇa-pamāñaṃ I ad 28.
pamïda- pamädo $V$ ad 7.
pamhala-pamhale V ad 7.
parammuhatā III ad 17.
parādhīṇa V ad 18.
parikkhiviäma-parikkhiviảmo ad 16.
parikuvida-parikuvido I ad 5. parikuvidā I ad 9.
parikkhitta I ad 28.
pariccatta--pariccattā V $\mu \mathrm{d} 7$.
paridevidavva-paridevidavvaṃ ad 18.
paripphuranta I ad 28.
paribhavidavva-paribhavidavvo 1
ad 14.
pariharia III ad 18.
parucchittha III ad 18.
palaa I ad 28.
paloanta-paloanto, paloidàvvo I ad 17.
pasāhaṇovaarana-pasāhaṇovaaraṇāc 1 ad 13.
pasāhauovaaranạ̣̣ I ad 14.
pāḍala-pàdale V ad 7.
pāṇi $V$ ad 19.
pādava-pädave V ad 17.
$\checkmark$ pall + prati-paḍivăledu III ad 22. padivālesu III ad 25.
pīlaṇia-pâlaṇio V ad 7.
pāvasilā-pävasiliue $\mathbf{V}$ ad 7.
pi I ad 28: III ad 17: V ad 7; V ad 18.

II
piāsã 1 ad 18.
piùga I ad 28.
pidā-piduṇā V ad 7
puḍa 1 ad 28.
puṇo V ad 18.
puttaa V ad 7.
puttao V ad 7.
puttaassa V ad 7.
purisa III ad 18; III ad 19.
pirida I ad, 28.
$\checkmark$ pracch-pucchasi I ad 17.
phuliigasesa--phulingaseso I all 28.
bamhaüri--banhaäriṇo $V$ ad 7.
bahukajia I ad 16 .
bahuvallaha-bahuvallahai 1 ad 16 .
V bahusa-bahuso V chl 7.
bälaa-bälao V ad 7.
bubhukkhii 1 ad 28.
blaa I ad 28.
bhaava-bhaivam I ad 24: I cul 26 :
1 ad 28; V ad 7; V ad 18; V
ad 20.
bhaavadia I ad 20.
bhaavado V ad 18.
$\checkmark$ bhayn-bhanchi I od 16.
bhaṇãdha Ill ad 18.
bhanasi V ad 7.
bhat!̣a--bhat!̣ini I ad 14; I ad 16;
1 ad 17.
bhat!ā I ad 24; I ad 28.
bhaddamuha V ad 19.
bhava-bhavam I cul 24.
bhäadhea-bhaiadheĩyam I ad 15 .
bhīadheehiṃ V ad 7; V ad 22.
$\checkmark$ bhi-bhāāmi $V$ odd 7.
bhisana-bhisapar $\mathbf{V}$ ad 7.

Vbhil-bhodu I ad 18; I ad 26; V ad 17. hohi III ad 17.
bhavissadi I ad 28; V ad 7.
bhavissaṃ V ad 18.
$\checkmark$ bhū+anu-aṇubhodi V ad 20.
$\checkmark$ bhū +pra-pahavissam I ad 17.
$\checkmark$ bhū + sam-saṃbhavissam V ad 18.
bhoaṇa I ad 28.
bhoaṇaṃ III ad 18.
bhodi III ad 25.
bhodié I ad 18.
vhram+pari--paribbhamasi I ad 7.
mansala-mamsalo 1 ad 28.
maavva I ad 28.
magga-maggam I ad 7.
maṇussa V ad 18.
mantaantī I ad 13.
mantidam I ad 18.
manthara-mantharehim I ad 7 ;
I ad 20.
mandabhäṇī V ad 7; V ad 18.
mandabhāinịio II ad 16.
mandabhäiṇiṃ III ad 17: III ad 26; V ad 7.
mandabhäinịe $V$ ad 7.
$\checkmark$ man + anu-anumaṇnadu III ad 22.
maridum V ad 18.
marisa I ad 28.
masāṇ V ad 17. masāṇạ V ad 7.
mahāp̣ubhāvadā - mahāṇubhāvadäe 1 ad 17.
mahārāa-mahărāo I ad 17; 1 ad 18; I ad 22.
mahäräeṇa 1 ad 18.
mahūsava V ad 18.
mahesi-mahesino III ad 26.
märuda I ad 28.
mälüra V ad 7.
miañka V ad 7.
muttha-thali I ad 28.
muddha V ad 7.
mulla--mullena III ad 17.
muha I ad 28.
muhaṇ III ad 25.
muho I ad 7.
muhuttaa-muhuttaam III ad 22;
III ad 25.
mūsaa-mūsao I ad 7.
yad--jassa I ad 5.
jaṃ I ad 18; I ad 22: I ad 24: I ad 26; III before 19; III ad 19; 1II ad 25: IV ad 35: V ad 7.
jahiṃ III ad 19.
$\sqrt{ }$ yas $+\bar{a}$-āāsesi I ad 12.
rāa-rāiṇā I ad 4. rāāno I ad 16.
rudidaṃ V ad 20.
$\checkmark$ ruh $+\overline{a ̄}($ caus.)-airovehi III ad 17.
rosãupala I ad 28.
rosubbhaḍa I ad 28.
lakkhaṇa-V ad 19.
lakkhanaṃ V ad 7.
lagga I ad 28.
lañghaṇa I ad 28.
ladã I ad 28.
$\sqrt{\text { lap }}+\bar{a}-$ ālavasi $V$ ad 7.
, labh-labhiadi V ad 18.
loa (=loka)-loe V ad 18.
loana-loanehiṃ I ad 7; I ad 20. loaṇe V ad 7.
vamsa $V$ ad 7.
vaaṇa-vaaṇaṃ I ad 17.
vaassa (see pia) I ad 7; I ad 9; I ad 12; I ad 13; I ad 15; I ad 28.
vakkha-tthala--vakkha-thalo V ad 7.
vatta-vaț̦aṃ V ad 7.
vaḍua III ad 25.
vaduassa I ad 9.
vaḍ̣hana - vaḍdhaṇo V oll 7.
vaṇa I ad 28.
vatta-vattāiṇ V ad 7.
vadovavāsa V ad 18.
varisanta I ad 28.
valaa I ad 28.
vā III ad 18.
vāvīra-vāvãtassa V od 19
vāsaa-sajaī--vāsaa-sajjie I ded 9.
vi I ad 7: I ad 17: III al 18: V ad 7: V ad 18: $\mathbf{V}$ ad $19: \mathrm{V}$ al 20.
via I ad 7: I ad 28.
viada I ad 28.
viakkhana-viakkhanchiṃ V add 7.
vikhaṇdida I ad 28
vicchoha--vicchoho I od 28.
viḍhatta ( $=$ arjita) I ad 28.
vinoaṇa-vinoano I ad 28.
vinṇăṇa-vinṇãṇaṃ V ad 20.
viṇnānaittfaā V ad 7.
vitthära-vitthāro 1 ad 28.
vitthinṇa $V$ ad 7.
viddavida I ad 28.
vippalambha I ad 15.
vipphuranta I ad 28.
vibhüa-vibhāo I ad 28.
vimaddaṇa I ad 28.
vimukkā V ad 18
$\sqrt{\text { viśs + upa-uvavisadu } 1 \text { ad } 18 .}$
visama I ad 28.
visumarida-visumaridam V ad 18.
visamvàda-visamväde V ad 18.
visatha V ad 7.
$\checkmark$ viti-vaţiadi $V$ ad 7.
verāṇubandha I ad 28.
$\checkmark$ stithilay--siḍhilesi III oul 26.
$\checkmark$ šudh + pari (caus.) parisodhaissaṃ V ad 18.
$\checkmark$ subh-sohadi I ad 20.
V'śru-suṇidu I ad 5.
$\sqrt{ }$ śvas $+\bar{a}$ (caus)-aisissedi $\mathbf{V}$ onl 20.
$\sqrt{\prime}$ sras + sam $+\bar{a}$-samassasa I ad 17 .
saṃghadida I ad 28. •
samgghāa-samghīo I ad 28.
sampdittha-saṃlit tham $\mathbf{V}$ add 7.
saṃdehijjantu 1 ad 28.
sampadam III ad 17: V add 7.
saṃbhava-sambhavaiim V utd 7.
sammadda-sammaddo IIl oul 19 .
saṃhaddhiil-siambaddhăc I add 28.
saṃvibhảa-III ad 17.
saṇvibhäinịi-Sampvibhãinim III ad 17.
saṃvutta--samvultaṇ V ind 7.
saa (= sata) I ad 28.
saala-saalo I ad 5.
saïsa-saäsisido I ad 22; V ifl 18.
saccuka--saccakaṃ V ad 7
saccasamdha--saccasamdhassa V ad 7.
saḍā I ad 28
sanäha-saṇäho I ad 28 ; V ofl 19.
$\sqrt{\text { sad }+ \text { pra-pasidadu I add } 26 .}$ pasida 111 ad 17
pasidadha III ad 18.
$\sqrt{\text { sad }}+$ pra (caus)-pasädemba ! ad 12.
sadda 1 ad 28.
santävida-santāvideña I oul 16.
sama III ad 17;
samao III ad 18.
samacna III ad 18.
samādiţţa V ad 7. samãdit!ṭo I ad 26. samãditṭhả V ad 7. samāditiṭham I ad 4.
samäsāsia I ad 15.
samucidā-samucidãe V ad 18.
samujhia V ad 7.
samutthida-samuthido I ad 28.
saraṇa-gadā $11 I$ before 22.
sarira-sarire V ad 7.
salāhū (=Slā̆hhā)-salāhūe V ad 20.
savva III gud 18.
savve V ad 7.
savvaṃ V ad 7; V add 20.
savvadhí I ad 15; V ad 7; V ad 20.
siàdhad-südhao IV ad 35.
sādhū 111 ad 18.
sümala-sāmalo I ad 28.
simudda V ad 7.
siniddha V ad 7.
silàsāra-silaisãro 1 ad 28.
sihà I ad 28.
siha 1 ad 28.
suṭhu I ad 20.
suṇia I ad 28.
sutti 1 ad 28.
sudiṭthap 111 ad 25.
surahi I ad 28.
susaṃdit tha-susaṇdițtham III ud 22.
susanṇaddha V oud 7.
sussüsā-sussūsāe V ad 18.
suha III ad 17.
suhadida V ad 7.
s spp+upa-upasappamha I edd 15.
sothi I ad 18 .
sohỉ I ad 28.
$\checkmark$ sthā-citthadi I ad 13. citthasi V ad 7. cittha V ad 18.
$\checkmark s t h a ̄+u t-u t t ̣ h e h i ~ V ~ a d ~ 7 . ~$.
hañje I ad 14; I ad 15; I ad 26.
hada V ad 7; V ad 18.
hadaa-hadaena V ad 7.
haddhi V ad 18; V ad 19.
hiaa-hiaassa I ad 17.
hiaena 1 ad 26.
hiao V ad 7.
-hiaāe I ad 14; V ad 18.
hiaaṃ V ad 20.
, hu-huvissanti $V$ ad 7.
hudavaha-hudavahe II ad 16.
hodavvaṃ III ad 19.

## 2. Miggadhi.

adha (=atha)-1II before 34.
adhiàla III 32b.
adhivadi-adhivadi III 32a.
appamatta-appamattena IV ad 17.
ayya- -ayyā IV before I.
ale III ad 31; 111 ad 34; III ad 35; IV ad 6; IV ad 10; IV ad 17: V ad 7.
, as-asti III ad 2. mhi III ad 1.
asta III ad 31.
asti (=arthi) III ad 31 ; III before 32.
ahaṃ-hage III 1d; III ad 31;
III before 32; III 32d; III ad
35 ; $V$ ad 7.
mam IV 11d.
aholata-aholattam III ad 35; IV ad 17.
ägāla-ägăle III ad 31.
ăñ̉ā-âfinỉà IV ad 17.
ādu-lill ad 31.
äsappa Ill ad 2.
āhi III 1b.
ihing̣antena IV ad 17.
$\sqrt{1}+$ parä-palâamha IV ad 17. idam-imãe III ad I.
ido III ad 2.
idha III ad 1 .
istiā V ad 7.
$\sqrt{i k s}+$ pra—peskadha IV before I.
ucchädida-ucchädide III ad I.
uvaladassa V ad 7.
eante III ad I.
etad-ese III ad 2; III ad 34; IV before I; IV 16c; IV ad 17. edaṃ 111 ad 1; 14 ad 2; 111 ad 31 ; III ad 34 : IV ad 10; IV ad 17.
ediṇā III ad 31.
edāe III ad 2.
ettha III ald 1; IV before I.
kaccäini--kaccuiinịi IV ad 10. kaccäịi IV IIc.
kaḍu III lb.
kadhaṇ IV ad 15.
kahạ! III ad 2.
kalunaqi V ad 7.
kàdavve 111 ad 31.
kip-ke III ad 2; IV before I. kahim IV before I.
kidisa-kidise III before 34.
kuhala-vāsiuīi-kuhala-vūsiṇim IV ad 10.
/kT-kalemha IV betore 11; IV ad 17.
kalissam III ad 1.
$\checkmark$ kram+ava-avakkamāmi III ad 2.
khu-kkhu III 1d; III ad 1; III 32d.
gadua III ad 35; V ad 7.
gade IV 16b.
Vgam-gascảmi Ill ud 35 : V ad 7. gascadi IV 16a. gascamha IV ad 17.
$\checkmark$ gann+ä-ăaśa IV od 6. āascadi Ill ad 2.
gastie(=gitrake)-IV ït.
gahide III od 31.
Vgrah-genha 111 eld 31 : 111 ed 34: V ad 7.
gumma 111 32b.
geuhia IV before I
camma IV IIc.
cişh hadavvaṃ IV and 17.
cila III cul 35
na III oul 1; III al 2, IV before I.
naali- Mualie III cad 1; 111 ad 2. nalaka III Ic.
ṇadduula-n-pädidūle IV ad 6.
ṇāmadheï-nämadheiéc III cul L.. ${ }^{2}$
puta- piutte III 32c.
wikkamanläna- \#jkkamantüquan! IIt ad 1 .
nimmahia IV Ita.
nimittaḷ IV before I.
ulisi IV ad 17.
ulu III ad 1.
tad-tä (=tena ; Pischel - tat) lif. ad 1: III ad 2: III ad 31; IV before I: IV ad 6: IV ad 17: V ad 7.
sc III ad 2: V ad 7.
lănaṃ III ad 1 .
talu IV ad 10.
tavassis IV before 1.
ti, tti-tti IV before 1.
tulidam III ad 2; IV ad 6; V ad 7.
$\checkmark$ tr+ava-odaladi IV 16d.
tvat-tae III ad 31 ; IV ad 17.
tue III ad 31.
tuha III ad 31; III before 32.
tava III ad 31.
de III before 34: Ill ad 34 .
daskina III ad 35; IV before 1; IV ad 6; IV ad 17.
dāluṇa III 1c.
dāva III ad 1.
däsattana-däsattanạ̣ IV before 1 .
dukkha III 1c.
duggeyyha III ad 1.
dulā̄la-dulāāle III ad 2.
duskala-duskale 111 ld.
dusta III ad 1; III ad 2.
$\checkmark$ nam + pra-paupavemha IV ad 10.
$\checkmark$ ni-pinadi IV before I.
paoanam $11 I$ ad 31.
pactu nida-paccaide III 32b.
padivat ${ }^{\text {an }}$ !iui V ad 7.
padivanṭ ${ }^{\text {ha }}$, a-paḑivaṇne IV before 1 .
puliccattai -paliccatte $11 I$ ad 1.
palicälak ha na -palicālake III ad 2.
paliñūnq/ssípralināme III ld.
pavisáp ${ }^{\text {nda-pavisantehiṇ III ad } 1 .}$
pasconaillilad 1.
Cladavva-pädavve 111 ad 31.
$\checkmark$ pi (caus)-palemi III ad 1.
pi III ad 1.
puño III ad 1.
puscida-puscidena III ad 31.
neṭ̣ālaka-pettāalake III ad 31 .
peskiduṃ III ad 1.
ppavesa-ppavese III ad 1.
bahudala-bahudalặ IV before I.
bhaava-bhaavado III ad 2. bhaavadiṃ IV ad 10.
$\checkmark$ bhaṇ-bhaṇādha IV before 1. bhanāmi V ad 7.
bhavia III ad 1; III ad 35.
bhingī III ad 2.
bhiṇ̣a IV 11b.
$\sqrt{ }$ bhū-bhodu III ad 1.
hodi III ad 2.
hoi IV before 1.
$\checkmark$ mantr--mantedi III ad 2.
mayyha-mayyhe III lb.
malaa III ad 35.
masanna-III 3'za.
masānaṃ III ad 35; IV ad 17.
masaiṇe IV ad 6; IV ad 17.
masãṇaa-masānaam IV beforc 1
mastia-mastie IV Ila.
mahaddalaa-mahaddalaä III cul 31.
mahaddale III 32d.
mahaddalassa IV before 1.
mahaddalaassa IV ad 17.
mahāsula IV IIb.
mahisa IV IIb.
mahula-III la.
mahule III la.
mädie III ad 1: IV ad 17.
muda (=mrta) V ad 7.
muha III la.
metta III la.
yaggidavvap Ill ad 35.
yana-yaṇhim III ad 1.
yad-yeñ III ad 1.
yadhā-IV 16b.
yammantala III ad 1.
yyeva III ad 2; III ad 35; V ad 7. savva III 32a.
$\sqrt{\text { raks-laskasu IV } 11 d .}$
$\sqrt{\text { lag }+ \text { anu-aqulaggissaṃ III ad } 1 .}$ laskaṇa IV before 1.
lăa-lāe III ad 2.
lulia-IV 11a.
loana-loanassa III ad 2.
loanti V ad 7.
vayyha-III 32c.
vayyhe IV before 1: IV 16b.
vastia-vastie IV 11 c .
vāvādida-vāvādide 111 ad 1 .
vähi III lb .
vi III ad 1; Ill ad 85 ; V ad 7.
vioa III 1 lb .
visama III ad 2.
vedāla IV ad 17.
vva IV $16 d$.

Sampkula-Samkule IV ad 17.
samghäda-samghäde IV I6c.
capcida-samcide III ad 1.
saăsa-saāsạ̣ V ad 7.
saăsido IV before 1.
sämiṇo IV before 1: V ad 7.
ad 34.
samuthida-samuthide IV ad 17.
sālameaka-sälameakii III ad 31.
siggha-sigghaṇ IV ad 17.
suda-sudassa V oul 7.
sula-sule 111 ad 31.
suvaṇa III ad 31.
suvaṇap III ad 31: III ad 34 ; IV before 1.
susṭu III. ad 34.
süla-sūle IV I6a.
soa-lij la.
ssabhavaya-ssabhavapani III ad 35.
stäna III 32b: III 32c.
stảnaṃ IV 16b.
$\sqrt{\text { sri }}+$ apan-osozoladha IV before 1 .
$\checkmark$ sthä-ciņ̧thadi V add 7.
ciṣ!hadu III ad 1.
cisṭhissam III ad I.
$\checkmark$ sthä+ut-ucciețtha III ad 31 .
hage-see aham.
haliccanda -haliccande III ad 2. hastic IV IId.
hälakena III ad 35.

## INDEX OF METRES

## Names of Metre

1. Aparāntikä (8 Märās + ra-gaṇa + la-ga)
IV. II ṇimmahia-luliaccanda-.
2. Āryä ( $12+18+12+15$ ):
I. 16 jaladhara-patạā̃ntarite; 19 kim apãinga-valita-tärā.

III:- I muha-mẹta-mahula-mahulc; 23 devi-bhāvam niitvä; 32 śavva-maśānādhivadĩ.
IV. ${ }^{3} 3$ bhagavati caṇidi; 16 astạ̣ gaścadi súle.
3. Indravajrā
II. 34 paśyämi yăvac calitạ̣.

1
4. Upajäati (mixed Indravajrā and Upendravajrā)

1. 7 nidrā-vidheyäruna-; 9 nidrālasaṃ bhangam; 15 tämbüla-rāgo dhara..
II. 16 pade pade sädhvasam.
III. II pratiśrutāṃ samprati; 3ı mayā dhriyante (=var. IV. 28).
IV. 25 khaţãàga-dbgg; 34 yasyopa-yogäd.
V. it nestaṃ na datramp; 28 ksanạam ksạnạrdham. 10
2. Upendravajrä
IV. 26 ayäcitopasthita. 1
3. Aupacchandasika
IV. I idam adya mama śvapäka.. $\geq$
4. Drutavilambita
IV. 14 ayam assu gaganänganas-
V. 10 prathita-mangala-guggulu,
5. Puṣpitägrā
I. 12 abhipatati jane.
II. 18 vahati havir upāṃ́su.
III. 24 mama vidhi-nihatasya.
IV. 5 yadi tapana-kulocitā; 6 upavana-nava-mälikär-.
V. $3^{0}$ pramudita-sujanā.
6. Prthvi
III. 20 śiro yad avagunc̣hitaṃ.
:
7. Mandäkräntä
l. 4 yah samśstitya; 25 mantraiḷ puitaṇ.
II. 14 nīpa-skandhe kuhariṇi.
III. 6 yad vāñchanti.
IV. 22 musṭi-grähyam timiram.
V. 25 etat siṃhāsanam; 26 etā nadyo dadhati; 29 vidyāläbhăt! munir api. - 8
8. Mälinị
I. 21 pariluṭhati lalaṭe. I
9. Vamśasthavila
V. 18 sva-karma-vaicitrya-. 1
10. Vasantactilaka
I. 8 cittạ̣ prasādayati; 22 caṇ̣i prasida; 27 gràhyaḷ priye yadi; 29 klinnam vinodayati.
II. 3 śambholạ samädhit api; 4 vidyä-trayam; 6 düraṃ kutūhala-vasāt; 17 ko'yaṃ capovana-; 21 kāmaṛ harir bhava; 23 prärrabdha-sādhana-; 24 jāti-svayaṃ-grahaną-; 25 anna-ksayädiṣu; 28 närhanti sarvabhuvanāny api; 30 väräṇasiti; 31 etăḥ̆ şriyo bhagavatī; 33 vaivasvatair nṭpatibhih.
III. 3 yasyädbhutạ̣ kathayatah; 13 pürne'vadhävapi; 15 lokz-dvaya-pratibhayaika-; 26 kimp vatsa manyu-bhara-; 29 maddd!țtipäta-bhaya..
IV. 24 etat tatheti; 3 I vetāla-vajra-.
V. 4 dhātrī-janāñka-; 12 mūrdhābhiṣeka-payasā; 14 andhan -tamah-; 24 kretā sa te prakrti-.
11. Sälini
III. 25 ärādhyo'yam.
V. 21 anyeṣạ̣̄ ye; 23 kretāpy asyā.
12. ' Särdüla-vikrị̣ita
I. 3 ānanda-ślathitäh; 10 kim ruddhah sacivaih; 11 hila sambhṭta-; 13 vicchinnām anubadhnatī; 14 tanvañgy śara-gaurayoḥ.
II. 8 helā-vakrita-; 9 garvād etya; 13 āmūlam kvacii uddhṛtā; 19 vāso valkalam; 22 kāntā-kelimayo'pi.
III. 4 dattvaitạ̣̄ dvija-; 5 dātāḷ sūnur idaṃ; 8 kị̣ jitv dhanam; 18 dhärā-sikta-tṛnāgra-; 19 hemnä meru vasuṃdhareva.
IV. 2 kiṃ śocāmi madeka-; 10 tan-madhyam tad-ura tad-eva.
V. 1 yad vairaṃ muni-sattamasya; 2 mảm ānamra-śiro-; : ścantī rajanị̄u; 5 sajjo maulir ayaṃ; 8 chaträkāran idaṃ; 9 sā vāṇī karuṇārta-; 17 madhye vyaktam; 2; kruddhe tarjana-.
13. Sikhariṇi
I. 23 aparvany evendoh.
II. 5 yato dhātā viśvaṃ; 7 mẹnālībhih kirnāḥ; 10 ksaṇāc antar-dhatte; 11 javäj jitvā paścät; 12 divi vyāval gadbhir; 15 manah sambhogebhyah.
III. 7 vimucyante jantor iha; 12 pranaasāad vidyānạ̣̄; 21 vrṣa-skandham matta-.
IV. 3 durärādhye tasmin; 7 vidūrād abhyastair; 8 imi mürchanty antah; 9 bhinatty akṣ̣or mudrām; is jaran-nirmälyàḍhyä; 18 jarat-kūpäkäraiḥ; 19 pibatycko' nyasmäd; 20 kva ramyah sambhogah; 21 citägne äkrṣam; 29 sukhaṃ vā duhkhaṃ $\downarrow$ ā. 26
14. Sloka (Anustubbh)
I. 5 drsṭam kim api; 6 dadāmi pälayan; 17 mãnagranthau; 18 mayi yasyäh prabhāvas te; 20 yady api śriyam; 24 šäntch suastyayanād; 26 idaṃ tat kṣatra-bijasya.
II. 26 dātavyam rakṣitavyam; 27 gunavadbhyo dvijätibhyo; 29 samupährtya däsyämi; $3^{2}$ mayà muner ayaṃ.
III. 9 ätmānam eva vikriya; 14 astam ravau asamprāpre; 16 kenăpi khalu kàryena; 17 yad yad àdésati; 22 lakṣărdham yad idamp; 27 dhik tapo dhig; 28 pañcāñàm api vo; 30 grhyatäm arjitam idamp; 33 tavaiva dàsatäm; 34 bhaiksyäsi dūratas tiş̣han; 35 antrnasya mamedäuin.
IV. 23 akřvā mat-parijinānam ( $=\mathrm{=}, 15$ ); 27 blaiksyädvaitam; 30 paresā̀m upakārāya; 32 śrcyäṃsi vivṛta-; 33 trayi tiṣhati; 35 calanti girayah; 36 tamo viblidya.
V. 6 spandate väma-nayanaṃ; 7 atal! paraṃ yad vyasanaṃ; 13 varam adyaiva; 15 matanān niturtimp; 16 därunasyäsy3; 20 aho dãnam aho; 22 samàásvasihi. $\quad 3^{6}$
15. Sragdharā
16. I devas traigunya -bhedât.
II. I eṣa kṣublọàti pañkaṃ; 2 ästiryantàm upäutc; 20 cṣa präptendhana-stih.
III, 2 śambhoh pàdäbja-mudrä,

V. $3^{1}$ yenădísya prayogam.
17. Harị̣i
18. 2 aruna-nayanaṃ; 28 tava sapulakah.
III. 10 tapati tapanas tiksṇam.
IV. 4 tvarayati guror bhaktyà.

## ERRATA

| P | ii | L | 7 | read | Saibyā for Saibyä |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ＂， | iii | ＂ | 11 | $\cdots$ | deî for deī |
| ＂ | xxili | ＂ | 34 | ＂ | 24 c for 23 c |
| ， | xxxiv | ＂ | 16 | ins．om | ．before alakkhanam |
| ＂ | 2 | ＂ | 8 | read | －प्रएयिना for－प्रययिनो |
| ＂ | 10. | ＂ | 5 | ？ | नवनील－for नवनोए－ |
| ＂ | 12 | ＂ | 10 | ＂ | खु for ख |
| ＂ | 13 | ＂${ }^{\prime \prime}$ | 1 | －＂ | कि for कि |
| ＂ | 21 | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | तबाय for तवाटं |
| ＂ | 22 | ＂ | 1 | ＂ | भ्राएयात्तं for भायातं |
| ＂ | 24 | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | तडिच्धुड for तडिच्छुटा |
| ＂ | 25 | ＂ | 2 | ＂ | जूधाधिवई for जूधाधिवदी |
| ＂ | 27 | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | चुम्एाति for चुम्नाति |
| ＂ | 28 | ＂ | 6 | 3 | शिवयोष्व for शिक्योश्व |
| ＂ | 32 | ＂ | 3 | ＂ | कथमवलोक्य for कथं（ ग्रवलो⿰干口巾） |
| ＂ | $3^{2}$ | ＂ | 10 | ＂ | उपसंहूत्य for उपपसंह्त्य |
| ＂ | 38 | ＂ | 10 | ＂ | －सुख्बम् for－मुख्बम् |
| ＂ | 47 | ＂ | 11 | ＂ | कौशिक：for काशिक： |
| ＂ | $52$ $62$ | ＂ | 9 | ＂ | －＞－for－3才－ |
| ＂ | 62 | ＂ | 4 | ＂ | ध्रश्र．दोन－for श्रश्रुदान－ |
| ＂ | 75 | ＂ | 6 | ＂ | तपसाम् for तपसा |
| ＂ | 85 | ＂ | 5 | ＂ | शुवएएं for शुवरएए＊ |
| \＃ | 92 | ＂ | 11 | ＂ | सनीड for सनीउ |
| ＂ | $9^{6}$ | ＂ | 13 | ＂ | उच्चे－for उच्चे－ |
| ＂ | 11. | ＂ | ． 6 | ins | पक at the end of the verse |
| ＂ | 119 | ＂ | 1 | read | －サट्र ठि－for－अft |

## Foot－note

P 149 omit Mālavikā vii．5． $15^{8}$ read ii． 5 ．for îi． 39
，＂ 174 Mudrä－r read vii ad 6
＂ 200 read preyo yadi param for preyah param


[^0]:    1 This is the case, on a much larger scale, with regard to the Mahäbhàrata text-tradition, as Sukchankar has already shewn in his Prolegomena to the Adiparvan. Our apparent exception is that the Maithili and two Devanägari MSS are allied to, and are therefore grouped wich the Neväri, while the two Grancha MSS go with che Devanīgari group. But the case is similar to Sukthankar's grouping of K with S and Belvalkar's grouping of one $\mathbf{B}$ MS (in Bhiṣma) with $K$.-It is hardly necessary to point out chat the object of textual criticism is to find, not a priori the best, but a posterioni the most authentic readings; and for this purpose a carefill grouping and evaluation of manuscript material is necemary.

[^1]:    1 It is true that Canḍadili is mentioned in Bharata's Nâtya-siastra (ed. Kashi Skt. series 1929, xviii. 36-37; ed. GOS, vol. 2, 1934, xvii. 49-50, 54) as one of the many so-called Vibhisits along with seven Bhaşis (Mägadhi, Sauraceni etc.); but the information is meagre. Its characteristics are not given, not is its relation to Migadhi indicated. Abhinavagupte in his commentary docs not help us. The evidence of the Natya-sistre, therefore, is hardly of any use,

    2 It is possible that already in the time of Vicsyäyana's Kama-siatra the use of Prakrit was artificial (Keith, Sanshrit Drema, P. 334).

[^2]:    1 As also in Burneil's Ianjore MSS (p. 168b), of which our $S_{1}$ is one. In the Nesuadbinanda the epithet given by the MS is Ācärya for Arya,

    2 P. Peterson. Tbres Reperts of a Searcb for Sanskrit Manuscripts, Bombay 1887 (Extra No. of the /RAS, Bombay Branch), Pp. 340-42. This Paper MS from Boondi is described ds consisting of 59 folios, dated Sapvat $1667=16: 1$ A.D. No trace of the work cat now be found.

[^3]:    "A skull is but a skull, a serpent a serpent; what more? The ashes and skin also are but ashes and skin. What of thine hast thou lost that thus thou art troubled? Ah, sogue, it is that thou wilt not stake Jahnu's daughter that rests over chy crest. May Hara protect you, Hara to whom Sivī thus spake playfully when chey played at dice".

[^4]:    I On a new find of old Nepalese Manuscripts in /ASB, Ixii, 1893 , 1P. 250-52. Sastri here reads the date of the MS wrongly, but it was corrected in his Descriptive Catalogme mentioned below.

[^5]:    1 Or, in the alternative, "the Karṇatas who came in the train of the Cedi Emperors later on" (Descriptive Catalogue of Shz. MSS. in ASB, vol. vii, Calcutta 1934, p. 252).

    2 Päas of Bengel (Memoirs of ASB, vol. v, no. 3. Calcutta 1915). p. 73: Bänglar lithasa (in Bengali), 2nd. Ed., pp. 252-52; also /BORS, xiv, 1928, Pp, 5 at.

    3 Indian Colimre, ii, Calcutta, 1935-36, pp. 354-56.
    4-Caigaikonda Chola in Sir Asutosh Mookerjee Silver Imbllee Volumes, III, Orieatalia, $\mathrm{P}_{4}$ 2, $\mathrm{Pp}, 559$.

[^6]:    1 Goutingische getebrte Anerigen, 1883, p. 1320 (reviewing Fritue's German trs., entitled Kaniska's Zorn).

    2 Dat indiscbe Drama, Bertin and Leipzigo 1920, p. 87 (Translabed bere).
    3 History of Bengel, Dacea University, Vol. II Pp. 143-44.

[^7]:    1. As a search through the catalogues of MSS in the libraries of Calcutta and Dacca, and the notices of Rajendralal Mitra and Haraprasad Sastri would show.

    - 2 Ouly Huraprasad Sastri quotes this verse in /ASB cited above, but he thinks that this Kirtrikeya was a noblemen in Mahipuld's court.

[^8]:    2 English translation will be found in Max Müller, History of Ancient Sanshrit Literature, Panini Office Reprint, Pp. 215-88 (with the relevant text from Sähkb. Sr. Sītra in Appendix), and in A.B. Keith, Rigueda-Brabmanas, Hurvard Orient. Series, Cambridge Mass., 1920, pp. 299-309. The Rgueda contains merely the statement of Sunahbepa's deliverance by divine help, while the Talliriya Sambita aimply says that he was seized by Varupa but saved himself from Varuna's bonds.

[^9]:    1 Sbe is generally mentioned by the epithets Bhiryi, Patai, Rajaii etc.; but ahe is called Midhavi in vii. 19. 51 and Gaibyia in vii. 26. 33. 53. The sale wes made on ber advice, as in Mizhandoye.

[^10]:    1 Pargiter (JRAS, 1917, p. g0t) does not believe the Rämayana account to be reliable. He gives an interpretation of the Purana version of the legend, which he considers to be more 'historical'.

[^11]:    1 This is apparently a variation of the old Sibi legend

[^12]:    1 Whether Ramacandra knew Ksemisvara's work is not clear.
    2 JRAS, 19:4, p. 1 106.
    3 Ed. August Conrady (Diss. Universitut Leipzig), Leipzig 1891, pp. 1-45 (with a short introd, on linguistic peculiarities).

    4 The MS, from which the work is edited, bears the Nepali era 771 ( $=1651$ A. D.) as its date of copying by one Rimabhadrasarman.

[^13]:    : Sec S. K. De, History of Kävya Literature in S. N. Dasgupta did S. K. De's History of Classical Sanskrit Literalure, vol, i, Calcutta University 1947. Pp. 441 f .

    3 Gescbichte d. ind. Lie, iii, Leipzig 1920, pp, 249-50.
    3 Op. cil. p. 87.
    4 Bibliograpby of the Sanskrit Drama, New York igox. p. 12.
    5 Op, cif., p. 240.
    6 Op, cil. p. 470.

[^14]:    1 The exceptions, of course, include Südraka's Mrechahetika, Visikhadaeta's Mudrä-riksasa and Bhavabhūti's three plays.

[^15]:    1 For the summaries see above.

[^16]:    1 That Ksemistara was alive to the actual production and staging is clear not only by his reference to Kärtikeya whom he thanks for directing the producrion, but also by his inserting profuse stage-directions at every step. Sometimes there are no words but the action is represented only by the gestures mentioned,

[^17]:    1 S. N. Dasgupta and S. K. De, History of Sanshits liceratwre, P. 446.

[^18]:    I This occurs in IV. 11. See notes to this stanza in the text.

[^19]:    1 This verse describes the changing aspects of Siva's eyes at the time when Madana, the god of love, was annihilated by fiery wrath of Siva, the god of destruction.
    a This alludes to the chutning of the ocean by means of the revolving Mount Mandara; from which Lakṣmi arose, with an implied comparison of king Mahipala to Viṣnu.

